

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- ACFE. (2018). RTNT: Global 2018. *Global Fraud Study*, 15(2), 79. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2222608>
- Albrecht, W. S., Albrecht, C. C., Albrecht, C. O., & Zimbelman, M. F. (1966). Fraud Examination. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 112(483), 211–212. <https://doi.org/10.1192/bjp.112.483.211-a>
- Asare, S. ., & Wright, A. . (2004). The Effectiveness of Alternative Risk Assessment and Programme Planning Tools in a Fraud Setting. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 21(2), 325–352.
- Bellovary, J. L., & Johnstone, K. M. (2007). Descriptive Evidence from Audit Practice on SAS No. 99 Brainstorming Activities. *Current Issues in Auditing*, 1(1), A1–A11. <https://doi.org/10.2308/ciia.2007.1.1.a1>
- Brazel, J. F., Agoglia, C. P., & Hatfield, R. C. (2004). Electronic versus face-to-face review: The effects of alternative forms of review on auditors' performance. *Accounting Review*, 79(4), 949–966. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2004.79.4.949>
- Brazel, J. F., Carpenter, T. D., & Jenkins, J. G. (2010). Auditors' Use of Brainstorming in The Consideration of Fraud: Reports from The Field. *Accounting Review*, 85(4), 1273–1301. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2010.85.4.1273>
- Bunderson, J. S., & Sutcliffe, K. M. (2002). Comparing Alternative Conceptualizations of Functional Diversity in Management Teams : Process and Performance Effects Author ( s ): J . Stuart Bunderson and Kathleen M . Sutcliffe Source : The Academy of Management Journal , Vol . 45 , No . 5 ( Oct . , 20 . *Academy of Management Journal*, 45(5), 875–893. <https://doi.org/10.5465/3069319>
- Carpenter, T. D. (2007). Audit Team Brainstorming, Fraud Risk Identification, and Fraud Risk Assessment: Implications of SAS No. 99. *Accounting Review*, 82(5), 1119–1140. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2007.82.5.1119>
- Carpenter, T. D., Reimers, J. L., & Fretwell, P. Z. (2011). Internal Auditors' Fraud Judgments: The Benefits of Brainstorming in Groups. *Auditing*, 30(3), 211–224. <https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-10054>
- Cockrell, C., & Stone, D. N. (2011). Team Discourse Explains Media Richness and Anonymity Effects in Audit Fraud Cue Brainstorming. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 12(3), 225–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accinf.2011.04.001>
- Desai, N. (2015). The Effects of Group Brainstorming on The Auditor's Search for Potential Misstatements and Assessment of Fraud Risk in The Presence of Pressures and Opportunities. In *INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT*.
- Efendy, M. T. (2010). Pengaruh Kompetensi, Independensi, dan Motivasi terhadap Kualitas Audit Aparat Inspektorat dalam Pengawasan Keuangan Daerah. *Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, 1–109. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Fauzia, M. (2019). Kemenkeu: Ada Dugaan Hasil Audit Laporan Keuangan Garuda Tak Sesuai Standar Akuntansi. *Kompas.com*. Diambil dari <https://money.kompas.com/read/2019/06/14/124600926/kemenkeu--ada-dugaan-hasil-audit-laporan-keuangan-garuda-tak-sesuai-standar>
- Gissel, J. L., & Johnstone, K. M. (2017). Information Sharing during Auditors' Fraud Brainstorming: Effects of Psychological Safety and Auditor Knowledge. *AUDITING: A Journal of Practice*, 36 (2), 87–110. Diambil dari <https://doi.org/10.2308/ajpt-51519>
- Glover, S. M., Prawitt, D. F., Jr, J. J. S., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2003). A Test of Changes in Auditors' Fraud-Related Planning Judgments since the Issuance of SAS No. 82. *AUDITING: A Journal of Practice*, 2, 237–251.

