

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alhakami, A. S., dan Slovic, P. (1994). A psychological study of the inverse relationship between perceived risk and perceived benefit. *Risk Analysis*, 14(6), 1085-1096.
- Amir, Sulfikar. (2010). The state and the reactor: Nuclear politics in post-Suharto Indonesia. *Indonesia* 89 (April), 101-147.
- Aven, T., dan Reniers, G. (2013). How to define and interpret a probability in a risk and safety sitting. *Safety Science*, 49, 1080-1086.
- Badan Nasional Penanganan Bencana dan Badan Pengawas Tenaga Nuklir. (2013). *Perencanaan Kontinjensi: Dalam Menghadapi Ancaman Kedaruratan Nuklir Setu Kota Tangerang Selatan Provinsi Banten*.
- Badan Pusat Statistik Kota Tangerang Selatan. (2016). *Kecamatan Setu dalam Angka*. Tangerang Selatan: BPS Kota Tangerang Selatan.
- Badan Pusat Statistik Kabupaten Tangerang. (2016). *Kecamatan Cisauk dalam Angka*. Tangerang: BPS Kabupaten Tangerang.
- Badan Pusat Statistik Kabupaten Bogor. (2014). *Kecamatan Guning Sindur dalam Angka*. Bogor: BPS Kabupaten Bogor.
- Badan Tenaga Nuklir Nasional. (2011). *Jajak Pendapat Iptek Nuklir Tahun 2011: Nasional, Bangka Belitung, Jamali*. Jakarta: Batan.
- _____. (2012). *Jajak Pendapat Iptek Nuklir Tahun 2012: Nasional, Bangka Belitung, Jamali*. Jakarta: Batan.
- _____. (2013). *Jajak Pendapat Iptek Nuklir Tahun 2013: Nasional, Bangka Belitung, Jamali*. Jakarta: Batan.
- _____. (2014). *Jajak Pendapat Iptek Nuklir Tahun 2014: Nasional, Bangka Belitung, Jamali*. Jakarta: Batan.
- _____. (2014). *Cetak Biru Rencana Pembangunan Reaktor Daya Eksperimental (RDE) 2014-2020*. Jakarta: Batan.
- Bauer, R., Pool, I. dan Dexter, L. (1964). *American Business and Public Policy*. New York: Atherton Press.
- Baxter, P., & Jack, S. (2008). Qualitative case study methodology: Study design and implementation for novice researchers. *The Qualitative Report*, 13(4), 544-559.

- Bechmann, G. (2006). The uncertainties of risk communication in knowledge societies. Dalam Soares, G., dan Zio (Ed.), *Safety and Reliability for Managing Risk* (1249-1255). London: Taylor & Francis Group.
- _____. (2009). Risk in Decision-Oriented and Self-Changing Society. Dalam Magarshak, Y., Kozyrev, S., dan Vaseashta, A. K., (Ed.), *Silicon versus Carbon* (223-238). Netherlands: Springer.
- Bechmann, G., & Stehr, N. (2001). The uncertainties of risk communication in knowledge societies. Dalam *Integrative Systems Approaches to Natural and Social Dynamics* (289-303). Berlin Heidelberg: Springer.
- Been, V. (1992). What's fairness got to do with it? Environmental justice and the siting of locally undesirable land uses. *Cornell L. Rev.*, 78, 1001.
- Bergmans, A., & Steenberge, A. V. (2006). Stakeholder involvement in radioactive waste management in Belgium: the past, the present and challenges for the Future (No. NEI-SE--613), diakses dari www.iaea.org/inis/37101587.pdf.
- Bergmans, A. (2008). Meaningful communication among experts and affected citizens on risk: challenge or impossibility? *Journal of risk research*, 11(1-2), 175-193.
- Boholm, A., & Lofstedt, R. E. (Ed.). (2004). *Facility Siting: Risk, Power and Identity in Land Use Planning*. UK, London: Earthscan.
- Boholm, M. (2012). The semantic distinction between “risk” and “danger”: A linguistic analysis. *Risk analysis*, 32(2), 281-293.
- Borch, Christian. (2011). *Niklas Luhmann*. London: Routledge.
- Bouder, F., dan Lofstedt, R. (2010). *Improving Health and Safety: an Analysis of HSE's Risk Communication in the 21st Century*. London: King's College.
- Bradbury, J. A. (1989). The policy implications of differing concepts of risk. *Science, Technology & Human Values*, 14(4), 380-399.
- Bradbury, J. A. (1994). Risk communication in environmental restoration programs. *Risk Analysis*, 14(3), 357-363.
- Breakwell, G. M. (2007). *The psychology of risk*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Budin, G. (2007). Semantic Systems supporting cross-disciplinary environmental communication. Dalam *O. Hryniewicz; J. Studzinski, A. Szediw (Hgg.): Environmental Informatics and Systems Research*, 2, 23-27.
- Burke, K. (1969). *A rhetoric motives*. Berkeley, CA: University of California Press
- Buscher, C., dan Mascareno, A. (2014). Mechanisms of risk production in modern cities. *Nature and Culture*, 9(1), 66-86.

