

## *Daftar Pustaka*

- Abdel-Maksoud, A., Elbanna, S., Mahama, H., & Pollanen, R. 2015. *The use of performance information in strategic decision making in public organizations*. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 28(7), 528-549.
- Abdullah, Syukriy & Halim, Abdul. 2006. *Hubungan dan Masalah Keagenan di Pemerintahan Daerah*. *Jurnal Akuntansi Pemerintahan* 2 (1), 53-64, 2006.
- Ahyaruddin, M. , and R. Akbar. 2018. *Indonesian Local Government's Accountability and Performance: the Isomorphism Institutional Perspective*. *Jurnal Akuntansi & Investasi (JAI)*, Vol.19 (1), 1-11
- Ahyaruddin, M. , and R. Akbar. 2017. *Akuntabilitas dan Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah: Semu atau Nyata*. *Jurnal Akuntansi & Auditing Indonesia (JAAI)*, Vol.21 (2), 105-117 <http://journal.uui.ac.id/JAAI/article/view/10535>
- Ahyaruddin, M. , and R. Akbar. 2016. *The Relationship between the Use of a Performance Measurement System, Organizational Factors, Accountability, and the Performance of Public Sector Organizations*. *Journal of Indonesian Economy & Business (JIEB)*, Vol.31 (1), 1- 22
- Akbar, R., R. Pilcher, and B. Perrin. 2015. *Implementing Performance Measurement Systems: Local Government under Pressure*. *Qualitative Research in Accounting & Management (QRAM)*, Vol. 12 (1), 3-33.
- Akbar, R., R. Pilcher, and B. Perrin. 2012. *Performance Measurement in Indonesia: The Case of Local Government*. *Pacific Accounting Review (PAR)*, Vol. 24 (3), p. 262-291
- Akbar, Rusdi. 2011. *Performance Measurement and Accountability in Indonesian Local Government*. Thesis presented for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Curtin University, School of Accounting, Curtin Business School.
- Ammons, D., & Rivenbark, W. 2008. *Factors influencing the use of performance data to improve municipal services: Evidence from the North Carolina Benchmarking Project*. *Public Administration Review*, 68(2), 304-318.
- Astuti, R. T., and Adiwibowo, A. S., 2011. *Persepsi terhadap Pengembangan Sistem Pengukuran, Akuntabilitas, dan Penggunaan Informasi Kinerja di Instansi Pemerintah*. <http://www.eprint.undip.ac.id>.
- Ashworth, R.; Boyne, G.; Delbridge, R. 2007. *Escape from the Iron Cage? Organizational Change and Isomorphic Pressures in the Public Sector*. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 19(1), 165–187.

- Bachri, Bachtiar S. 2010. *Meyakinkan Validitas Data Melalui Triangulasi pada Penelitian Kualitatif*. Jurnal Teknologi Pendidikan 10 (1), 46-62.
- Behn, R. D. 2003. *Why measure performance? Different purposes require different measures*. Public Administration Review, 63 (5), 586-606.
- Brewer, G. A., and S. C. Selden. 2000. *Why elephants gallop: Assessing and predicting organizational performance in federal agencies*. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory 10 (4): 685–711.
- Bourdeaux, C., & Chikoto, G. 2008. *Legislative influences on performance management reform*. Public Administration Review, 68(2), 253-265.
- Bouckaert, Geert. 1993. *Measurement and Meaningful Management*. Public Productivity & Management Review 17(1): 31–43.
- Braun, V. & Clarke, V. 2006. *Using Thematic Analysis In Psychology*. Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3 (2), 77-10.
- Caiden, N. 1998. *Public Service Professionalism for Performance Measurement and Evaluation*. Public Budgeting Finance, 18(2), 35–52.
- Cavalluzzo, K. S., and C. D. Ittner. 2004. “*Implementing Performance Measurement Innovations: Evidence From Government*.” Accounting, Organizations and Society, 29 (3-4), 243-267.
- Chiarini, A., 2016. *Strategies for improving performance in the Italian local government organizations: Can ISO 9001 really help?* Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 33(3), pp.344–360
- Creswell, John W. and Vicki L. Plano Clark. 2011. *Designing and conducting mixed methods research*. Second Edition. Sage Publication, USA.
- Creswell, John W. 2014. *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches*. 4 ed. California: SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Corvellec, Hervé. 2003. *Narratives of organizational performance*. 10.1075/aos.11.10cor.
- Deephouse, D. L., & Carter, S. M. 2005. *An examination of differences between organizational legitimacy and organizational reputation*. Journal of Management Studies, 42(2), 329–360.
- Dacin, Tina & Goodstein, Jerry & Scott, W. 2002. *Institutional Theory and Institutional Change: Introduction to the Special Research Forum*. The Academy of Management Journal. 45. 10.2307/3069284.

