

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

REPORT HEADER PAGE.....	i
APPROVAL SHEET.....	ii
DEDICATION WORDS .....	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF TABLES.....	viii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	ix
LIST OF EQUATIONS .....	xv
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	xvi
INTISARI.....	xvii
ABSTRACT .....	xviii
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Problem statement .....	2
1.3 Research objectives.....	2
1.4 Purpose of the research .....	2
1.5 Limitations of the research.....	3
1.6 Organization of the research .....	4
1.7 Authenticity of the research .....	4
CHAPTER 2: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Repairing and strengthening of beam-column joints.....	7
2.2 Characteristics of polymer concrete .....	19
CHAPTER 3: BASIC THEORY	
3.1. Basic concepts of beam-column joints in reinforced concrete frame structures .....	28
3.1.1 Classification based on geometric configuration .....	28
3.1.2 Classification based on structural behavior .....	30
3.1.3 Classification based on detailing aspects .....	31
3.2 Behavior of beam-column joints .....	32

3.3 Basic concepts of retrofitting in reinforced concrete frame structures .....	35
3.4 Polymer concrete .....	41
3.5 Design concepts of beam-column joints .....	44
3.6 Confinement of the joint core.....	47
3.7. Stiffness.....	48
3.8. Ductility .....	49
3.9 Reliability criteria for structural systems .....	50
3.9.1 Observed hysteresis curve and envelope curve .....	51
3.9.2 Hysteresis loops .....	52
3.9.3 Hysteretic energy .....	52
3.9.4 Potential energy ( <i>PE</i> ) and equivalent stiffness ( $k_c$ ).....	53
3.9.5 Equivalent viscous damping ratio (EVDR) .....	54
3.9.6 Equivalent energy elastic-plastic (EEEP) curve .....	55
3.10 Crack pattern .....	57
3.11 Standard test method.....	59
3.12 Acceptance criteria .....	61
<b>CHAPTER 4: RESEARCH METHODS</b>	
4.1. Introduction .....	64
4.2 Design of the beam-column joint test specimens .....	64
4.3. Materials.....	64
4.3.1 Steel reinforcing bars.....	65
4.3.2 Plain concrete.....	65
4.3.3 Resin concrete.....	66
4.3.4 Triplex wood and batten.....	67
4.4. Devices.....	67
4.4.1 Devices for fabricating and retrofitting the joint test specimens.....	67
4.4.2 Devices for testing the material properties of the joint test specimens.....	70
4.4.3 Devices for testing the joint test specimens.....	71

4.5	Description of test specimens .....	77
4.5.1	Preliminary test specimens .....	77
4.5.2	Interior reinforced concrete beam-column joint specimens .....	78
4.6.	Research implementation .....	80
4.6.1	Flowchart of the research .....	80
4.6.2	Steps of the research.....	81
4.6.3	Research obstacles .....	99
<b>CHAPTER 5: TEST RESULTS AND ANALYSIS</b>		
5.1	Introduction .....	101
5.2	Hysteretic loops .....	102
5.3	Envelope curve .....	106
5.4	Equivalent Energy Elastic-Plastic (EEEP) Curve .....	113
5.5	Equivalent stiffness.....	115
5.6	Elastic stiffness.....	116
5.7	Ductility .....	119
5.8	Hysteretic energy .....	120
5.9	Potential energy .....	122
5.10	Equivalent Viscous Damping Ratio.....	124
5.11	Crack pattern .....	126
5.12	Acceptance criteria .....	135
5.13	Joint evaluation under earthquake loads .....	143
5.13.1	Capacity of pre-retrofit specimens subjected to earthquake loading .....	143
5.13.2	Capacity of retrofit specimens subjected to earthquake loading .....	147
<b>CHAPTER 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>		
6.1	Conclusions .....	150
6.2	Suggestion for related research .....	152
<b>REFERENCES</b>		
<b>APPENDICES</b>		

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Mixture proportions and fresh concrete properties (Jamshidi and Pourkhorshidi, 2010).....	(24)
Table 3.1	Type of crack patterns in reinforced concrete beams .....	(59)
Table 5.1	Summary of experimental test results of all retrofit specimens...	(106)
Table 5.2	Comparison of experimental first crack loads between pre-retrofit specimens and retrofit specimens .....	(112)
Table 5.3	Results of EEEP analysis of all retrofit specimens .....	(113)
Table 5.4	Comparison of ductility factor between all retrofit specimens ....	(120)
Table 5.5	Comparison between the values of $E_n$ and the lateral resistance during the first cycle to 1.75 percent drift ratio for all retrofit specimens.....	(136)
Table 5.6	Comparison between the maximum lateral resistance recorded in test and the values of $E_n$ for all retrofit specimens.....	(137)
Table 5.7	Comparison between the lateral loads of maximum drift level at third cycle and the values of $0.75E_{max}$ for all retrofit specimens.....	(139)
Table 5.8	Results of the relative energy dissipation analysis of all retrofit specimens.....	(139)
Table 5.9	Comparison between the secant stiffness from drift ratio of – 0.35% to +0.35% and the values of 0.05 times the stiffness for initial drift ratio .....	(142)
Table 5.10	Analysis results in the form of frame element forces of the pre-retrofit specimens generated using SAP 2000 .....	(146)
Table 5.11	Comparison of the results between the design strengths (capacity of pre-retrofit specimens) and the frame element forces which designed according to SNI 1726:2002 and SNI 1726:2012 .....	(147)
Table 5.12	Analysis results in the form of frame element forces of retrofit specimens generated using SAP 2000.....	(149)

