

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summary	i
Acknowledgements	iv
Abbreviations	vi
Table of Contents	vii
List of Tables	ix
List of Figures	x
Chapter 1: Introduction	1
1.1. Chapter 1 guidelines	1
1.1.1. Introduction	1
1.1.2. Background of this study	2
1.1.3. Background of case study	3
1.1.4. Problem Statement	8
1.1.5. Research Objective	10
1.1.6. Provisional Research Question(s)	11
1.1.7. The Significance of the Study	11
1.1.8. Scope and Limitations	12
1.1.9. Structure of the Research	12
Chapter 2: Literature review	14
2.1. Chapter 2 guidelines	14
2.1.1. Boundary Spanner Definitions and Other Terminologies of Boundary Spanner	14
2.1.2. Boundary Spanning Leadership	17
2.1.3. Type of boundaries and The Needs of Boundary Spanning in Community Based-Urban Sanitation Project	18
2.1.4. Boundary Spanning Tactics	21
2.1.5. Measuring the Quality of Boundary Spanning Activities	24
2.1.6. Condition of Boundary Spanning on Individual Level	26
2.1.7. Measuring Trust and Project Performance in Governance Networks	28
2.2. State of the Art of the Theories/Concepts of the Study	30
2.2.1. The needs of boundary spanner identification as first step of this study	30
3.1.1. Position of my study to general concept of boundary spanning	32
3.2. Conceptual Framework	32
Chapter 3: Research Design and Methods	34
3.1. Chapter 3 guidelines	34
3.1.1. Revised Research Question(s)	34
3.1.2. Operationalization: Variables, Indicators	34
3.1.3. Research Strategy	36
3.1.4. Data Collection Methods and Sampling	42
3.1.5. Data Sampling	48
3.1.6. Case Selections	49
3.1.7. Data Analysis Methods	51
Chapter 4: Research Findings	55
4.1. Chapter 4 guidelines	55

4.1.1. Community Based-Urban Sanitation (<i>SPBM-USRI</i>) Project	55
4.1.2. Three Cases Overview	59
4.1.3. Boundary Spanners of Community Based-Urban Sanitation (<i>SPBM-USRI</i>) Project	67
4.2. Boundary Spanning Activities of Public Managers.....	83
4.3. Three Case Analysis and Findings	89
4.3.1. Analysis of Boundary Spanning Activities and Their Qualities.....	89
4.4. Analysis of Individual Competencies and Characteristics of Boundary Spanners	126
4.4.1. Boundary Spanning Public Managers	127
4.4.2. Boundary Spanners of “A” urban neighbourhood.....	138
4.4.3. Boundary Spanners of “B” urban neighbourhood.....	147
4.4.4. Boundary Spanners of “C” urban neighbourhood.....	154
4.4.5. Quality of Boundary Spanners of <i>SPBM-USRI</i> Project.....	159
4.5. The explanation on How Individual Level of Boundary Spanners Influences Quality of Boundary Spanning Activities	163
4.6. Analysis of Trust Level in Three Selected Cases.....	167
4.7. Analysis of Project Performance in Three Selected Cases	169
4.7.1. “A” urban neighbourhood	169
4.7.2. “B” urban neighbourhood.....	171
4.7.3. “C” urban neighbourhood.....	173
4.7.4. Project performance in the three selected urban neighbourhood	173
4.8. The explanation on How Quality of Boundary Spanning Activities Impacts to Trust and Project Performance	174
Chapter 5: Conclusions and recommendations	177
5.1. Conclusions.....	177
5.2. Recommendations	181
Bibliography.....	182

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Boundary spanner and its similar terminology definitions	14
Table 2. Boundary spanning leadership tactics	22
Table 3. Operationalization of research	36
Table 4. Type of secondary data of this research	44
Table 5. Type of primary data collection methods	46
Table 6. Scoring Variable Analysis	54
Table 7. Internal and external connections of boundary spanning public managers during SPBM-USRI Project Implementation Year 2011-2014	85
Table 8. Internal connection during SPBM-USRI Project Implementation in “A” urban neighbourhood	96
Table 9. External connection during SPBM-USRI Project implementation in “A” urban neighbourhood	97
Table 10. Exchanged information in “A” urban neighbourhood	99
Table 11. Internal connection during SPBM-USRI Project implementation in “B” urban neighbourhood	108
Table 12. External connection during SPBM-USRI Project Implementation in “B” urban neighbourhood	109
Table 13. Exchanged information in “B” urban neighbourhood	111
Table 14. Quality of boundary spanning in “A”, “B” and “C” Urban Neighbourhood analysis	124
Table 15. Level of boundary spanning result achievement in “A”, “B” and “C” urban neighbourhood analysis	126
Table 16. Quality level of all boundary spanning public managers	136
Table 17. Quality of boundary spanners of “A” urban neighbourhood	145
Table 18. Quality level of boundary spanners of “B” Urban Neighbourhood	153
Table 19. Quality level of boundary spanners of “C” Urban Neighbourhood	158
Table 20. Quality level of boundary spanners of SPBM-USRI Project	161
Table 21. Level of trust in the three selected urban neighbourhoods	169
Table 22. Project performance in the three selected urban neighbourhoods	174

