

References

- Antlöv, H., Brinkerhoff, D. W., Rapp, E., 2010. Civil society capacity building for democratic reform: Experience and lessons from Indonesia. *Volunt. Int. J. Volunt. Nonprofit Organ.* 21, 417–439. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11266-010-9140-x>
- Ariti, A. T., van Vliet, J., Verburg, P. H., 2018. What restrains Ethiopian NGOs to participate in the development of policies for natural resource management?. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 89, 292–299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.08.008>
- Arts, B., Leroy, P., 2006. *Institutional dynamics in environmental governance*. Springer, Netherlands
- Arvidson, M., 2008. Contradictions and confusions in development work. *J. South Asian Dev.* 3, 109–134. <https://doi.org/10.1177/097317410700300105>
- Aspinall, E., 2013. A nation in fragments: Patronage and neoliberalism in contemporary Indonesia. *Critical Asian Studies* 45, 27–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14672715.2013.758820>
- Ayana, A. N., Arts, B., Wiersum, K. F., 2018. How environmental NGOs have influenced decision making in a ‘semi-authoritarian’ state: The case of forest policy in Ethiopia. *World Dev.* 109, 313–322. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.05.010>
- Banks, N., Hulme, D., Edwards, M., 2015. NGOs, states, and donors revisited: Still too close for comfort?. *World Dev.* 66, 707–718. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.09.028>
- Barber, M., Bowie, C., 2008. How international NGOs could do less harm and more good. *Dev. Pract.* 18, 748–754. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09614520802386520>
- Barnes, C., van Laerhoven, F., 2015. Making it last? Analysing the role of NGO interventions in the development of institutions for durable collective action in Indian community forestry. *Environ. Sci. Policy* 53, 192–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2014.06.008>
- Bebbington, A.J., Hickey, S., Mitlin, D.C., 2013. *Can NGOs make a difference?: The challenge of development alternatives*. Zedbooks, New York.
- Berry, J. M., Wilcox, C., 2015. *The interest group society*. Routledge Taylor & Francis Group, New York
- Betsill, M. M., Corell, E., 2001. NGO influence in international environmental negotiations: A framework for analysis. *Glob. Environ. Polit.* 1, 66–85. <https://doi.org/10.1162/152638001317146372>

- Beyers, J., Braun, C., 2014. Ties that count: Explaining interest group access to policymakers. *J. Public Policy* 34, 93–121. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0143814X13000263>
- Binderkrantz, A., 2008. Different groups, different strategies: How interest groups pursue their political ambitions. *Scan. Polit. Stud.* 31, 173–200. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9477.2008.00201.x>
- Brandsma, G. J., Schillemans, T., 2012. The accountability cube: Measuring accountability, *J. Public Adm. Res. Theory* 23, 953–975. <http://doi.org/10.1093/jopart/mus034>
- Brass, J.N., Longhofer, W., Robinson, R.S., Schnable, A., 2018. NGOs and international development: A review of thirty-five years of scholarship. *World Dev.* 112, 136–149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.07.016>
- Bratton, M., 1989. The politics of government-NGO relations in Africa. *World Dev.* 17, 569–587. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X\(89\)90263-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(89)90263-5)
- Bryant, R. L., 2002. False prophets? Mutant NGOs and Philippine environmentalism. *Soc. Nat. Resour.* 15, 629–639. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920290069236>
- Bryant, R.L., 2002. False prophets? Mutant NGOs and Philippine environmentalism. *Soc. Nat. Resour.* 15, 629–639. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920290069236>
- Bryman, A., 2001. *Social research methods*. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Byron, N., 2006. Challenges in defining, implementing and renewing forest policies. *Unasylva* 57, 10–15. Retrieved from <http://homepage.ntu.edu.tw/~kimzheng/PLC/a0532e03.pdf>
- Collins, E. F., 2007. *Indonesia betrayed: How development fails*. University of Hawai'i Press, USA
- Cook, N. J., Wright, G. D., Andersson, K. P., 2017. Local politics of forest governance: Why NGO support can reduce local government responsiveness. *World Dev.* 92, 203–214. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.12.005>
- Dahl, R. A., 1982. *Dilemmas of pluralist democracy: Autonomy vs. control*. Yale University Press, New Haven
- de Jong, W., Arts, B., Krott, M., 2012. Political theory in forest policy science. *For. Policy Econ.* 16, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2011.07.001>
- Dibley, T., Ford, M., 2019. *Activists in transition progressive politics in democratic Indonesia*. Cornell University Press, Ithaca

