

## CONTENTS

APPROVAL PAGE .....	ii
STATEMENT .....	iii
PREFACE .....	iv
CONTENTS .....	v
LIST OF TABLES .....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	viii
NOMENCLATURE AND ABBREVIATION .....	xi
ABSTRACT .....	xii
INTISARI .....	xiii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Problem Formulation .....	6
1.3 Scope of Problem .....	6
1.4 Originality .....	6
1.5 Research Objectives .....	9
1.6 Benefits of Research .....	9
1.7 Dissertation Report Overview .....	9
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW .....	10
2.1 Theoretical Background .....	10
2.1.1 Implicit Signed Distance Function .....	11
2.1.2 Discretization and Geometrical Properties .....	16
2.1.2.1 Normal Vector .....	18
2.1.2.2 Curvature .....	18
2.1.2.3 Blending Surface .....	19
2.1.3 Motion Generated in the Level Set Method .....	20
2.1.3.1 Upwind Difference .....	20
2.1.3.2 Hamilton-Jacobi Polynomial Interpolation .....	22
2.1.3.3 Normal Direction Motion on CFL Condition .....	25
2.1.3.4 Reinitialization .....	28
2.1.4 Mathematical Morphology .....	31
2.1.4.1 Segmentation Performance Metrics .....	32
2.1.4.2 Erosion and Dilation .....	33
2.1.4.3 Opening and Closing .....	35
2.1.4.4 The Hit-or-Miss Transformation .....	36
2.1.4.5 Convex Hull .....	38
2.1.4.6 Labeling .....	39
2.1.4.7 Filling Holes .....	40
2.1.4.8 Border Clearing .....	42
2.1.5 Active Contour Deformable Models .....	42
2.2 Critical Literature Review .....	46
2.2.1 Gaps Among Existing AC Models .....	46

2.2.2	Edge-Based AC .....	50
2.2.3	Region-Based AC .....	52
2.2.4	Regularization .....	55
2.2.5	Local Region-Based AC .....	60
2.2.6	Hybrid AC Models .....	62
2.3	Synthesis and Hypothesis.....	64
CHAPTER III METHODOLOGY .....		66
3.1	Dataset.....	66
3.2	Hardware and Software.....	67
3.3	Proposed Method .....	68
3.3.1	Binary Stopping Function and Deformation Mode.....	74
3.3.2	HERBAC Automation .....	76
3.3.3	HERBAC Adaptability.....	81
3.3.4	Morphological-Gaussian Regularization.....	83
3.3.5	HERBAC Segmentation Scheme .....	86
3.4	Performance Analysis .....	88
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION .....		91
4.1	Small-Scale Ultrasound Segmentation Performance .....	91
4.1.1	Edge-Based GAC .....	91
4.1.2	Region-Based CV .....	93
4.1.3	Local Region-Based LBF and LGDF .....	94
4.1.4	Hybrid GACV and LGBF .....	96
4.1.5	ACBF Framework.....	98
4.1.6	Proposed HERBAC .....	99
4.2	Comparison Across Methods.....	103
4.3	Large-Scale Ultrasound Segmentation Performance .....	104
4.4	Main Findings .....	107
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION .....		110
5.1	Conclusion .....	110
5.2	Future Works .....	111
5.3	List of Publications .....	112
REFERENCES .....		113

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Utilization of the AC models for ultrasound image segmentation .....	7
Table 2.1	Various development of AC models. ....	49
Table 3.1	Comparison of GAC and CV models. ....	69
Table 3.2	Comparison of iteration values over the duration of global evolution. ....	73
Table 3.3	Comparison of computation cost over the duration of global evolution. ..	73
Table 4.1	GAC segmentation performance on Figure 4.1. ....	92
Table 4.2	CV segmentation performance on Figure 4.2. ....	94
Table 4.3	LBF segmentation performance on Figure 4.3. ....	96
Table 4.4	LGDF segmentation performance on Figure 4.4. ....	96
Table 4.5	GACV segmentation performance on Figure 4.5. ....	98
Table 4.6	LGBF segmentation performance on Figure 4.6. ....	98
Table 4.7	Quantitative GAC's performance in Figure 4.9. ....	100
Table 4.8	Interactive-HERBAC performance in Figure 4.10. ....	103
Table 4.9	Autoadaptive HERBAC performance in Figure 4.11. ....	103
Table 4.10	Comparison over ACBF, Interactive and Autoadaptive HERBAC. ....	106

