

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Summary | 5 |
| Intisari | 6 |
| Acknowledgments | 8 |
| Abbreviations | 9 |
| Table of Contents | 10 |
| List of Tables | 11 |
| List of Figures | 11 |
| List of Charts | 12 |
| Chapter 1: Introduction | 14 |
| 1.1 Background..... | 14 |
| 1.2 Problem Statement..... | 17 |
| 1.3 Research Objective..... | 18 |
| 1.4 Research Question..... | 18 |
| 1.5 Significance of the Study..... | 19 |
| 1.6 Scope and Limitations | 19 |
| Chapter 2: Literature review | 20 |
| 2.1 Landslides, Debris Flow and Flood..... | 20 |
| 2.1.1 Landslides..... | 20 |
| 2.1.2 Debris Flow | 25 |
| 2.1.3 Flood | 26 |
| 2.2 Disaster Risk Management..... | 28 |
| 2.2.1 Basic Concept of Disaster Risk Reduction (DRR) | 28 |
| 2.2.2 Disaster Risk Management Framework | 29 |
| 2.3 Protection Motivation Theory (PMT)..... | 31 |
| 2.3.1 Introduction of PMT..... | 31 |
| 2.3.2 Description of PMT..... | 32 |
| 2.3.3 Individual Mitigation Behaviour to Reduce Hazard Risk | 34 |
| 2.4 Factors Affecting Different Individual Behaviour in Facing Hazard | 37 |
| 2.5 Conceptual Framework..... | 42 |
| Chapter 3: Research Design and Methods | 45 |
| 3.1 Revised Research Questions..... | 45 |
| 3.2 Research Strategy | 46 |
| 3.3 Operationalization: Variables, Indicators | 47 |
| 3.4 Data Collection Methods..... | 51 |
| 3.5 Sample Size and Selection..... | 52 |
| 3.6 Validity and Reliability | 54 |
| 3.7 Data Analysis Methods..... | 55 |
| Chapter 4: Research Findings | 58 |
| 4.1 Level of Hazard Risk in the Research Location | 58 |
| 4.1.1 Hazard Profile | 58 |
| 4.1.2 Description of the Study Area | 62 |

| | | |
|--|---|------------|
| 4.1.3 | Level of Risk | 64 |
| 4.2 | Individual Behaviour in Facing Hazards | 65 |
| 4.3 | Factors Influencing Different Individual Behaviours | 70 |
| 4.3.1 | Descriptive Statistics of the Variables | 70 |
| 4.3.2 | The Significant Factors in Mitigation Behaviour | 82 |
| Chapter 5: Conclusion and Recommendations..... | | 95 |
| 5.1 | Conclusion | 95 |
| 5.2 | Recommendations | 100 |
| Bibliography..... | | 102 |
| Annex 1 Questionnaires..... | | 107 |
| Annex 2 In-depth Interview Guideline..... | | 115 |
| Annex 3 Behaviour of Respondents for Each Mitigation Action | | 116 |
| Annex 4 Logistic Regression Results..... | | 123 |
| Annex 5 Pictures of Survey and Interview..... | | 132 |
| Annex 6 Pictures of Damages Caused by Natural Hazards | | 133 |
| List of Tables | | |
| Table 1 The number of catastrophic events and its impacts on fatalities and house damages..... | | 16 |
| Table 2 Characteristics of movement. Source: Cruden and Varnes, (1996)..... | | 22 |
| Table 3 Types of risk reduction measures. Source: Author (2015)..... | | 37 |
| Table 4 Operationalization: Variables, Indicators..... | | 49 |
| Table 5 Results of ordinal logistic regression for examining the factors that influence threat appraisal | | 83 |
| Table 6 Results of ordinal logistic regression for examining the factors that influence coping appraisal | | 87 |
| Table 7 Results of multinomial logistic regression for examining the factors that influence individual behaviour..... | | 90 |
| Table 8 Results of multinomial logistic regression for examining the factors that affect individual behaviour..... | | 91 |
| List of Figures | | |
| Figure 1 Topography of Padang. Source: Google Earth, 2015 | | 15 |
| Figure 2 Percentage of disaster in Padang from 2000 to 2012. Source: http://dibi.bnpp.go.id | | 16 |
| Figure 3 Hypothetical diagram for illustrating the relationship between contemporary magnitudes of landslide, cost of hazards impact and its frequency distribution. Adopted from Lee and Jones (2004) | | 21 |
| Figure 4 Landslide graphic illustration with its main terms. Adopted from Cruden and Varnes (1996) | | 22 |
| Figure 5 Types of Landslide. Adopted from Cruden and Varnes (1996)..... | | 23 |
| Figure 6 Illustration of slope stability and its interactions. Based on Glade and Crozier (2005)..... | | 24 |
| Figure 7 Mechanism of debris flow. Adopted from Sassa and Wang (2005). | | 26 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Figure 8 Hazard risk management. Adopted from Andersson-Sköld, Bergman, et al., (2013)..... | 30 |
| Figure 9 Schema of original PMT. Adopted from Rogers (1975). | 32 |
| Figure 10 Schema of revised PMT. Adopted from Rogers (1983). | 34 |
| Figure 11 Elements of decision behaviour. Adopted from Burton, Kates, et al., (1993) | 35 |
| Figure 12 Conceptual framework of the research..... | 44 |
| Figure 13 Data Triangulation | 55 |
| Figure 14 Padang Landslide Risk Map. Source: BPBD (2012)..... | 61 |
| Figure 15 Padang Flood Risk Map Source: BPBD (2012)..... | 62 |
| Figure 16 Location of the research Source: BPBD (2012) and Google Earth (2015) | 63 |
| Figure 17 Example of settlement built in the floodplain area | 64 |
| Figure 18 Agricultural land in the floodplain area | 64 |
| Figure 19 Settlement built in the landslide prone area | 64 |
| Figure 20 Picture of survey and interview..... | 132 |
| Figure 21 Damaged from debris flow..... | 133 |
| Figure 22 The worst region hit by debris flow | 134 |
| Figure 23 Map of the area affected by debris flow..... | 134 |