- deHaven-Smith, Lance, and Kenneth C. Jenne II. 2006. *Management by Inquiry: A Discursive Accountability System for Large Organizations*. Public Administration Review 66(1): 64–76.
- Dunk, A. S., and A. F. Lysons, 1997. “An Analysis of Departmental Effectiveness, Participative Budgetary Control Processes and Environmental Dimensionality within the Competing Values Framework: A Public Sector Study.” Financial Accountability & Management 13, 1-15.
- DiMaggio, Paul J., Walter W. Powell. 1983. “The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields.” American Sociological Review, 48, no. 2: 147-160
- Dimitrijevska-Markoski, Tamara dan French, P. Edward. 2019. *Determinants of Public Administrators' Use of Performance Information: Evidence from Local Governments in Florida*. Public Administration Review, Vol. 00, Iss. 00, pp. 00. © 2019 by The American Society for Public Administration. DOI: 10.1111/puar.13036
- Dimitrijevska-Markoski, Tamara. 2019. *The Impact of Performance Measurement And Performance Information Use On Municipal And County Performance*. PAQ, Vol. 43 No. 1, (2019).
- Dull, Matthew. 2009. *Results-Model Reform Leadership: Questions of Credible Commitment*. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory .
- Edwards, David, and John Clayton Thomas. 2005. *Developing a Municipal Performance-Measurement System: Reflections of the Atlanta Dashboard*. Public Administration Review 65(3): 369–76.
- Eliuz, Sedat, Naim Kapucu, Yusuf Ustun, and Cihan Demirhan. 2017. *Predictors of an Effective Performance Measurement System: Evidence from Municipal Governments in Turkey*. International Journal of Public Administration 40(4): 329–41.
- Friedman, M. 2005. *Trying Hard is Not Good Enough: How to Produce Measurable Improvements for Customers and Communities*. Washington D.C.: FSPI.
- Frumkin & Galaskiewicz. 2004. *Institutional Isomorphism and Public Sector Organizations*. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, Volume 14, Issue 3, July 2004, Pages 283-307.
- Fein dan Mizruchi. 1999. *The Social Construction of Organizational Knowledge: A Study of the Uses of Coercive, Mimetic, and Normative Isomorphism*. Administrative Science Quarterly, Dec., 1999, Vol. 44, No. 4 (Dec., 1999), pp. 653-683

- Ghozali, Imam & Latan, Hengky. 2015. *Partial Least Squares Konsep, Teknik dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program SmartPLS 3.0 Untuk Penelitian Empiris*. Semarang: Universitas Diponegoro.
- Grizzle, Gloria & Pettijohn, Carole. 2002. *Implementing Performance-Based Program Budgeting: A System-Dynamics Perspective*. *Public Administration Review*. 62. 51-62. 10.1111/1540-6210.00154.
- Gudono. 2012. *Analisis Data Multivariat [Multivariate Data Analysis]*. 2nd Edition. Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Gudono. 2012. *Teori Organisasi*. Yogyakarta: BPFE
- Hair, J.F., Risher, J.J., Sarstedt, M. and Ringle, C.M. 2018. *When To Use And How To Report The Results of PLS-SEM*. *European Business Review*, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 2-24.
- Hall, Jeremy L. 2017. *Performance Management: Confronting the Challenges for Local Government*. *Public Administration Quarterly* 41(1): 43–66.
- Hammerschmid, Gerhard, Steven Van de Walle, and Vid Stimac. 2013. *Internal and External Use of Performance Information in Public Organizations: Results from an International Survey*. *Public Money & Management* 33(4): 261–68.
- Hartono, Jogyanto, and Abdillah, Willy. 2009. *Konsep dan Aplikasi PLS (Patial Least Square) untuk Penelitian Empiris*. Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Hatry, H. P. 2002. *Performance measurement: Fashions and fallacies*. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 25(4), 352-358.
- Hennink, Monique, Inge Hutter, Ajay Bailey. 2011. *Qualitative Research Methods*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd. Hal. 109-111.
- Ho, A. T. 2005. *Accounting For The Value Of Performance Measurement From The Perspective Of Midwestern Mayors*. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 16, 217-237.
- Hubbard, G. 2009. *Measuring Organizational Performance: Beyond The Triple Bottom Line*. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 18(3), 177- 191
- Ilggen, D. R., & Schneider, J. 1991. *Performance measurement: A multi-discipline view*. In C. L. Cooper & I. T. Robertson (Eds.), *International review of industrial and organizational psychology* (Vol. 6, pp. 71–108). Chichester: Wiley.
- Istiqomah, Nur. 2018. *Analisis Implementasi Government Finance Statistics Di Indonesia: Pendekatan Teori Institusional*. *Indonesian Treasury Review Jurnal Perbendaharaan, Keuangan Negara dan Kebijakan Publik*.

