

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF FIGURES	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
CHAPTER I	1
I. 1. Background	1
I. 2. Problem formulations	2
I. 3. Objectives of Study	3
I. 4. Scope of Research	3
I.4.1. Study Area	3
I.4.2. Scope of works.....	5
I.4.3. Research Outcomes	5
I. 5. Research Limitations	6
I. 6. Previous Study	6
CHAPTER II	8
II. 1. Regional Geology	8
II.1.1. Geological Condition.....	8
II.1.2. Geomorphological Condition.	8
II.1.3. Stratigraphy	10
II. 2. Regional Hydrogeology	12
II.2.1. Aquifer Definition	12
II.2.2. Hydrogeological Setting.....	15
II. 3. Theoretical background	18

II.3.1. Groundwater Recharge	18
II.3.2. Evapotranspiration	19
II.3.3. Runoff.....	20
II.3.4. Groundwater Contamination.....	21
II.3.5. Source of Groundwater Contamination.....	22
II.3.6. Classification of Groundwater Contaminant Source.....	24
II.3.7. Ground Water Quality of Merapi Aquifer System.....	26
II.3.8. Groundwater levels of Yogyakarta.....	27
II.3.9. Mechanism of Contamination	27
II. 4. Groundwater Vulnerability	30
II.4.1. Groundwater Vulnerability Concept	30
II.4.2. Basic of groundwater vulnerability	32
II.4.3. Groundwater vulnerability assessment.....	33
II. 5. Contaminant loading assessment	37
II.5.1. Contaminant loading components	37
II.5.2. Methodology of assessment	44
II. 6. Hypothesis.....	47
CHAPTER III	48
III. 1. Field work	48
III. 2. Research materials	48
III. 3. Research steps.....	48
III.3.1. Research Preparation.....	48
III.3.2. Detail field Investigation.	49
CHAPTER IV	54
IV. 1. General site characteristic	54
IV.1.1. Landuse.....	54

IV.1.2. Statistic Data of Study Area.....	56
IV.1.3. On-Site-Sanitation System.	57
IV. 2. Hydrological Condition of Study Area	58
IV.2.1. Rainfall.....	58
IV.2.2. Temperature.....	59
IV.2.3. Evapotranspiration of Study Area	59
IV.2.4. Runoff Condition of Study Area.....	59
IV.2.5. Groundwater Recharge of Study Area	59
IV. 3. Hydrogeology Condition of the Study Area.	60
IV.3.1. Geomorphological Condition of the Study Area.....	60
IV.3.2. Geological Condition of Study Area.....	61
IV.3.3. Groundwater flow pattern	63
IV. 4. Groundwater Vulnerability by Using GOD Method.....	64
IV.4.1. Groundwater Occurrence	64
IV.4.2. Overlay Lithology	65
IV.4.3. Depth to Groundwater	66
IV. 5. Groundwater Potential Loading Assessment.....	67
IV.5.1. Assumption	67
IV.5.2. Component of Groundwater Potential Loading	68
CHAPTER V.....	77
V. 1. Groundwater Vulnerability Map of Study Area.....	77
V. 2. Assessment result of groundwater potential contaminant loading	78
V.2.1. Nitrate.....	78
V.2.2. Chloride.....	81
V. 3. Groundwater pollution hazard assessment result.....	84
V. 4. Water quality analysis result	86

V.4.1. Nitrate (NO₃⁻)	86
V.4.2. Chloride (Cl⁻)	88
CHAPTER VI	93
VI. 1. Conclusions	93
VI. 2. Suggestions	94
REFERENCES.....	96
APPENDICES	101

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure I.1: Map of study area, Gembira Loka Zoo and surrounding area.	4
Figure II.1: Geomorphological Map of Yogyakarta-Sleman Basin (Hendrayana, 2011).....	9
Figure II.2: Geological Map of Yogyakarta Groundwater Basin (Source: modified from McDonald and Partners, 1984; Putra, 2003).	11
Figure II.3: Hydrogeological map of Study area based on regional hydrogeology of Yogyakarta (modified from Djaeni, 1982).....	14
Figure II.4: Hydrogeological fence of Merapi Aquifer System (Putra, 2003)	16
Figure II.5: Cross section of study area and its surrounding (Source: Putra, 2003).....	17
Figure II.6: Conceptual cross section of Merapi Aquifer System (modified from MacDonald and Partners, 1984 in Putra, 2003).	17
Figure II.7: Map of annual potential evapotranspiration distribution of Yogyakarta Basin (modified from Putra, 2007).....	20
Figure II.8: Potential source of groundwater contamination (Zaporozec and Miller, 2000).....	23
Figure II.9: Processes causing contaminant in unsaturated zone (Foster and Hirata, 1988).....	30
Figure II.10: GOD system for evaluation of aquifer pollution vulnerability (After Foster and Hirata, 1988).....	36
Figure II.11: Characterization of contaminant load factors, (Chilton <i>et al.</i> , 2006).....	38
Figure II.12: Characterization of intensity of contaminant (Chilton <i>et al.</i> , 2006)40	
Figure II.13: Characterization of Mode of disposition (Chilton <i>et al.</i> , 2006)	42
Figure II.14: Characterization of Duration of application (Chilton <i>et al.</i> , 2006) .44	
Figure II.15: System for detailed object-specific rating of potential groundwater contamination load (Johansson and Hirata, 2002).	46
Figure III.1: Dug well measurement in the study area.	51
Figure III.2: Water sample points in the study area	51
Figure III.3: Flowchart of research methodology.	53

Figure IV.1: Landuse map of the study area.....	54
Figure IV.2: Landuse activities in the study area	55
Figure IV.3: Population density of villages surrounding study area	56
Figure IV.4: Population density of Gembira Loka Zoo and surrounding area (Source: Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia, 2011).....	57
Figure V.1: Vulnerability map of study area.	78
Figure V.2: Nitrate potential contaminant load map of study area.	79
Figure V.3: Chloride potential contaminant load map of study area.	82
Figure V.4: Optimization of the matrix evaluation for groundwater pollution hazard assessment in the study area.	84
Figure V.5: Nitrate pollution hazard map of study area.....	85
Figure V.6: Chloride pollution hazard map of study area.....	85
Figure V.7: Groundwater pollution hazard and concentration map of nitrate.	88
Figure V.8: Correlation of nitrate concentration and nitrate hazard.	88
Figure V.9: Groundwater pollution hazard map and concentration map of chloride	89
Figure V.10: Correlation of chloride concentration and pollution hazard range ..	89
Figure V.11: Ratio of nitrate and chloride concentration on groundwater and surface water in the study area.	92

LIST OF TABLES

Table II.1. General definition of water bearing units based on upon geology (McDonald and Partners, 1984).	13
Table II.2. Groundwater recharge estimation in Yogyakarta area that assuming for natural – rural condition (Putra, 2007).	19
Table II.3. Potential sources of groundwater contamination listed by the character of discharge (Zaporozec, 2002).	25
Table IV.1. Percentage of potential nitrate in groundwater recharge likely to leach from on-site-sanitation to the groundwater surface in a range of hydrogeological environments (source: AROSS, 2001).	70
Table IV.2. Class of Nitrate and Chloride.	71
Table IV.3. Classification of contaminant loading for industrial activities in the Yogyakarta city by Putra, 2007.	75
Table V.1. Assessment result of nitrate potential contaminant load in the study area.	79
Table V.2. Assessment result of chloride potential contaminant load in the study area.	83
Table V.3. Result of chemical analysis of study area, 2015.	90
Table V.4. Result of chemical analysis of study area, 2015. (Cont).	90