- Cheney, George. (1983). The rhetoric of identification and the study of organizational communication. *Quarterly Journal of Speech*, 69, 143-158.
- Chess, C., & Johnson, B. (2009). Risk communication by organizations: The back story. Dalam R. L. Heath dan H. D. O'Hair (Ed), *Handbook of Risk and Crisis Communication* (hal 323-342). New York: Routledge.
- Covello, V., von Winterfeldt, D., dan Slovic, P. (1986). Communicating scientific information about health and environmental risk: Problems and opportunities from a social and behavioral perspective. Dalam Covello, V., Moghissi, A., dan Uppulori, V. R. R. (Ed.), *Uncertainties in Risk Assessment and Risk Management*. New York: Plenum Press.
- Creswel, J. W. (2014). *Penelitian Kualitatif dan Desain Riset* (terjemahan). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Douglas, M., & Wildavsky, A. (1983). *Risk and Culture: An Essay on The Selection of Technological and Environmental Dangers*. California: University of California Press.
- Davidson, D. (2010). Nuclear Energy in Alberta: What yo need to know?. Alberta: University of Alberta.
- Davies, J. C., Covello, V., dan Allen, F. W. (1986). Risk communication: Proceedings of the national conference on risk communication. Washington, D.C: The Conservation Foundation.
- Eiser, J. R., Bostrom, A., Burton, I., Johnston, D. M., McClure, J., Paton, D., dan White, M. P. (2012). Risk interpretation and action: A conceptual framework for responses to natural hazards. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 1, 5-16.
- Finger, M. dan Brand, S. B. (1999). The concept of the learning organization applied to the public sector: Conceptual contribution to theory development. Dalam M. Easterby-Smith, L. Araujo, dan J. Burgoyne (Ed.). *Organizational Learning and the Learning Organization: Development in Theory and Practice*. California: Sage.
- Fiorino, D. J. (1990). Citizen participation and environmental risk: A survey of institutional mechanisms. *Science, Technology & Human Values*, 15(2), 226-243.
- Fischer, F. (2000). *Citizens, Experts, and The Environment: The Politics of Local Knowledge*. London: Duke University Press.
- Fischhoff, B. (1995). Risk perception and communication unplugged: Twenty years of process. *Risk analysis*, 15(2), 137-145.