- Järvensivu, T., & Törnroos, J.-Å. 2010. *Case study research with moderate constructionism: Conceptualization and practical illustration*. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 39(1), 100–108.
- Johnson, R. B., & Onwuegbuzie, A. J. 2004. *Mixed methods research: A research paradigm whose time has come*. *Educational Researcher*, 33(7), 14-26. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X033007014>
- Julnes, Patria de Lancer. 2008. *Performance Measurement Beyond Instrumental Use*. In *Performance Information in the Public Sector: How It Is Used*, edited by Wouter Van Dooren and Steven Van de Walle, 58–71. Basingstoke: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Jun, Kyu-Nahm & Weare, Christopher. 2010. *Institutional Motivations in the Adoption of Innovations: The Case of E-Government*. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*.
- Kamensky, J. 1993. *Program Performance Measures: Designing a System to Manage for Results*. *Public Productivity & Management Review*, 395-402.
- Kim, Sangmook. 2005. *Individual-Level Factors and Organizational Performance in Government Organizations*. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory: J-PART*, Vol. 15, No. 2 (Apr., 2005), pp. 245-261. Published By: Oxford University Press
- Kroll, Alexander. 2015. *Drivers of Performance Information Use: Systematic Literature Review and Directions for Future Research*. *Public Performance & Management Review* 38(3): 459–86.
- Kwon, Myungjung and Hee Soun Jang. 2011. *Motivations Behind Using Performance Measurement: City-wide vs. Selective Users*. *Local Government Studies* 37 (6): 601–620.
- Lammers, J. C., & Barbour, J. B. 2006. *An institutional theory of organizational communication*. *Communication Theory*, 16(3), 356–377
- Lammers, J. C., & Garcia, M. A. 2009. *Exploring the concept of “profession” for organizational communication research: Institutional influences in a veterinary organization*. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 357–384.
- Lammers, J. C. 2011. *How Institutions Communicate: Institutional messages, institutional logics, and organizational communication*. *Management Communication Quarterly*, 25(1), 154–182.
- Lammers dan Garcia. 2017. *Institutional Theory Approaches*. In book: *The International Encyclopedia of Organizational Communication*. University of Illinois. . DOI: 10.1002/9781118955567.wbieoc113.

- Lebas, M., & Euske, K. 2007. *A conceptual and operational delineation of performance*. In A. Neely (Ed.), *Business Performance Measurement: Unifying Theory and Integrating Practice* (pp. 125-140). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511488481.008
- Maarouf, Heba. 2019. *Pragmatism as a Supportive Paradigm for the Mixed Research Approach: Conceptualizing the Ontological, Epistemological, and Axiological Stances of Pragmatism*. *International Business Research*; Vol. 12, No. 9; 2019.
- Melkers, J., & Willoughby, K. 2005. *Models of performance measurement use in local governments: Understanding budgeting, communication, and lasting effects*. *Public Administration Review*, 65(2), 180-190.
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. 1977. *Institutionalized organizations: Formal structure as myth and ceremony*. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340–363.
- Meyer, M. 2007. *Finding performance: The new discipline in management*. In A. Neely (Ed.), *Business Performance Measurement: Unifying Theory and Integrating Practice* (pp. 113-124). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511488481.007
- Modell, S. 2010. *Bridging the paradigm divide in management accounting research: The role of mixed methods approaches*. *Management Accounting Research*, 21(2), 124-129.
- Moon, M. Jae. 2000. *Organizational Commitment Revisited in New Public Management: Motivation, Organizational Culture, Sector, and Managerial Level*. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 24(2), 177–194.
- Morgan, D. L. 2007. *Paradigms lost and pragmatism regained: Methodological implications of combining qualitative and quantitative methods*. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1, 48-76.
- Motowidlo, S. J., Borman, W. C., & Schmit, M. J. 1997. *A theory of individual differences in task and contextual performance*. *Human Performance*, 71–83.
- Moynihan, Donald P. 2015. *Uncovering the Circumstances of Performance Information Use Findings from an Experiment*. *Public Performance & Management Review* 39(1): 33–57.
- Moynihan, D. P., & Lavertu, S. 2012. *Does involvement in performance management routines encourage performance information use? Evaluating GPRA and PART*. *Public Administration Review* 72(4), 592-602.
- Moynihan, Donald P., and Sanjay K. Pandey. 2010. *The Big Question for Performance Management: Why Do Managers Use Performance Information?*, *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*.

