

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Cover	
Approval Page	
Acknowledgement Page	
Statement of Authenticity	i
Preface	ii
Dedication Page	iii
Table of Contents	iv
Abstract	viii
Intisari	ix
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
A. Underlying Background	1
B. Research Questions	3
C. Research Goals	4
D. Statement of Authenticity	6
E. Benefits	8
F. Delimitation	8
CHAPTER II: LITERATURE REVIEW	9
A. Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard of Treatment	9
B. Elements of Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard	17
1. Arbitrariness/Denial of Justice/Discriminatory Measure	17
2. Transparency	19
3. Legitimate Expectation	20
C. Control by the Host States	25
D. Indonesia Investment Law	27



CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD	30
A. Type of Research	30
B. Type of Data	30
C. Data Collection Method	31
D. Data Analysis	32
CHAPTER IV: RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	34
A. The Jurisdiction of ICSID Tribunal to Exercise the Case of Newmont versus Indonesia	34
1. Newmont is the National or Investor Under the Bilateral Investment Treaty	35
2. Newmont Holds the Investments Within the Meaning of Article 1(1) of the Bilateral Investment Treaty	36
3. The Admissibility of the Claims Arising out of the Bilateral Investment Treaty	37
B. Indonesia's Act According to Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard Towards Newmont's Investments	39
1. Fair and Equitable Treatment under Indonesia Domestic Laws and International Investment Agreements	39
2. The Application of Fair and Equitable Treatment in the Newmont Case under Customary International Law	42
3. The Element of Transparency under Fair and Equitable Treatment to Provide Adequate Due Process	45
4. The Degree of Arbitrary Acts under Fair and Equitable Treatment to Give Reasonable Measures	48

5. The Element of Legitimate Expectation under Fair and Equitable Treatment to Give the Stable Legal Framework	50
C. The Expropriation under Bilateral Investment Treaty and Customary International Law in the Newmont Case	54
1. Indonesia's Measures May Constitute an Indirect Expropriation	54
2. The Duty to Compensate in the Indirect Expropriation	58
D. Indonesia's Defense to Justify Its Action under Sovereignty of the State and Customary International Law	60
1. Indonesia's Right and Sovereignty to Control Over the State	61
2. Indonesia Has Rights to Regulate and to Exercise the Regulatory Measures	63
3. Indonesia's Defense of Necessity to Enact the Mining Law under Customary International Law	65
4. The Necessity's Element of Grave and Imminent Peril under Customary International Law	67
5. The Necessity's Element of Impairment the Newmont's Interest as an Investor	68
6. The Contribution to the Situation of Necessity	69
E. The Legal Remedies May be Invoked by Newmont	70
1. Restitution to Restore the Situation Before the Enactment of the New Mining Law	71
2. Specific Performance to Give Special Treatment Only to Newmont ...	74
3. Newmont's Entitlement to Compensation For Its Losses under Customary International Law	76
CHAPTER V: CLOSER	79



The Application of Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard Under Bilateral Investment Treaty in regards to The Case Newmont Mining Versus Indonesia
EDWIN GIOVAN SANTOSA, Prof. M. Hawin, S.H., LL.M., Ph.D.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2016 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

A. Conclusion	79
B. Recommendation	81
BIBLIOGRAPHY	82