

## Bibliography

- Bazeley, P. 2004. Issues in mixing qualitative and quantitative approaches to research. In R. Ruber, J. Gardner, and L. Richards, editors. Applying qualitative methods to marketing management research. Basingstoke, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Bichou, Khalid. 2009. Port operations, planning and logistic, Informa: London.
- Bowen, D.J., Kreuter, M., Spring, B., Cofta-Woerpel, L., Linnan, L., Weiner, D., Bakken, S., Patrick, Kaplan, C., Squires, L., Fabrizio, C., Fernandez. M. 2009. How we design Feasibility Studies. American Journal of Preventive Medicine, 36 (5) : 452-457
- Cooper, H. W., and Ebin, L. A., 2004. What to look for in a project feasibility study – Part 1 – Here’s how to judge the strengths and weaknesses of its technical and economic content. Hydrocarbon Processing, 83(9): 70-75
- Creswell, J. W., and Plano Clark, V. L. 2007. Designing and conducting mixed methods research. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Boardman, Anthony E., Greenberg, David A., Vining, Aidan., Weimar, David., 2014. *Cost-benefit analysis: concepts and practice*. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. Essex: Pearson.
- Brugha, R., and Varvasovsky, Z. 2000. Stakeholder analysis: a review. Health Policy and Planning 15: 239-246.
- Djankov, S., Freund, C., Pham, C., 2006. Trading on Time. World Bank Research Working Papers. No. 3909. Washington DC: World Bank.
- Ethiraj, S. K., Kalle, P., Krishnan, M. S., and Sing, J. V. 2005. Where do capabilities come from and how do they matter? A study in the software services industry. Strategic Management Journal, 26: 25-45.

- Freeman, A. M. 2003. *The Measurement of Environmental and Resources Values: Theory and Methods*, Washington, D. C.: Resources for the future.
- Fusco Girard, Luigi., 2013. *Toward a Smart Sustainable Development of Port Cities/Areas: The Role of the "Historic Urban Landscape" Approach*. *Sustainability* 5, no. 10: 4329-4348.
- Gorse, Christopher., Johnston, David., Pritchard, Martin. 2012. *A dictionary of construction, surveying, and civil engineering*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Johnson, B., and Christensen, L. 2004. *Educational Research: Quantitative, qualitative and mixed approaches (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.)*. Boston: Pearson.
- Kim, Jonghoe., and Morrison, James R. 2011. Offshore port service concepts: classification and economic feasibility. *Flexible Services and Manufacturing Journal*, 24: 214-245.
- Korinek, J. and Sourdin, P. 2019. *Clarifying Trade Costs: maritime Transport and its Effect on Agricultural Trade*. OECD Trade Policy Papers No. 92. Paris: OECD Publishing [Online] Available at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/220157847513> [Accessed 7 June 2015]
- Kroes, James R., Chen, Yuwen., Mangiameli, Paul., 2013. Estimating Demand for Container Freight Service at the Port of Davisville. *Interface* Vol. 42. No 2: 170-181 [Online] Available at: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/23481180> [Accessed 7 June 2015].
- Martinez-Zarzoso, I. and Nowak-Leman, F. 2007. Is Distance a Good Proxy for Transport Costs? The Case of Competing Transport Modes. *Journal of International Trade and Economic Development* Vol 16 No 3: 411-434.
- McElroy B, Mills C. 2000. Managing stakeholders. In: Turner RJ, Simister SJ, editors. *Gower handbook of project management*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Gower publishing limited.

- Memos, Constantine D. 2004. Port Planning. In: Tsinker, Gregory P, editors. Port Engineering: Planning, Construction, Maintenance and Security. John Wiley and Sons.
- Molinos-Senante, Maria., Hernandez-Sancho, Francesc., Sala-Garrido, Ramon. 2010. *Economic Feasibility study for wastewater treatment: A cost-benefit analysis*. Science of the Total Environment 408: 4396-4402.
- MoT Indonesia. 2006. Regulation of State Minister of Transportation No. 54: Master Plan Tanjung Perak port. [Online] Available at: [http://jdih.dephub.go.id/assets/uudocs/permen/2006/km\\_no\\_54\\_tahun\\_2006.pdf](http://jdih.dephub.go.id/assets/uudocs/permen/2006/km_no_54_tahun_2006.pdf) [Accessed 7 June 2015]
- OECD. 2014. *The Competitiveness of Global Port-Cities*. London: OECD Publishing.
- Olander, Stefan., Landin, Anne. 2005. Evaluation of stakeholder influence in the implementation of construction projects. International Journal of Project Management 23: 321-328.
- Pérez-Mesa, J., Céspedes-Lorente, J., and Andujar, J. 2010. *Feasibility Study for a Motorway of the Sea (MoS) between Spain and France: Application to the Transportation of Perishable Cargo*. Transport Reviews vol 30 no 4: 451-471.
- Punch, Keith F. 2008. Introduction to social research: quantitative and qualitative approaches. London: Sage.
- Rodrigue, Jean-Paul., Comtois, C., and Slack, B., 2006. *The Geography of Transport System*. 1<sup>st</sup> ed. New York: Routledge.
- Schepis, JP and Fleming, BJ. 1999. *Oakajee Port Development, Western Australia Feasibility Study, Investigations and Design*. Conference Paper In: Coasts and Ports 1999: Challenges and Directions for the New Century; Proceedings of the 14th Australasian Coastal and Ocean Engineering

Conference and the 7th Australasian Port and Harbour Conference. Barton, A.C.T.: National Committee on Coastal and Ocean Engineering, Institution of Engineers, Australia. [online] available at <http://0-search.informit.com.au.wam.city.ac.uk/documentSummary;dn=003304703716856;res=IELENG> [Accessed 7 June 2015].

She, Li-yin., Tam, Vivian., Tam, Leona., Ji, Ying-bo., 2010. *Project feasibility study: the key to successful implementation of sustainable and socially responsible construction management practice*. Journal of Cleaner Production 18: 254-259.

Smith, Michael E. 2011. Western North Carolina Inland Port Feasibility Study. [online] available at [http://www.wcu.edu/WebFiles/WNC\\_Inland\\_Port\\_Feasibility\\_Study.pdf](http://www.wcu.edu/WebFiles/WNC_Inland_Port_Feasibility_Study.pdf) [Accessed 7 June 2015].

Transnet. 2014. Transnet Long Term Planning Network 2014. [Online] Available at: <http://www.transnet.net/BusinessWithUs/Pages/LTPF2012.aspx> [Accessed 7 June 2015]

Yilmaz, Kaya. 2013. Comparison of Quantitative and Qualitative Research Traditions: epistemological, theoretical and methodological differences. European Journal of Education Vol 48 (2)

Yilmaz, Kaya. 2013. Comparison of Quantitative and Qualitative Research Traditions: epistemological, theoretical and methodological differences. European Journal of Education Vol 48 (2)