- Moynihan, Donald P., and Noel Landuyt. 2009. *How Do Public Organizations Learn? Bridging Cultural and Structural Perspectives*. *Public Administration Review* 69(6): 1097–1105.
- Moynihan, D. P., & Pandey S. K. 2005. *Testing how management matters in an era of government by performance management*. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory* 15 (3), 421-439.
- Moynihan, D. P. 2005. *Why and how do state governments adopt and implement "managing for results" reforms?* *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 15(2), 219-241.
- Neely, A., Gregory, M., & Platts, K. 1995. *Performance Measurement Systems Design: A Literature Review and Research Agenda*. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 15(40), 80-116
- Nielsen, P. A. 2013. *Performance Management, Managerial Authority, and Public Service Performance*. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 24(2), 431–458.
- Nurkhamid, M. 2008. *Implementasi Inovasi Sistem Pengukuran Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah*. *Jurnal Akuntansi Pemerintah*, 3 (1), 45-76.
- Osborne, Stephen P.; Tony Bovaird; Steve Martin; Mike Tricker; Piers Waterston 1995. *Performance Management and Accountability In Complex Public Programmes*. , 11(1), 19–37.
- Poister, T. H., Pasha, O.Q., Edwards L. H . 2013. *Does performance management lead to better outcomes? Evidence from U.S. public transit industry*. *Public Administration Review*, 73 (4), 625-636.
- Poister, Theodore H., and Gregory Streib. 1999. *Performance Measurement in Municipal Government: Assessing the State of the Practice*. *Public Administration Review* 59(4): 325–35.
- Pratiwi, I. , and R. Akbar. 2018. *Komitmen Afektif Manajemen, Implementasi Sistem Pengukuran Kinerja, Akuntabilitas, dan Kinerja Organisasi Publik dalam Perspektif Teori Institusional dan Teori Strukturasi*. *Jurnal Akuntansi Keuangan & Bisnis (JAKB)*, Vol.11 (1), 1-10
- Prier, E., McCue, C. and Behara, R. 2010. *The value of certification in public procurement: The birth of a profession?*, *Journal of Public Procurement*, Vol. 10 No. 4, pp. 512-540.
- Primarisanti, H. , and R. Akbar. 2015. *Factors Influencing the Success of Performance Measurement: Evidence from Local Government*. *Journal of Indonesian Economy & Business (JIEB)*, Vol.30 (1), 56-71

- Psomas, E., Pantouvakis, A. & Kafetzopoulos, D., 2013. *The impact of ISO 9001 effectiveness on the performance of service companies*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited, 23(2), pp.149–164.
- Putra, H.S. 2006. *Pengaruh faktor-faktor teknis dan organisasi terhadap pengembangan pengukuran kinerja pada akuntabilitas kinerja dan penggunaan informasi kinerja pemerintah daerah: Studi empiris di Propinsi DIY*. Tesis, Magister Akuntansi, UGM.
- Risakotta, K. A. , and R. Akbar. 2018. *The Effect of Internal and External Accountability, Job Motivation and Education on Local Government's Officials Performance*. Journal of Indonesian Economy & Business (JIEB), Vol.33 (3), 257-272
- Rofiq, Faudy Akbar. 2018. *Analisis Faktor-faktor Komitmen Organisasional dan Pengaruhnya terhadap Kinerja Guru Madrasah Swasta di Jawa Tengah*. Desertasi pada Program Doktor Manajemen Pendidikan Islam Universitas Islam Negeri Raden Intan Lampung.
- Sale, J. E. M., Lohfeld, L. H., & Brazil, K. 2002. *Revisiting the quantitative-qualitative debate: Implications for mixed method research*. Quality and Quantity, 36, 43-53.
- Santosa, P.I., Wei, K.-K. and Chan, C.C. 2005. *User Involvement and User Satisfaction With Information-Seeking Activity*. European Journal of Information Systems, Vol. 14, pp. 361-70.
- Selznick, P. 1996. *Institutionalism "old" and "new"*. Administrative Science Quarterly, 4(2), 270-277.
- Sonntag, Sabine dan Frese, Michael. 2005. *Performance Concepts and Performance Theory*. 10.1002/0470013419.ch1.
- Scott. 2004. *Institutional Theory: Contributing to a Theoretical Research Program*. Oxford UK: Oxford University Press.
- Scott, W. Richard., 1987. *The Adolescence of Institutional Theory*. Administrative Science Quarterly, 32, 493-511.
- Scott, W. R., & Meyer, J. W. 1983. *The organization of societal sectors*. In J. W. Meyer & W. R. Scott (Eds.), *Organizational environments: Ritual and rationality* (pp. 129–153). Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE.
- Scott, W.R. 2008. *Institutions and Organizations: Ideas and Interests*. 3rd ed. Sage Publications, Los Angeles, CA.
- Scheps, Philip B. 2000. *Linking Performance Measures to Resource Allocation*. Government Finance Review 16(3): 11–15.

