

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | |
|--|-----|
| ABBREVIATIONS | ii |
| APPROVAL | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS..... | vii |
| ABSTRACT..... | ix |
| <i>INTISARI</i> | x |
| CHAPTER I : INTRODUCTION..... | 1 |
| A. Background | 1 |
| B. Legal Problems | 12 |
| C. Purpose of Research..... | 12 |
| D. Research Originality | 13 |
| E. Research Benefits..... | 15 |
| 1. Theoretical Benefits | 15 |
| 2. Practical Benefits | 15 |
| CHAPTER II : LITERATURE REVIEW..... | 16 |
| A. Introduction to the Indonesian and Japanese Good Corporate Governance | 16 |
| I. Indonesian Good Corporate Governance | 18 |
| II. Japanese Good Corporate Governance | 20 |
| B. Indonesian Two-Tier model..... | 22 |
| I. Board of Directors..... | 22 |
| II. Board of Commissioners..... | 25 |
| C. Japanese Corporate auditor model | 28 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| I. Board of Directors..... | 28 |
| II. Board of Corporate auditors..... | 36 |
| D. Board independence..... | 39 |
| I. Concept of Independence within the Boards | 39 |
| CHAPTER III : RESEARCH METHODS | 42 |
| A. Type of Research | 42 |
| B. Type of Data | 43 |
| C. Data Analysis..... | 47 |
| CHAPTER IV : RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS | 49 |
| A. Differences Between Indonesian Two-Tier model with Japanese Corporate auditor model in regard to Board independence | 49 |
| I. Indonesian Two-Tier model findings..... | 49 |
| II. Japanese Corporate auditor model findings | 67 |
| III. Result of Comparison..... | 81 |
| B. Challenges of Indonesian Two-Tier model in regard to Board independence of Listed Companies..... | 100 |
| CHAPTER V : CLOSURE | 102 |
| A. Conclusion | 102 |
| B. Recommendation | 104 |
| Bibliography | 105 |