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1	Computer aided diagnosis scheme.....	2
Figure 1.2	Distribution of breast ( <i>left</i> ) and thyroid ( <i>right</i> ) segmentation methods. ...	3
Figure 1.3	AC segmentation on the rough (a,b) and multiple (c,d) objects.....	4
Figure 1.4	AC segmentation problems on ultrasound images locally ( <i>red contours</i> ) and globally ( <i>blue contours</i> ). (a). Local minima (LM). (b). Leakage. (c,d). False area (FA). ....	5
Figure 2.1	Implicit representation of the points $-1$ and $1$ .....	12
Figure 2.2	Implicit function $\phi(x) = x^2 - 1$ .....	13
Figure 2.3	Two different implicit functions having the interface $\partial\Omega = \{-1, 1\}$ .....	13
Figure 2.4	Implicit representation of the curve $x^2 + y^2 = 1$ . ....	14
Figure 2.5	Distance function. ....	15
Figure 2.6	Implicit representation of a signed distance function-SDF. ....	16
Figure 2.7	One-dimensional cell corner mesh grid. ....	17
Figure 2.8	Two-dimensional cell corner mesh grid. ....	17
Figure 2.9	Blending surfaces of two circle. ....	20
Figure 2.10	Example of the upwind simulation $u = 1$ . ....	23
Figure 2.11	Evolution of a nine leaved rose-shaped interface in the outward direction. ....	28
Figure 2.12	Evolution of a star-shaped interface in the inward direction. ....	28
Figure 2.13	Boolean logic in binary images. ....	31
Figure 2.14	Overlapping areas in the segmentation results. ....	32
Figure 2.15	Effect of shape and size of strel on <i>erosion</i> . ....	34
Figure 2.16	Effect of shape and size of strel on <i>dilation</i> . ....	35
Figure 2.17	<i>Opening</i> and <i>closing</i> with strel circle.....	36
Figure 2.18	The Hit-or-Miss transformation. ....	37
Figure 2.19	Convex hull. ....	38
Figure 2.20	Labeling 4-connected components ( <i>left side</i> ) and 8-connected components ( <i>right side</i> ).....	40
Figure 2.21	Filling holes.....	41
Figure 2.22	Contour representation on the level set evolution.....	44
Figure 2.23	Illustration of the change of topology. ....	44
Figure 2.24	(a&b). Constant speed motions by $c = -1$ and $c = 1$ respectively, (c). Mean curvature motion.....	45
Figure 2.25	GAC segmentation on synthetic image $128 \times 128$ . (a-c). Green initial contour & red final contour. (d-f). Binary visualization. (g-i). Final LSF evolution.....	51
Figure 2.26	Edge stopping function-ESF $g$ in GAC.....	51
Figure 2.27	(a-d). Initial green contour, blue CV's contour and red GAC's contour. (e-h). Binary output segmentation. (i-l). Final LSF. ....	54

Figure 2.28	(a). Green initial contour. (b). LSE by $\Delta t = 0.1$ without reinitialization. (c-e). LSE with reinitialization by $\Delta t = 0.1; 0.5; 1$ respectively. (f-j). Corresponding binary ZLS. (k). Initial SDF. (l-o). Final LSF evolution. ....	56
Figure 2.29	Sequential from columns 1-5 is the green initial contour followed by LSE CV model using DRLSE1, DRLSE2, SGBFRLS and RDLSE respectively. ....	59
Figure 2.30	Inhomogeneous object segmentation with DRLSE2 $\mu = 1, \epsilon = 1$ by $\Delta t = 0.2$ . (a). Initial contour. (f). ESF $G_\sigma = 5 \times 5, \sigma = 1.5$ . (k). Initial step function. (Column 2). GAC $v = 1$ . (Column 3). CV $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \epsilon = 1$ . (Column 4&5). LBF & LGDF with $K_\sigma = 3, \gamma = 0.05 \times 255 \times 255, \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \epsilon = 1$ . ....	62
Figure 2.31	(Row 1). GACV $\Delta t = 5$ with ESF $G_\sigma = 5 \times 5, \sigma = 1.5$ using RD regularization by $\Delta t_2 = 0.1$ which each of them (a). $\beta = 0$ (b). $\beta = 10^{-6}$ (c). $\beta = 10^{-5}$ (d). $\beta = 1$ . (Row 2). LGBF $\Delta t = 0.2, K_\sigma = 3, \gamma = 0.05 \times 255 \times 255, \epsilon = 1$ using DRLSE2 $\mu = 0.1/\Delta t$ with (e). $\beta = 0$ (f). $\beta = 0.1$ (g). $\beta = 0.5$ (h). $\beta = 1$ . ....	64
Figure 2.32	Categorization of AC models. ....	65
Figure 3.1	The sample dataset. (a). Single breast lesion. (b). Single thyroid nodule. (c). Double breast lesion. (d). Triple thyroid nodule. (e-h). Contouring the ground truth. (i-l). Binary ground truth. ....	67
Figure 3.2	Lines (a-e) in a row respectively are the initial contour $C_0$ , global evolution of non-variational Equation (3.3), variational Equation (3.4), Equation (3.7) and (3.9). ....	72
Figure 3.3	Initial and final LSF of global evolution HERBAC. ....	74
Figure 3.4	Initial and final BSF $\zeta_1$ of global evolution HERBAC. ....	75
Figure 3.5	Initial and final BSF $\zeta_2$ of global evolution HERBAC. ....	75
Figure 3.6	BSF $\zeta$ . (a-c). Shrinking mode. (d). Expanding mode. ....	76
Figure 3.7	Lines (a) to (c) are the final iso-contour ZLS of local HERBAC evolution, final LSF and corresponding binary images, respectively. ....	76
Figure 3.8	Global evolution HERBAC with center circle as $C_0$ . ....	77
Figure 3.9	Lines (a-d) are strel-disk $B$ , opening, border clearing and filling holes respectively. ....	78
Figure 3.10	Lines (a-c) respectively are convex hull, bounding box and the outer circle. ....	79
Figure 3.11	Automated lesion detection. ....	80
Figure 3.12	Automated placement of initial contour $C_0$ on the ultrasound images. ....	81
Figure 3.13	HERBAC evolution trend in shrinking mode $\kappa = 1$ and expanding $\kappa = -1$ . ....	84
Figure 3.14	Schematic HERBAC model. ....	87
Figure 3.15	ACBF framework. ....	89
Figure 3.16	Bland-Altman plot illustration. ....	90

