



TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE PAGE.....	i
APPROVAL PAGE.....	ii
ENDORSEMENT PAGE.....	iii
STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENT.....	vi
ABSTRACT	viii
<i>INTISARI</i>	ix
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background	1
B. Problem Statement	7
C. Research Goals	7
D. Originality	9
E. Purpose	13
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	14
A. Refugee and Asylum Seeker	14
B. Status of Refugee	16
C. Categorization of Refugee	22
D. Stateless Person	24
E. Rights of Refugee	25
F. Rights Provided by Destination and Transit State to Refugee	38
G. Principle of Non-Discriminatory	39
H. Duty to Cooperate With UNHCR	42
I. Domestic Law of Indonesia in Asylum and Human Rights	51
J. Non-refoulement Principle	52
K. Jus Cogens	54
L. Freedom of Movement	55
M. Flag State Obligation	57
N. State Obligation	59
O. State Responsibility	61
P. Friendly Relation and Cooperation Between States	62
Q. Pacta Sunt Servanda	64
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD	66
A. Charateristic of Research.....	66
B. Type of Research.....	66
C. Data Analysis.....	67



D. Stages of Research.....	69
E. Challenges of Research.....	70
CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS.....	71
A. Obligation and Responsibility of States towards Tampa Refugees	
According to International Law.....	71
1. Obligation and Responsibility of States.....	71
a) Indonesia's Obligation as a Transit State.....	71
i. According to Refugee Convention 1951.....	72
ii. According to United Nation Human Rights Treaty System.....	72
iii. According to Bilateral SAR Arrangement with Australia.....	74
iv. According to SOLAS.....	75
b) Norway's Obligation and Responsibility as a Flag State.....	76
i. According to Refugee Convention 1951.....	77
ii. According to UNCLOS 1982.....	77
iii. According to International Convention on Maritime.....	79
iv. According to United Nation Human Rights Treaty System.....	80
c) Australia Obligation and Responsibility as a Coastal State.....	83
i. According to Refugee Convention 1951.....	83
ii. According to United Nation Human Rights Treaty System.....	86
iii. According to Bilateral SAR Arrangement with Indonesia.....	87
iv. According to UNCLOS 1982.....	88
v. According to Declaration of Teritorial Asylum.....	89
B. Extent of Liability of States Towards Tampa Case.....	90
1. Extent of Liability.....	90
a) Liability of Indonesia.....	91
i. Non-ratification to Refugee Convention 1951.....	91
ii. Burden Sharing Quota.....	94
b) Fulfillment of Norway's Obligation.....	94
c) Liability of Australia	95
i. Tampa Case Status Determination.....	95
ii. Burden Sharing Quota.....	97
iii. Incompatibility of Australia Migration Act.....	98
iv. Failure to Grant Innocent Passage	108
CHAPTER V: CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	110
A. Conclusion.....	110
B. Recommendation.....	111
BIBLIOGRAPHY.....	114