List of Charts

| | |
|---|-----|
| Chart 1 Behaviour of the respondents in facing natural hazards..... | 66 |
| Chart 2 Aggregation of individual behaviour | 69 |
| Chart 3 Individual experience of respondents..... | 71 |
| Chart 4 Distribution of respondent's opinion about taking specific cultural-based mitigation action... 71 | 71 |
| Chart 5 Distribution of respondent's feeling related to public measures taken in the research location 72 | 72 |
| Chart 6 Percentage of respondent's opinion about the existence of social network..... | 73 |
| Chart 7 Age Distribution of Respondents..... | 73 |
| Chart 8 Distribution of Respondent's Gender | 74 |
| Chart 9 Education of Respondents..... | 75 |
| Chart 10 Respondent's Length of Stay | 75 |
| Chart 11 Origin of Respondents..... | 76 |
| Chart 12 Respondent's Income..... | 76 |
| Chart 13 Respondent's Individual Size..... | 77 |
| Chart 14 Building Ownership of Respondents..... | 77 |
| Chart 15 Distribution of perceived probability..... | 78 |
| Chart 16 Distribution of perceived vulnerability | 79 |
| Chart 17 Description of threat appraisal | 79 |
| Chart 18 Perceived self-efficacy | 80 |
| Chart 19 Perceived response efficacy..... | 81 |
| Chart 20 perceived response cost | 81 |
| Chart 21 Value of coping appraisal..... | 82 |
| Chart 22 Respondents behaviour in term of economic activity: save money..... | 116 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Chart 23 Respondents behaviour in term of economic activity: livelihood diversifications..... | 117 |
| Chart 24 Percentage of respondent's behaviour in taking social action: following disaster-related local organizations | 117 |
| Chart 25 Percentage of respondent's behaviour in taking social action: following local neighbourhood activities | 118 |
| Chart 26 Composition of respondent's behaviour in taking technological/structural action: participation in government's programs | 118 |
| Chart 27 Composition of respondent's behaviour in taking technological/structural action: increase knowledge | 119 |
| Chart 28 Composition of respondent's behaviour in taking technological/structural action: create catchment area | 119 |
| Chart 29 Composition of respondent's behaviour in taking technological/structural action: plant the trees around the houses | 120 |
| Chart 30 Composition of respondent's behaviour in taking technological/structural action: maintain the cleanliness of drainage and sewage..... | 120 |
| Chart 31 support the development of reservoir | 121 |
| Chart 32 Composition of respondent's behaviour in taking technological/structural action: do not throw garbage into the river | 121 |
| Chart 33 Composition of respondent's behaviour in taking technological/structural action: stop deforestation..... | 122 |