Table of Contents

DISCLAIMER i
ABSTRACT i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT ii
Table of Contents iii
List of Figures vii
List of Tables viii
1. Introduction 1
1.1. Background 1
1.2. Research Problem 5
1.3. Expected result 6
1.4. Research objective and question 6
1.5. Research benefit 8
2. Literature Review 8
2.1. Disaster 8
2.2. Disaster Management 9
2.3. Widespread Use of GIS by Non-experts 9
2.4. Social media updates 10
2.5. Twitter user location 12
2.6. Twitter communication 12
2.6.1. TwitterOAuth 13
2.7. Geocode 13
2.8. Likert scale 13
2.9. AutoIt 14

2.10. Google Forms 14
2.11. PHP 15
2.12. MapBox 15
2.13. Use case test 15
2.14. Waterfall software development method 15
2.15. Agile software development method 16
3. Study area 17
4. Tools and Methods 21
4.1. Tools 21
4.2. Methododoligical approach 26
4.2.1. Overview 26
4.2.2. Survey 28
4.2.3. Survey analysis 30
4.2.4. Software development overview 31
4.2.5. Communication with twitter 33
4.2.6. Locating twitter user 33
4.2.7. Detecting and collecting tweets 35
4.2.8. Sending tweet to users 38
4.2.9. Building interactive map and information pages 42
4.2.10. Testing overview 45
4.2.11. Back-end test 45
4.2.11.1. Locating twitter user test 46
4.2.11.2. Detecting and collecting tweet test 46
4.2.11.3. Sending tweets to user test 47
4.2.12. Front-end test 48

5. Result and Discussion 50
5.1. Information that people need 50
5.2. Locating twitter users 52
5.3. Disaster information availability and communication 53
5.3.1. Availability 53
5.3.2. Communication 55
5.4. Mapping twitter users 58
5.5. Testing Result 60
5.5.1. Locating Twitter user test result 60
5.5.2. Detecting and collecting tweet test result 60
5.5.3. Sending tweet to user test result 62
5.5.4. Front-end test result 64
5.5.5. Testing result discussion 68
6. Conclusion and Recommendation 69
References 76
ANNEX 82
Annex 1. AutoIt script to collect user send tweets. 82
Annex 2. The survey questions 86
Annex 3. Bantul information page 90
Annex 4. Gunungkidul information page 95
Annex 5. Yogyakarta City information page 101
Annex 6. Kulonprogo information page 107
Annex 7. Sleman information page 113
Annex 8. Bantul live tweet page 119
Annex 9. Gunungkidul live tweet page 125

Annex 10. Yogyakarta City live tweet page..125
Annex 11. Kulonprogo live tweet page..131
Annex 12. Sleman live tweet page 143
Annex 13. Index frames 149
Annex 14. Map page 149
Annex 15. Bantul information delivery..152
Annex 16. Gunungkidul information delivery 158
Annex 17. Yogyakarta City information delivery...165
Annex 18. Kulonprogo information delivery 172
Annex 19. Sleman information delivery 178

List of Figures

Figure 2.2.1. Phases in disaster management (Public Safety Canada, 2011)...........9
Figure 2.3.1. Integrating expert, crowds, and algorithm (Kamel Boulos et al., 2011). 10
Figure 2.6.1. Twitter API diagram (Twitter, n.d.-a). 13
Figure 2.14.1. Waterfall software development flowchart (Lotz, 2013) 16
Figure 2.15.1. Agile Software Development Flowchart (Lotz, 2013) 16
Figure 3.1. Yogyakarta Special District location and population. 18
Figure 3.2. Population and twitter user spread on Yogyakarta Special District 19
Figure 3.3. Yogyakarta Special District in danger zone (BNPB, n.d.-c). 20
Figure 4.2.1.1. Research method flowchart 27
Figure 4.2.3.1. Flowchart of survey analysis 30
Figure 4.2.4.1. Chrysalis software development overview flowchart...................32
Figure 4.2.6.1. Locating twitter user flowchart....................34
Figure 4.2.7.1. @infobmkg tweet of earthquake 35
Figure 4.2.7.2. @BPPTKG tweet of Merapi status....................36
Figure 4.2.7.3. Flowchart for collecting merapi volcano status............................36
Figure 4.2.7.4. Flowchart for collecting earthquake alert....................36
Figure 4.2.7.5. Sending tweet to geolocated users flowchart................................37
Figure 4.2.7.6. Sending tweet to users that talked about earthquake for particular location flowchart....................38
Figure 4.2.8.1.Tweet delivery status on back-end (not to be seen by user)...........39
Figure 4.2.8.2. Sending tweet to geolocated users flowchart................................40
Figure 4.2.8.3. Sending tweet to users that talked about earthquake for particular location flowchart....................41
Figure 4.2.9.1. Colour settings on MapBox 43
Figure 4.2.9.2. Popup menu programming page on MapBox 44
Figure 4.2.12.1. Front-end testing flow overview....................49
Figure 5.3.1.1. Chrysalis main page (accessible online on http://bramantya.org/maps/jogja/) 54
Figure 5.4.1. Twitter user location on map (shown with red pin).........................59
Figure 6.1. Mockup of responsive design on interactive map. 74

List of Tables

Table 4.1.1. Equipment and its function. 25
Table 4.2.2.1. Information list....29
Table 5.1.1. Information needs in each sub-district....50
Table 5.2.1. Place ID for each sub districts....52
Table 5.3.1.1. Information availability....53
Table 5.3.2.1. Keywords to look for tweets that talk about particular location and earthquake 56
Table 5.3.2.2. Keywords used to collect latest tweet that came from Yogyakarta Special District....56
Table 5.3.2.3. Keywords used to collect earthquake alert in Yogyakarta Special District....56
Table 5.3.2.4. Keywords used to collect Merapi volcano status....56
Table 5.5.1.1. Locating twitter user test result....60
Table 5.5.2.1. Earthquake alert and Merapi volcano status collection from governmental Twitter account test result....61
Table 5.5.2.2. Collecting tweet from each subdistricts test result....61
Table 5.5.2.3. Collecting tweet about earthquake test result 62
Table 5.5.3.1. Tweet delivery for user with geo location test result....63
Table 5.5.3.2. Tweet delivery for user that tweeted about earthquake for the specified location 64
Table 5.5.4.1. Browser test result for information page 65
Table 5.5.4.2. Browser test result for interactive map 66
Table 5.5.4.3. Browser test result for chrysalis page 67