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. The illustration on inter-organizational interaction between local government and Community Based Organization (CBO).....	5
Figure 2. Makassar City location to Indonesia.....	7
Figure 3. Structure of the research.....	13
Figure 4. Boundary spanning leadership tactics and outcomes.....	24
Figure 5. A conceptual model of the relationship between the presence of boundary spanners to trust and project performance.....	30
Figure 6. Conceptual framework.....	33
Figure 7. Organization structure of SPBM-USRI Project in Indonesia.....	57
Figure 8. Majority of house type in “A” urban neighbourhood.....	60
Figure 9. Waste water treatment plants (IPAL) of project 1, 2, 3 in “A” urban neighbourhood.....	61
Figure 10. Majority of house type in “B” urban neighbourhood.....	62
Figure 11. Waste Water Treatment Plants (IPAL) of Project 1, 2, 3 in “B” urban neighbourhood.....	63
Figure 12. Majority of house type in “C” urban neighbourhood.....	65
Figure 13. Waste water treatment plants (IPAL) in “C” urban neighbourhood.....	66
Figure 14. The beneficiaries in “C” Urban Neighbourhood cut their house sanitation pipe.....	67
Figure 15. The situation inside AMPL Team of Makassar City Government during SPBM-USRI Project implementation.....	70
Figure 16. Situation inside CBO of “A” urban neighbourhood.....	74
Figure 17. Situation inside CBO of “B” urban neighbourhood.....	76
Figure 18. Situation inside CBO of “C” urban neighbourhood.....	78
Figure 19. The comparison of situation inside CBO among three urban neighbourhoods.....	79
Figure 20. Internal and external connections of boundary spanners of local government in SPBM-USRI Project.....	86
Figure 21. Several documentations of CBO committee meetings for SPBM-USRI Project Discussion.....	90
Figure 22. Several documentations on how the boundary spanners came door to door to socialize the project as well as to build internal relationship with community.....	91

Figure 23. Several documentations of CBO committee and community meetings for SPBM-USRI Project Discussion.....	91
Figure 24. The Community gathered and gotong-royong11 in order to finish sanitation facility construction.....	93
Figure 25. Flyer of investment opportunity through giving approach in “A” urban neighbourhood.....	94
Figure 26. Internal and external connecting people activities by boundary spanners of CBO “A”	97
Figure 27. The situation of CBO secretariat office of “A” urban neighbourhood.....	100
Figure 28. List of regular donors of SPBM-USRI Project in “A” urban neighbourhood.....	101
Figure 29. Results of boundary spanning activities in “A” urban neighbourhood.....	104
Figure 30. Community meeting in “B” Urban Neighbourhood	105
Figure 31. People mobilization differences between SPBM-USRI Project and PNPM-Mandiri Project implementations	106
Figure 32. Internal and external connecting people activities by boundary spanners of CBO “B”	109
Figure 33. The situation of CBO secretariat office of “B” urban neighbourhood.....	112
Figure 34. Results of boundary spanning activities in “B” urban neighbourhood.....	114
Figure 35. Documentations of community meetings in “C” urban neighbourhood during project implementation in 2012	115
Figure 36. Documentations of sanitation facility construction in “C” urban neighbourhood during project implementation in 2012.....	116
Figure 37. Internal and external connecting people activities by boundary spanners of CBO “C”	120
Figure 38. Results of boundary spanning activities in “C” urban neighbourhood.....	122
Figure 39. “Panggung Dangdut” as a media to attract people to come and gather before project socialization was conducted.....	140
Figure 40. The mapping comparison of project 1-3 in “A” urban neighbourhood.....	141
Figure 41. Woman meeting of Project 1 in “A” urban neighbourhood	143
Figure 42. Woman meeting of Project 2 in “A” urban neighbourhood	144
Figure 43. Socialization to Project Beneficiaries through went door to door	150

Figure 44. How quality of boundary spanners influences quality of boundary spanning activities	166
Figure 45. Other community based projects in “A” urban neighbourhood in 2014-2015	170
Figure 46. Evidence that “A” urban neighbourhood had opportunities to get similar community based construction project	171
Figure 47. Other community based projects in “B” Urban Neighbourhood in 2014	172
Figure 48. How the quality of boundary spanning activities impact to trust and project performance.....	176
Figure 49. The Influences of the Individual Boundary Spanner Level Condition on Trust and Project Performance	179