<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2308/aud.2003.22.2.237>

- Hoffman, V. B., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2009). in Response to Fraud Risk? *The Accounting Review*, 84(3), 811–837.
- Hunton, J. E., & Gold, A. (2010). A Field Experiment Comparing The Outcomes of Three Fraud Brainstorming Procedures: Nominal Group, Round Robin, and Open Discussion. *Accounting Review*, 85(3), 911–935. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2010.85.3.911>
- Jessup, L. M., Connolly, T., & Galegher, J. (1990). The Effects of Anonymity on GDSS Group Process with An Idea-Generating Task. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 14(3), 313–321. <https://doi.org/10.2307/248893>
- Kala'tiku, T. A. R., Arifuddin, & Syamsuddin. (2018). Brainstorming sebagai Pemoderasi Pengaruh Pengalaman, Pelatihan, Skeptisisme Profesional dan Integritas terhadap Kemampuan Mendeteksi Kecurangan. *Jurnal Analisis*, 7(1), 81–90. <https://doi.org/2303-100X>
- Knapp, C. A., & Knapp, M. C. (2001). The Effects of Experience and Explicit Fraud Risk Assessment in Detecting Fraud with Analytical Procedures. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 26(1), 25–37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(00\)00005-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(00)00005-2)
- Lynch, A. L., Murthy, U. S., & Engle, T. J. (2009). Fraud Brainstorming Using Computer-Mediated Communication: The Effects of Brainstorming Technique and Facilitation. *Accounting Review*, 84(4), 1209–1232. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr.2009.84.4.1209>
- Nassir, M.-D. M., Sanusi, Z. M., & Ghani, E. K. (2016). Effect of Brainstorming and Expertise on Fraud Risk Assessment. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 6(4), 62–67.
- Nassir, M.-D. M., Sanusi, Z. M., Ghani, E. K., & Prabowo, H. (2016). Assessment of Fraud Risk Performance: Interaction Effects of Brainstorming and Task Structure of Government Auditors. *Malaysian Accounting Review*, 15(2), 111–126.
- Nieschwietz, R., Schultz Jr, J., & Zimbelman, M. (2000). Empirical Research on External Auditors' Detection of Financial Statement Fraud. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 19(June), 190.
- Osborn, A. F. (1957). *Applied imagination : Principles and Procedures of Creative Thinking*. New York: Charles Scribner's Sons.
- Popova, V. (2013). Exploration of Skepticism, Client-Specific Experiences, and Audit Judgments. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 28(2), 140–160. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02686901311284540>
- Postmes, T., Spears, R., & Cihangir, S. (2001). Quality of Decision Making and Group Norms. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 80(6), 918–930. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.80.6.918>
- Schippers, M. C., Den Hartog, D. N., Koopman, P. L., & Wienk, J. A. (2003). Diversity and Team Outcomes: The Moderating Effects of Outcome Interdependence and Group Longevity and The Mediating Effect of Reflexivity. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 24(6), 779–802. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.220>
- Spencer, L. M., & Spencer, S. M. (1993). *Models for Superior Performance*.
- Stasser, G. (1999). A Primer of Social Decision Scheme Theory: Models of Group Influence, Competitive Model-Testing, and Prospective Modeling. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 80(1), 3–20. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1999.2851>
- Tang, J., & Karim, K. E. (2018). Financial Fraud Detection and Big Data Analytics – Implications on Auditors' Use of Fraud Brainstorming Session. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 34(3), 324–337. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MAJ-01-2018-1767>
- Walfajri, M. (2019). Banyak Masalah, Empat Pemain ini Tetap Dominasi Bisnis KAP di Indonesia. *Kontan.co.id*. Diambil dari <https://keuangan.kontan.co.id/news/banyak-masalah-empat-pemain-ini-tetap-dominasi-bisnis-kap-di-indonesia>



**PERSEPSI AUDITOR TERHADAP PROSES SESI CURAH PENDAPAT PADA KANTOR BIG FOUR DAN KAP DI YOGYAKARTA**

DINA PRICILLIA YUDAS, Dian Kartika Rahajeng, S.E., M.Sc., P.hD

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2021 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

UNIVERSITAS  
GADJAH MADA

- Wilks, T. J., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2004). Decomposition of Fraud-Risk Assessments and Auditors' Sensitivity to Fraud Cues. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 21(3), 719–745. <https://doi.org/10.1506/HGXP-4DBH-59D1-3FHJ>
- Zimbelman, M. F. (1997). The Effects of SAS No. 82 on Auditors' Attention to Fraud Risk Factors and Audit Planning Decisions. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 35(82), 75. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2491454>