- Fischhoff, B., Slovic, P., Lichtenstein, S., Read, S., dan Combs, B. (1978). How safe is safe enough? A psychometric study of attitudes towards technological risks and benefits. *Policy Sciences*, 9(2), 127-152.
- Fischhoff, B., P. Slovic dan S. Litenstein. (1979). Images of disaster: Perception and acceptance of risks from nuclear power. Dalam Goodman, G., dan Rowe, W. D. (Ed.), *Energy Risk Management*. New York: Academic Press.
- Fischhoff, B., Watson, S. R., dan Hope, C. (1984). Defining risk. *Policy Sciences*, 17(2), 123-139.
- Frewer, L. (2004). The public and effective risk communication. *Toxicology Letters*, 149(1-3), 391-397.
- Freudenburg, W. R., & Gramling, R. (1992). Community impacts of technological change: Toward a longitudinal perspective. *Social Forces*, 70(4), 937-955.
- Fuchs, Stephan. (1990). Book review: Ecological Communication. *American Journal of Sociology*, Vol. 96 (3), 747-748.
- Giere, R. N. (2000). Distributed Cognition: Where the cognitive and the social overlap. Dipresentasikan dalam konferensi *Demarcation Socialised*, Cardiff University, 25-27 Agustus 2000.
- Glickman, T. dan Gough, M. (Ed.) (1990). *Readings in risk*. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- Goldberg, S. M., dan Rosner, R. (2011). Nuclear reactors: Generation to generation. *Bulletin of the American Academy*, 1.
- Granger Morgan, M., dan Lave, L. (1990). Ethical considerations in risk communication practise and research. *Risk Analysis*, 10(3): 355-358.
- Grant, Colin, B. (2007). *Uncertainty and Communication: New Theoretical Investigations*. New York, NY: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Grant, W. E., Peterson, T. R., dan Peterson, M. J. (2002). Quantitative modeling of coupled natural/human systems: Simulation of societal constraints on environmental action drawing on Luhmann's social theory. *Ecological Modelling*, 158(1), 143-165.
- Heath, R. L. (1994). Environmental risk communication: Cases and practices along the Texas Gulf coast. Dalam Burelson, B. R (Ed.), *Communication Yearbook 18* (225-277). Newbury Park, CA: Sage.
- Henwood, K., Pidgeon, N., Sarre, S., Simmons, P., dan Smith, N. (2008). Risk framing and everyday life: Epistemological and methodological reflections from three sociocultural projects. *Heath, Risk & Society*, 10(5), 421-438.

- Hiskers, Richard P. (1998). *Democracy, risk and community: Technological hazard and the evolution of liberalism*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hülsmann, M., Grapp, J., Li, Y., & Wycisk, C. (2007). Self-organization in management science. In *Understanding Autonomous Cooperation and Control in Logistics* (pp. 169-192). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Hornig, S. (1990). Science stories: Risk, power and perceived emphasis. *Journalism Quarterly*, 67(4), 767-776.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). (2011). *Stakeholder Involvement throughout the Life Cycle of Nuclear Facilities*. Vienna: IAEA.
- _____. (2010). *Safety Related Design and Economic Aspects of HTGRs*. Vienna: IAEA.
- Japp, K.P. dan Kusche, I. (2008). Risk and systems theory. Dalam Zinn, J. (Ed.), *Social Theories of Risk and Uncertainty: An Introduction*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Jasanoff, S. (2003). Technologies of humility: citizen participation in governing science. *Minerva*, 41(3), 223-244.
- Jun, E., Kim, W. J., Jeong, Y. H., dan Chang, S. H. (2010). Measuring the social value of nuclear energy using contingent valuation methodology. *Energy Policy*, 38(3), 1470-1476.
- Kasperson, R. (1986). Six propositions on public participation and their relevance for risk communication. *Risk Analysis* 6(3), 275-282.
- Kasperson, R. E., Renn, O., Slovic, P., Brown, H. S., Emel, J., Goble, R., & Ratick, S. (1988). The social amplification of risk: A conceptual framework. *Risk analysis*, 8(2), 177-187.
- Kementerian Riset dan Teknologi. (2016). *Masterplan Revitalisasi Puspiptek dan Pengembangan I-STP*. Jakarta: Kementerian Riset dan Teknologi.
- King, M., dan Thornhill, C. (2003). *Niklas Luhmann's Theory of Politics and Law*. New York: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Kinsella, W. J. (2002). Problematizing the distinction between expert and lay knowledge. *The New Jersey Journal of Communication*, 10(2), 191-207.
- Krimsky, S. (2007). Risk communication in the internet age: The rise of disorganized skepticism. *Environmental Hazards*, 7(2), 157-164.
- Lasker, R. D., dan Weiss, E. S. (2003). Broadening participation in community problem solving: a Multidisciplinary model to support collaborative practice and research. *Journal of Urban Health*, 80(1), 14-47.