Figure 4.1	GAC Segmentation with SBFRLS $G_\sigma = 5 \times 5, \sigma = 3$ . (a-d) ESF $G_\sigma = 7 \times 7, \sigma = 5, G_\sigma = 3 \times 3, \sigma = 1, G_\sigma = 9 \times 9, \sigma = 5, G_\sigma = 9 \times 9, \sigma = 0.5$ respectively. Rows (2-4) are final ZLS contour, final binary views and final LSF respectively. ....	92
Figure 4.2	CV $\gamma = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \epsilon = 1$ dengan SBFRLS $G_\sigma = 5 \times 5, \sigma = 3$ . (a-d) Final ZLS kontur. (e-h). Corresponding binary images. (i-l). Final LSF. ....	93
Figure 4.3	LBF by $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \epsilon = 1$ with DRLSE regularization by $\mu = 0.1$ . (a) $K_\sigma = 7, \gamma = 0.03 \times 255 \times 255$ . (b) $K_\sigma = 10, \gamma = 0.01 \times 255 \times 255$ . (c) $K_\sigma = 15, \gamma = 0.05 \times 255 \times 255$ . (d) $K_\sigma = 5, \gamma = 0.05 \times 255 \times 255$ . (e-h). Corresponding binary images. (i-l). Final LSF. ....	95
Figure 4.4	LGDF $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \epsilon = 1$ SBFRLS $G_\sigma = 5 \times 5, \sigma = 3$ . (a) $K_\sigma = 10, \gamma = 0.05 \times 255 \times 255$ . (b) $K_\sigma = 15, \gamma = 0.03 \times 255 \times 255$ . (c) $K_\sigma = 7, \gamma = 0.01 \times 255 \times 255$ . (d) $K_\sigma = 5, \gamma = 0.05 \times 255 \times 255$ . (e-h). Corresponding binary images. (i-l). Final LSF. ....	95
Figure 4.5	GACV with RD regularization by $\Delta t_2 = 0.01$ . (a) $\beta = 0.1$ . (b) $\beta = 0.01$ . (c) $\beta = 0.001$ . (d) $\beta = 0.0001$ . (e-h). Corresponding binary images. (i-l). Final LSF. ....	97
Figure 4.6	LGBF $K_\sigma = 10, \gamma = 0.05 \times 255 \times 255$ regularisasi DRLSE $\mu = 0.1 / \Delta t$ . (a) $\beta = 0.1$ . (b) $\beta = 0.01$ . (c) $\beta = 0.5$ . (d) $\beta = 0.0005$ . (e-h). Corresponding binary images. (i-l). Final LSF. ....	97
Figure 4.7	Speckle reduction by BF. (a-d) Original images. (e-h) BF-filtered images. ....	99
Figure 4.8	CV's evolution by $\gamma = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \epsilon = 1$ . (a-d). Segmentation of original ultrasound images. (e-h). BSF generated from original ultrasound images. (i-l). Segmentation of BF-filtered ultrasound images. (e-h). BSF generated from BF-filtered images. ....	100
Figure 4.9	Final GAC segmentation. (a-l). Utilizing BSF generated from original ultrasound images. (m-x). Utilizing BSF generated from BF-filtered ultrasound images. ....	101
Figure 4.10	Interactive HERBAC performance on ultrasound image segmentation. ....	102
Figure 4.11	Autoadaptive HERBAC performance on ultrasound image segmentation. ....	102
Figure 4.12	Similarity scores of various segmentation methods in ultrasound sample images. ....	105
Figure 4.13	Computation costs of various segmentation methods in ultrasound sample images. ....	105
Figure 4.14	Similarity scores of ACBF, Interactive and Autoadaptive HERBAC. ....	106
Figure 4.15	Computation costs of ACBF, Interactive and Autoadaptive HERBAC. ..	106
Figure 4.16	Box plot of ACBF, Interactive and Autoadaptive HERBAC. ....	106