- Sobirin, Achmad. 2014. *Manajemen Kinerja*. Edisi 1. Tangerang Selatan: Universitas Terbuka, 2014. ISBN 9789790118478
- Sofyani, H., R. Akbar, and R.D. Ferrer. 2018. *20 Years of Performance Measurement System (PMS) Implementation in Indonesian Local Governments: Why is Their Performance Still Poor?.* Asian Journal of Business & Accounting (AJBA), Vol.11 (1), 151-183
- Sofyani, H., and R. Akbar. 2013. *Hubungan Faktor Internal Institusi & Implementasi Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah (SAKIP) di Pemerintah Daerah.* Jurnal Akuntansi & Keuangan Indonesia, Vol.10(2).
- Spekle, Roland F. and Verbeeten, Frank H. M. 2013. *The Use of Performance Measurement Systems In The Public Sector: Effects on performance.* Management Accounting Research 25, 131-146.
- Syachbrani, W., and Akbar, R. 2020. *The Influence Factors of the Development of Performance Measurement Systems in Indonesia Central Government.* Proceedings of the 5th NA International Conference on Industrial Engineering & Operations Management Detroit, Michigan, USA, August 10-14
- Tolbert, P. S., & Zucker, L. G. 1983. *Institutional sources of change in the formal structures of organizations: The diffusion of civil service reform 1880–1935.* Administrative Science Quarterly, 28(1), 22–39
- Van de Ven, A.H., Ferry, D.L., 1980. *Measuring and Assessing Organizations.* Wiley, New York
- Van de Walle, Steven, and Wouter Van Dooren. 2010. *How Is Information Used to Improve Performance in the Public Sector? Exploring the Dynamics of Performance Information.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Van Dooren, Wouter, Geert Bouckaert, and John Halligan. 2010. *Performance Management in the Public Sector.* New York: Routledge.
- Verbeeten, F. H. M. 2008. “*Performance Management Practices in Public Sector Organizations: Impact on Performance.*” Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal 21, 427-454
- Wang, X. 2000. *Performance measurement in budgeting: A study of county governments.* Public Budgeting and Finance, 20(3), 102-118
- Wang, X.H. & E. Berman, E. 2001. *Hypotheses About Performance Measurement In Counties: Findings from a survey.* Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 11(3), 403–428.

- Walsh, James P. (1988). *Selectivity and Selective Perception: An Investigation of Managers' Belief Structures and Information Processing*. *Academy of Management Journal*, 31(4), 873–896.
- Wholey, J. S. 1999. *Performance-based management: Responding to The Challenges*. *Public Productivity and Management Review*, 22(3), 288-307.
- Wijaya, A. C. H., and R. Akbar. 2012. *The Influences of Information, Goals and Objectives of Organization, and External Pressures towards the Use of Performance Measurement System in Public Sectors*. Asia-America-Africa-Australia (A4). Public Finance Management Conference.
- Wijayanto, Yuli. 2017. *Evaluasi Implementasi Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah (Studi pada Kantor Pengawasan dan Pelayanan Bea dan Cukai Kudus)*. Tesis, Program Studi Magister Akuntansi Fakultas Ekonomika dan Bisnis Universitas Gadjah Mada Yogyakarta, 2017.
- World Bank. 2014. *Public Sector Performance*. BRIEF. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/governance/brief/public-sector-performance> diakses tanggal 10 Maret 2021.
- Yang, Kaifeng, and Jun Yi Hsieh. 2007. *Managerial Effectiveness of Government Performance Measurement: Testing a Middle-Range Model*. *Public Administration Review* 67(5): 861–79.
- Zucker, Lynne G. 1987. *Institutional Theories of Organization*. *Annual Review of Sociology*, 13(), 443–464.