- Leiss, W. (1996). Three phases in the evolution of risk communication practice. *The Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 85-94.
- Lidskog, R., dan Litmanen, T. (1997). The social shaping of radwaste management: The cases of Sweden and Finland. *Current Sociology*, 45(3), 59-79.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. A. (1985). *Naturalistic Inquiry*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage
- Lundgewn, R. E, dan McMakin, A. H. (2013). *Risk Communication: a Handbook for Communicating Environment, Safety, and Health Risk*. New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons.
- Luhmann, N. (1989). *Ecological Communication*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- _____. (1990). Technology, environment and social risk: a systems perspective. *Organization & Environment*, 4(3), 223-231.
- _____. (1993). *Risk: A Sociological Theory*. New York: Walter de Gruyter.
- _____. (1995). *Social System*. Stanford: Standford University Press.
- Lofstedt, R. (2003). Risk communication: Pitfalls and promises. *European Review*, 11(03), 417-435.
- Lofstedt, R., Boudier, F., Wardman, J., & Chakraborty, S. (2011). The changing nature of communication and regulation of risk in Europe. *Journal of Risk Research*, 14(4), 409-429.
- Machlis, G. E. dan Rosa, E. A. (1990). Desired risk: broadening the social amplification of risk framework. *Risk Analysis* 10, 161-168.
- Mattelart, A., dan Mattelart, M. (1998). *Theories of Communication*. London: Sage Publications.
- Mathur, P. (2005). Neither cited nor foundational: Niklas Luhmann's ecological communication: A critical exegesis and some theoretical suggestions for the future of a field. *The Communication Review*, 8(3), 329-362.
- Melawati, J., Alimah, S., dan Suntoko, H. (2015). Kondisi demografi di area calon tapak reaktor daya eksperimental (RDE) di Serpong, Banten. Dipresentasikan pada Seminar Nasional Teknologi Energi Nuklir di Bali, 15-16 Oktober 2015.
- McComas, K. A. (2006). Defining moments in risk communication research: 1996–2005. *Journal of health communication*, 11(1), 75-91.
- Moeller, H. G. (2005). *Luhmann explained: from souls to systems* (Vol. 3). Chicago: Open Court Publishing.
- Mossmann, K. L. (2004). Public perception of radiation: The leading issue in health physics today. *RSO Magazine*, Vol 9, No.1
- Mouffe, C. (2000). *The democratic paradox*. New York: Verso.