- Dür, A., Mateo, G., 2013. Gaining access or going public? Interest group strategies in five European countries, *Eur. J. Polit. Res.* 52, 660–686. <http://doi.org/10.1111/1475-6765.12012>
- Ebrahim, A., 2003. Accountability in practice: mechanisms for NGOs. *World Dev.* 31, 813–829. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(03\)00014-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(03)00014-7)
- Edwards, M., Hulme, D., 1995. NGO performance and accountability in the post-cold war world. *J. Int. Dev.* 7, 849–856. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jid.3380070604>
- Fatem, S.M., Awang, S.A., Pudyatmoko, S., Sahide, M.A.K., Pratama, A.A., Maryudi, A., 2018. Camouflaging economic development agendas with forest conservation nar- ratives: a strategy of lower governments for gaining authority in the re-centralising Indonesia. *Land Use Policy* 78, 699–710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.07.018>
- Foo, K., 2018. Examining the role of NGOs in urban environmental governance. *Cities* 77, 67–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2018.01.002>
- Galudra, G., 2019. Focusing on facilitation: Issues and challenges of capacity development in Indonesia’s social forestry reforms. *Forest and Society* 3, 133–136. <https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v3i1.5995>
- Götz, N., 2008. Reframing NGOs: the identity of an international relations non-starter. *Eur. J. Int. Relat.* 14, 231–258. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1354066108089242>
- Gulbrandsen, L. H., Andresen, S., 2006. NGO Influence in the implementation of the Kyoto Protocol: Compliance, flexibility mechanisms, and sinks. *Glob. Environ. Polit.* 4, 54–75. <https://doi.org/10.1162/glep.2004.4.4.54>
- Gupta, D., Koontz, T. M., 2019. Working together? Synergies in government and NGO roles for community forestry in the Indian Himalayas. *World Dev.* 114, 326–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2018.09.016>
- Harrison, T., 2017. NGOs and personal politics: The relationship between NGOs and political leaders in West Bengal, India. *World Dev.* 98, 485–496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.05.008>
- Hasyim, Z., Laraswati, D., Purwanto, R. H., Pratama, A. A., Maryudi, A., 2020. Challenges facing independent monitoring networks in the Indonesian timber legality assurance system. *For. Policy Econ.* 111, 102025. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.102025>
- Hearn, J., 2007. African NGOs: The new compradors?. *Dev. Change* 38, 1095–1110. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.2007.00447.x>

- Hogl, K., Kvarda, E., Nordbeck, R., Pregernig, M., 2012. Environmental governance: The challenge of legitimacy and effectiveness. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham
- Holmén, H., Jirström, M., 2009. Look who's talking! Second thoughts about NGOs as representing civil society. *J. Asian Afr. Stud.* 44, 429–448. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0021909609105093>
- Hsu, C., 2010. Beyond civil society: An organizational perspective on state–NGO relations in the people's Republic of China. *J. Civ. Soc.* 6, 259–277. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17448689.2010.528949>
- Humphreys, D., 2006. Logjam: Deforestation and the crisis of global governance. Taylor & Francis, New York
- Jad, I., 2007. NGOs: Between buzzwords and social movements. *Dev. Pract.* 17, 622–629. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09614520701469781>
- Klüver, H., 2013. Lobbying in the European Union: Interest groups, lobbying coalitions, and policy change. Oxford University Press, Oxford
- Knoke, D., 1986. Associations and interest groups. *Annual Review of Sociology* 12, 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.so.12.080186.000245>
- Krott, M., 2005. Forest policy analysis. European Forest Institute, Bonn. <https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-3485-7>
- Kumar, R., 2009. Research methodology: A step-by-step guide or beginners. Fourth Edition. SAGE Publication Ltd., London
- Laestadius, L.I., Neff, R.A., Barry, C.L., Frattaroli, S., 2014. “We don't tell people what to do”: An examination of the factors influencing NGO decisions to campaign for reduced meat consumption in light of climate change. *Glob. Environ. Chang.* 29, 32–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2014.03.009>
- Lane, B. M., Morrison, T. H., 2006. Public interest or private agenda?: A meditation on the role of NGOs in environmental policy and management in Australia. *Journal of Rural Studies* 22, 232–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jrurstud.2005.11.009>
- Lang, R., 2000. In: Thomas, M., Thomas, M.J. (Eds.), *The Role of NGOS in the Process of Empowerment and Social Transformation of People With Disabilities*. Asia Pacific Disability Rehabilitation Journal.
- Laraswati, D., Krott, M., Sahide, M. A. K., Soraya, E., Pratama, A. P., Rahayu, S., Giessen, L., Maryudi, M., 2021. Representation-Influence Framework (RIF) for analyzing the roles of organized interest groups (OIGs) in environmental governance. *MethodsX*. 101335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mex.2021.101335>

