

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER .....	ii
APPROVAL SHEET .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iv
PREFACE .....	vi
DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY .....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	viii
LIST OF TABLES .....	x
LIST OF FIGURES .....	xi
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	xii
LIST OF NOMENCLATURE .....	xiii
ABSTRACT .....	xiv
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Research background .....	1
1.2 Problems.....	4
1.3 Research proposes .....	4
1.4 Research advantages .....	4
CHPAPTER II LITERATURES REVIEW .....	5
2.1 <i>Bacillus cereus</i> .....	5
2.2 <i>B.cereus</i> toxin.....	7
2.2.1 Diarrhea syndrome .....	9
2.2.2 Emetic syndrome.....	12
2.3 Quantitative Microbial Risk Assessment (QMRA) .....	14
2.4 Risk Assessment Paradigm. ....	15
2.5 Dose-response assessment .....	15
2.5.1 Exponential dose-response model.....	18
2.5.2 Beta-Poisson dose-response model .....	20
2.5.3 Weibull dose-response model .....	23
2.6 Modified Gompertz Model .....	24
2.7 Infection Dose 50 (ID50) .....	25
2.8 Maximum Likelihood Estimation .....	26
2.8.1 Likelihood .....	26
2.8.2 Likelihood Ratio Test.....	28
2.9 Least Square Estimation.....	29
2.10 Hypothesis.....	30
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODS .....	31
3.1 Research Methods .....	31
3.2 Research Design.....	32
3.3 Research Procedures .....	34
3.3.1 Literature studies .....	34

3.3.2	Data sets collection .....	34
3.3.3	Dose-response modelling .....	35
3.3.4	ID50 of <i>B.cereus</i> caused syndrome.....	37
3.3.5	Model Application .....	37
	Bacterial growth curve .....	37
	Scenarios of food contamination.....	39
3.4	Data Analysis .....	40
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.....		41
4.1	Dose-response Models .....	41
4.1.1	Diarrhea syndrome .....	41
4.1.2	Emetic syndrome.....	44
4.2	Model Application .....	46
4.2.1	Bacterial growth curve .....	46
4.2.2	Scenario of Food Contamination .....	50
	Diarrhea syndrome .....	50
	Emetic syndrome.....	54
CHAPTER V CONCLUTION AND SUGGESTION.....		58
5.1	Conclusion .....	58
5.2	Suggestion .....	58
REFERENCES.....		60
APPENDIX		