- National Research Council (NRC). (1989). *Improving Risk Communication*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Neuman, W. L. (2011). *Social Research Method: Qualitative and Quantitative Approaches*. Edinburgh: Pearson New International Edition.
- Organisation for Economic Development, Environment Directorate. (2002). *OECD guidance document on risk communication for chemical risk management* (Series on risk management, No. 16, Environment, Health and Safety Publications). Paris: OECD.
- Otway, H. (1992). Public wisdom, expert fallibility: Toward a contextual theory of risk. Dalam Krinsky, S. dan Golding, D. (Ed.) *Social Theories of Risk* (215–28). Westport, CT, London: Praeger.
- Otway, H., dan Thomas, K. (1982). Reflections on risk perception and policy. *Risk Analysis*, 2(2), 69-82.
- Otway, H. dan Wynne, B. (1989). Risk communication: Paradigm and paradox. *Risk Analysis* 9(2), 141-145.
- Peterson, T.R., dan Peterson, M.J. (1996). Valuation analysis in environmental policy making: How economic models limit possibilities for environmental advocacy. Dalam Cantrill, J.G., Oravec, C.L. (Ed.), *The Symbolic Earth: Discourse and Our Creation of the Environment* (198-218). Lexington: University Press of Kentucky.
- Peterson, T. R., Peterson, M.J., dan Grant. W. E. (2004). Social Practice an Biophysical Process. Dalam Senecah, S. L (Ed.), *The Environmental Communication Yearbook* (15-32). London: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Pfeffer, J. dan Salancik, G. R. (1978). *The External Control of Organization: A Resource Dependence Perspective*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Philippopoulos-Mihalopoulos, Andreas. (2007). *Absent Environments: Theorising Environmental Law and the City*. London, UK, Routledge-Cavendish.
- Pinch, T. J., dan Bijker, W. E. (1984). The social construction of facts and artefacts: Or how the sociology of science and the sociology of technology might benefit each other. *Social Studies of Science*, 14(3), 399-441.
- Plough, A., dan Krinsky, S. (1987). The emergence of risk communication studies: Social and political context. *Science, Technology, & Human Values*, 12(3/4), 4-10.

- Powell, D. (1998). Setting the stage: Understanding communication issues with food-borne pathogens. Workshop on foodborne pathogens at the University of Guelph, Ontario.
- Pusat Penelitian Kimia LIPI. (2016). Laporan Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah Penelitian Kimia. Tangerang Selatan: Pusat Penelitian Kimia LIPI.
- Pusat Penelitian Metrologi LIPI. (2016). Laporan Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah Pusat Penelitian Metrologi LIPI. Tangerang Selatan: Pusat Penelitian Kimia LIPI.
- Renn, O. (1992). Risk communication: Toward a rational discourse with the publik. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 20, 465-519.
- _____. (1998). Three decades of risk research: Accomplishments and new challenges. *Journal of risk research* 1(1), 49- 71.
- _____. (2011). The social amplification/attenuation of risk framework: application to climate change. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 2(2), 154-169.
- _____. (2008). Concepts of risk: An interdisciplinary review Part 1: Disciplinary risk concepts. *GAI-ecological Perspectives for Science and Society*, 17(1), 50-66.
- Renn, O., dan Levine, D. (1991): Credibility and trust in risk communication. Dalam Kasperson, R. E., dan Stallen, P. J. M (Ed.). *Communicating Risk to the Public* (175-218). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Renn, O., dan Schweizer, P. J. (2009). Inclusive risk governance: concepts and prospects. *Environmental Policy and Governance*.
- Reynolds, B., dan W. Seeger, M. (2005). Crisis and emergency risk communication as an integrative model. *Journal of Health Communication*, 10(1), 43-55.
- Roeser, S. (2011). Nuclear energy, risk, and emotions. *Philosophy & Technology*, 24(2), 197-201.
- Rosa, E. (1998). Metatheoretical foundations for post-normal risk. *Journal of Risk Research*, 1, 15-44.
- Rogers, George. O. (1998). Sitting potentially hazardous facilities: what factors impact perceived and acceptable risk?. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 39, 265-281.
- Rowe, W. D. (1977). *An Anatomy of Risk*. Wiley Series in System Engineering and Analysis. New York: Wiley.
- Rowe, G., dan Frewer, L. J. (2005). A typology of public engagement mechanisms. *Science, technology & human values*, 30(2), 251-290.