- Laraswati, D., Rahayu, S., Pratama, A. A., Sahide, M. A. K., Soraya, E., Maryudi, A., 2020. Private forest in facing the timber legality regime in Indonesia: The NGOs' role. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 449 (1), 012053.
- Levine, A., 2002. Convergence or convenience? International conservation NGOs and development assistance in Tanzania. *World Dev.* 30, 1043–1055. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(02\)00022-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(02)00022-0)
- Ma, Q., 2002. The governance of NGOs in China since 1978: How much autonomy?. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly* 31, 305-328. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764002313001>
- Martens, K., 2002. Mission impossible? Defining nongovernmental organizations. *Voluntas*. 13, 271–285. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1020341526691>
- Maryudi, A., 2017. Creating new forest governance structure for the 12.7 million promise. *J. Ilmu Kehutanan*. 11 (1), 1–3
- Maryudi, A., Acheampong, A., Rutt, R. L., Myers, R., McDermott, C. L., 2020. “A level playing field”? – What an environmental justice lens can tell us about who gets leveled in the Forest Law Enforcement, Governance and Trade action plan. *Soc. Nat. Resour.* 33, 859-875. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08941920.2020.1725201>
- Maryudi, A., Laraswati, D., Sahide, M. A. K., Giessen, L., 2021. Mandatory legality licensing for exports of Indonesian timber products: Balancing the goals of forest governance and timber industries. *For. Policy Econ.* 124, 102384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2020.102384>
- Maryudi, A., Myers, R., 2018. Renting legality: How FLEGT is reinforcing power relations in Indonesian furniture production networks. *Geoforum* 97, 46–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2018.10.008>
- Maryudi, A., Sahide, M.A.K., 2017. Research trend: Power analyses in polycentric and multi-level forest governance. *For. Policy Econ.* 81, 65-68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2017.05.003>
- McGann, J., Johnstone, M., 2005. The power shift and the NGO credibility crisis. *Brown J. World Aff.* 8 (2), 159–172.
- Mercer, C., 2002. NGOs, civil society and democratization: A critical review of the literature. *Prog. Dev. Stud.* 2, 5-22. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1464993402ps027ra>
- Meyer, C. A., 1995. Opportunism and NGOs: Entrepreneurship and green north-south transfers. *World Dev.* 23, 1277–1289. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X\(95\)00049-I](https://doi.org/10.1016/0305-750X(95)00049-I)

- Michalowicz, I., 2007. What determines influence? Assessing conditions for decision-making influence of interest groups in the EU. *J. Eur. Public Policy* 14, 132–151. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13501760601072719>
- Mitlin, D., Hickey, S., Bebbington, A., 2007. Reclaiming Development? NGOs and the Challenge of Alternatives. *World Dev.* 35, 1699–1720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2006.11.005>
- Myers, R., Intarini, D., Thomas, M., Maryudi, A., 2017. Claiming the forest : Inclusions and exclusions under Indonesia’s ‘new’ forest policies on customary forests. *Land Use Policy* 66, 205–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.04.039>
- Myers, R., Muhajir, M., 2015. Searching for justice: Rights vs ‘Benefits’ in Bukit Baka Bukit Raya National Park, Indonesia. *Conserv. Soc.* 13, 370–381.
- Nomura, K., 2008. The politics of participation in forest management: A case from democratizing Indonesia. *J. Environ. Manage.* 17, 166–191. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1070496507312598>
- Nurrochmat, D.R., Dharmawan, A.H., Obidzinski, K., Dermawan, A., Erbaugh, J.T., 2014. Contesting national and international forest regimes: Case of timber legality certification for community forests in Central Java, Indonesia. *For. Policy Econ.* 68, 54–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2014.09.008>
- Okamoto, S., 2001. The movement and activities of environmental NGOs in Indonesia. *Policy Trend Report*. Retrieved from: https://www.iges.or.jp/en/publication_documents/pub/reportchapter/en/417/fc2_3088.pdf
- Olson, M., 1971. *The logic of collective action: Public goods and the theory of groups*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge
- Peluso, N. L., Affif, S., Rachman, N. F., 2008. Claiming the grounds for reform: Agrarian and environmental movements in Indonesia. *J. Agrar. Chang.* 8, 377–407. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-0366.2008.00174.x>
- Potter, D., 1996. *Democratisation and the environment: NGOs and deforestation policies in India (Karnataka) and Indonesia (North Sumatra)*. Routledge Taylor & Francis, London
- Rahayu, S., Laraswati, D., Pratama, A. A., Sahide, M. A. K., Permadi, D. P., Wibowo, W., Widyaningsih, T. S., Suprpto, E., Andayani, W., Maryudi, A., 2020. Bureaucratizing non-government organizations as governmental forest extension services in social forestry policy in Indonesia. *Forests, Trees, and Livelihood.* 29, 119–129 <https://doi.org/10.1080/14728028.2020.1753585>