- Ruiter, R. A., Abraham, C., dan Kok, G. (2001). Scary warnings and rational precautions: A review of the psychology of fear appeals. *Psychology and Health*, 16(6), 613-630.
- Shapiro, S. P. (1987). The Social Control of Impersonal Trust. *American Journal of Sociology*, 93(3), 623-658.
- Shrader-Frechette, K. (2002). *Environmental justice: Creating Equality, Reclaiming Democracy*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- _____. (2012). Nuclear power and public policy: The social and ethical problems of fission technology. Holland: Springer Science & Business Media.
- Siedl, D. (2006). The basic concepts of Luhmann's theory of social system. Dalam Seidl, D., dan Becker, K. H. (Ed.), *Niklas Luhmann and Organization Studies*. Denmark: Liber & Copenhagen Business School Press.
- Sjoberg, L. (2002). Risk communication between experts and the public: Perceptions and intentions. *Quest de Commun* 2:19-35.
- Sjoberg, L. dan Drottz-Sjoberg, B. M. (1991). Knowledge and Risk Perceptions among Nuclear Power Plant Employees. *Risk Analysis* 11(4), 607-618.
- _____. (2001). Fairness, risk and risk tolerance in the siting of a nuclear waste repository. *Journal of Risk Research* 4(1), 75-101.
- Sjöberg, L., Viklund, M., dan Truedsson, J. (1998). *Attitudes and opposition in siting a high level nuclear waste repository*. Center for Risk Research, Stockholm School of Economics.
- Sjöberg, L., Moen, B. E., dan Rundmo, T. (2004). Explaining risk perception. *An evaluation of the psychometric paradigm in risk perception research*. Trondheim.
- Sjöberg, L., Truedsson, J., Frewer, L., dan Prades, A. (2000). Through a glass darkly: experts' and the public's mutual risk perception. *Foresight and precaution*, 1, 1157-1162.
- Slovic, P. (1987). Perception of risk. *Science*, 236, 280-285.
- _____. (1992). Perception of risk: Reflection of the psychometric paradigm. Dalam S. Krimsky, S., dan D. Golding (Ed.), *Social theories of risk*. Westport, Connecticut: Praeger Publisher.
- _____. (1993). Perceived risk, trust, and democracy. *Risk analysis*, 13(6), 675-682.
- _____. (1999). Trust, emotion, sex, politics, and science: Surveying the risk-assessment battlefield. *Risk analysis*, 19(4), 689-701.
- _____. (2000). *The Perception of Risk*. London and Sterling, VA: Earthscan.

- Slovic, P., Flynn, J. H., dan Layman, M. (1991). *Perceived Risk, Trust, and the Politics of Nuclear Waste*. National Emergency Training Center.
- Slovic, P., Layman, M., dan Flynn, J. H. (1990). *What Comes to Mind when You Hear the Words "Nuclear Waste Repository?": A Study of 10,000 Images* (No. NWPO-SE--028-90). USA, Carson City: Nevada Nuclear Waste Project Office.
- Slovic, P., Fischhoff, B., Lichtenstein, S., dan Roe, F. J. C. (1981). Perceived risk: Psychological factors and social implications [and discussion]. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences*. Vol 376 (1764): 17-34.
- Starr, C. (1969). Social benefit versus technological risk. Dalam Theodore S. G dan M. Gough (Ed.), *Readings in Risk* (183-194). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Strydom, P. (2002). *Risk, Environment, and Society: Ongoing Debates, Current Issues, and Future Prospects*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Sunaryo, Geni. (2015). RDE – Indonesia. Disampaikan pada IAEA *Technical Meeting on the Status of Deep Burn Concept for HTGR*, IAEA, Vienna 7-9 Desember 2015.
- Thamrin School dan IESR. (2015). Siaran Pers: Transparansi, Akuntabilitas, dan Partisipasi Publik serta FPIC dalam Rencana Pengembangan PLTN di Indonesia". Disampaikan pada: Dialog Publik: Pembangunan Pembangkit Listrik Tenaga Nuklir (PLTN): Mendesakkan bagi Indonesia?, Jakarta, 22 Mei 2015.
- Thompson, P. (1990). Risk objectivism and risk subjectivism: When are risks real?. *Risk*, 1, 3-22.
- Vanderstraeten, R. (2001). Observing systems: a cybernetic perspective on system/environment relations. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behavior*, 31(3), 297-311.
- _____. (2005). System and environment: notes on the autopoiesis of modern society. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 22(6), 471-481.
- Wardman, J. K. (2008). The constitution of risk communication in advanced liberal societies. *Risk Analysis*, 28(6), 1619-1637.
- Waddell, C. (1995). Defining sustainable development: A case study in environmental communication. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, 4(2), 201-216.