- Rahayu, S., Laraswati, D., Pratama, A. A., Sahide, M. A. K., Permadi, D. P., Maryudi, A., 2020. How NGO fulfill the complex scheme of social forestry: a resume of SF scheme in Indonesia. IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science 449 (1), 012051.
- Rahman, M. S., Giessen, L., 2017. Formal and Informal Interests of Donors to Allocate Aid: Spending Patterns of USAID, GIZ, and EU Forest Development Policy in Bangladesh. *World Dev.* 94, 250-267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2017.01.012>
- Rahman, S., 2006. Development, democracy and the NGO sector: Theory and evidence from Bangladesh. *J. Dev. Soc.* 22, 451-473. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0169796X060072650>
- Salamon, L.M., Anheier, H.K., 1992. In search of the non-profit sector: the question of definitions. *Voluntas.* 3, 125–151. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01397770>.
- Salisbury, R. H., 1969. An Exchange Theory of Interest Groups. *Midwest Journal of Political Science* 13, 1. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2110212>
- Sanyal, B., 1997. NGOs' self-defeating quest for autonomy. *Ann. Am. Acad. Pol. Soc. Sci.* 554, 21–32. <http://doi.org/10.1177/0002716297554001002>
- Scherer, A.G., Palazzo, G., Matten, D., 2014. The business firm as a political actor: a new theory of the firm for a globalized world. *Bus. Soc.* 53, 143–156. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650313511778>
- Seliger, B., 2003. From civic organization to NGOs in Germany: an interest group analysis. *Int. Area Stud. Rev.* 6, 53–68. <https://doi.org/10.1177/223386590300600104>
- Sinaga, K., 1993. Neither merchant nor prince: a study of Ngos in Indonesia. *Soc. Secur. Bull.* 42, 137–156. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0038022919930107>
- Siwach, R. J., 2017. Government – NGOs relations in India : An analysis. *Indian Journal of Public Administration* 59, 391-404. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0019556120130214>
- Slavíková, L., Syrbe, R. U., Slavík, J., Berens, A., 2017. Local environmental NGO roles in biodiversity governance: a Czech-German comparison. *GeoScape* 11, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1515/geosc-2017-0001>
- Smith, D. J., 2012. Aids NGOs and corruption in Nigeria. *Heal. Place* 18, 475–480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2011.11.002>
- Thin, N., Peter, N., Gorada, P., 1998. Muddles about the Middle: NGOs as Intermediaries in JFM. *Edinburgh Papers In South Asian Studies* Number 12. http://www.citsee.ed.ac.uk/__data/assets/pdf_file/0019/39160/WP12_Neil_Thin_et_al.pdf.

- Thomas, C.S., Hrebenar, R.J., 2008. Understanding interest groups, lobbying and lobbyists in developing democracies. *Journal of Public Affairs* 8, 1-14. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pa.287>
- Vakil, A.C., 1997. Confronting the classification problem: Toward a taxonomy of NGOs. *World Dev.* 25, 2057–2070. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(97\)00098-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(97)00098-3)
- Wallace, T., 2004. NGO dilemmas: Trojan horses for global neoliberalism? *Soc. Reg.* 202–219
- Weber, N., 2012. Reflections on theories in forest policy: Testing, combining or building?. *For. Policy Econ.* 16, 102-108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2011.02.003>
- Weber, N., Christophersen, T., 2002. The influence of non-governmental organisations on the creation of Natura 2000 during the European Policy process. *For. Policy Econ.* 4, 1–12. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-9341\(01\)00070-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1389-9341(01)00070-3)
- Wesolowski, W., 1962. Class domination and the power of interest groups. *The Polish Sociological Bulletin* 3, 53-64. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/45275032>
- White, S.C., 1999. NGOs, civil society, and the state of Bangladesh: the politics of re-presenting the poor. *Dev. Chang.* 30, 307–326. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-7660.00119>
- Williamson, P. J., 1989. *Corporatism in perspective: An introductory guide to corporatist theory.* SAGE Publications, London
- Wu, F., 2003. Environmental GONGO autonomy: unintended consequences of state strategies in China. *Good Soc.* 12, 35–45. <https://doi.org/10.1353/gso.2003.0031>
- Wulandari, C., Kurniasih, H., 2019. Community preferences for social forestry facilitation programming in Lampung, Indonesia. *Forest and Society*, 3, 114-132. <https://doi.org/10.24259/fs.v3i1.6026>