- Westbrook, L. (1994). Qualitative research methods: A review of major stages, data analysis techniques, and quality controls. *Library & Information Science Research*, 16(3), 241-254.
- Wiedemann, P. M., & Femers, S. (1993). Public participation in waste management decision making: Analysis and management of conflicts. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 33(3), 355-368.
- Wilson, R. (1979). Analysing the daily risks of life. *Technology Review*, 81(4), 41-46.
- Zimmerman, R. (1987). A process framework for risk communication. *Science, Technology, and Human Values*, 72(3/4), 131-137.
- Zinn, J. (2007). *Risk, social change and morals. Conceptual approaches of sociological risk theories*. Working Paper 17. Diakses dari: www.kent.ac.uk/scarr/papers/Zinn.pdf.

Peraturan Perundang-undangan

- Undang-Undang No 31 Tahun 1964 tentang Ketentuan-ketentuan Pokok Tenaga Atom.
- Undang-Undang No 10 Tahun 1997 tentang Ketenaganukliran.
- Undang-Undang No 17 Tahun 2007 tentang Rencana Pembangunan Jangka Panjang Nasional Tahun 2005-2025.
- Undang-Undang No 32 Tahun 2009 tentang Perlindungan Pengelolaan Lingkungan Hidup (UU PPLH).
- Peraturan Presiden Republik Indonesia No 5 Tahun 2006 tentang Kebijakan Energi Nasional (KEN).
- Inpres No 01 Tahun 2010 tentang Percepatan Pelaksanaan Prioritas Pembangunan Tahun 2010, Juncto Inpres No 14 Tahun 2011 tentang Percepatan Pelaksanaan Prioritas Pembangunan Nasional.
- Peraturan Pemerintah Republik Indonesia No 65 Tahun 1958 tentang Dewan Tenaga Atom dan Lembaga Tenaga Atom.
- Peraturan Pemerintah No 2 Tahun 2014 tentang Perizinan Instalasi Nuklir dan Pemanfaatan Bahan Nuklir.
- Peraturan Menteri Lingkungan Hidup No 17 Tahun 2012 tentang Pedoman Keterlibatan Masyarakat dalam Proses Analisis Mengenai Dampak Lingkungan Hidup dan Izin Lingkungan.
- Peraturan Kepala Bapeten No 3 Tahun 2014 tentang Penyusunan Dokumen Analisis Mengenai Dampak Lingkungan Bidang Ketenaganukliran.

Keputusan Direktur Jederal Pembangunan dan Pemberdayaan Masyarakat Desa
Kementerian Desa, Pembangunan Daerah Tertinggal dan Transmigrasi No
030 Tahun 2016 tentnag Status Kemajuan dan Kemandirian Desa.

Keputusan Kepala Batan No 135/KA/VIII/2009 tentang Rencana Pengelolaan
Lingkungan dan Rencana Pemantauan Lingkungan KNS.

Website

Badan Tenaga Nuklir Nasional, www.batan.go.id

Direktorat Jenderal Pemberdayaan Masyarakat dan Desa, Kementerian Dalam
Negeri, www.prodeskel.binapemdes.kemendagri.go.id

Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia, www.lipi.go.id

US Environmental Protection Agency, www.epa.gov