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1	Details of pre-retrofit specimens (Widyawati, 2002).....	(8)
Figure 2.2	Details of specimens BJCR-1 and BJCR-2 without the additional flexural reinforcement (Widyawati, 2002) .....	(9)
Figure 2.3	Details of specimens BJCR-3 and BJCR-4 with the additional flexural reinforcement (Widyawati, 2002) .....	(10)
Figure 2.4	Loading pattern of the corresponding specimens (Widyawati, 2002).....	(10)
Figure 2.5	General set up of the corresponding specimens (Widyawati, 2002).....	(11)
Figure 2.6	Reinforcement details for the test specimens (Ravindra and Ramakrishna, 2012).....	(13)
Figure 2.7	Set up of the specimens consisted of two stages of loading included: (a) set up of the virgin specimens, and (b) set up of the rehabilitated specimens using FRPC (Ravindra and Ramakrishna, 2012).....	(14)
Figure 2.8	Comparison of the strength of the beam before and after retrofitted (Fakhruddin, 2013).....	(16)
Figure 2.9	General set up of the corresponding exterior reinforced concrete beam-column joints specimens (Wijaya, 2015) .....	(18)
Figure 2.10	The samples of polymer mortar and polymer concrete (B rbuță and Harja, 2008).....	(21)
Figure 3.1	Exterior beam-column joints in plane and space frames (Sharma, 2013).....	(29)
Figure 3.2	Interior beam-column joints in plane and space frames (Sharma, 2013).....	(30)
Figure 3.3	Reinforcement detailing of joints prescribed by (a) older (ATC40, 1996) and (b) new codes of practice (IS 13920, 2002)..	(32)
Figure 3.4	The mechanics of exterior joint when subjected to seismic forces include: (a) external actions and forces in beams and	

	columns, (b) horizontal and vertical joint shear forces, and (c) principal stresses in joint (Sharma, 2013).....	(34)
Figure 3.5	The mechanics of interior joint when subjected to seismic forces include: (a) external actions and forces in beams and columns, (b) horizontal and vertical joint shear forces, and (c) principal stresses in joint (Sharma, 2013).....	(35)
Figure 3.6	Basic concept for seismic retrofit (CEB, 1995) .....	(41)
Figure 3.7	Plastic Mechanisms Typical of Reinforced Concrete Frames (NEHRP, 2008) .....	(46)
Figure 3.8	Strong column/weak beam design moments (NEHRP, 2008).....	(46)
Figure 3.9	Slope of the line that connects the peak positive and negative responses (Tsonos, 1999).....	(49)
Figure 3.10	Observed hysteresis curve and envelope curves (ASTM E 2126-02a, 2003) .....	(51)
Figure 3.11	Hysteresis loop and potential energy (ASTM E 2126-02a, 2003).....	(52)
Figure 3.12	Performance parameters of the structure element (ASTM E 2126-02a, 2003) .....	(56)
Figure 3.13	Crack patterns in reinforced concrete beams (Triwiyono, 2000)..	(58)
Figure 3.14	Sequence of displacement controlled cycles (ACI T1.1-01, 2001).....	(60)
Figure 3.15	Quantities used in evaluating acceptance criteria (ACI T1.1-01, 2001).....	(62)
Figure 3.16	Relative energy dissipation ratio (ACI T1.1-01, 2001).....	(62)
Figure 3.17	Unacceptable hysteretic behavior (ACI T1.1-01, 2001).....	(63)
Figure 4.1	Bonding adhesive .....	(66)
Figure 4.2	SHCP polyester resin and catalyst.....	(67)
Figure 4.3	Universal Testing Machine .....	(70)
Figure 4.4	Compression Testing Machine.....	(71)
Figure 4.5	Hydraulic jack .....	(72)
Figure 4.6	Hydraulic actuator .....	(72)

Figure 4.7	Load cell with capacity of 60 tons.....	(73)
Figure 4.8	Load cell with capacity of 10 tons.....	(73)
Figure 4.9	Data logger .....	(74)
Figure 4.10	Linear Variable Differential Transducer .....	(75)
Figure 4.11	Strain gauges .....	(75)
Figure 4.12	Strain indicator .....	(76)
Figure 4.13	Micro crack meter .....	(76)
Figure 4.14	Details of interior reinforced concrete beam-column joint specimens .....	(79)
Figure 4.15	Flowchart of the research.....	(81)
Figure 4.16	Normal concrete compression test .....	(83)
Figure 4.17	Resin concrete compression test .....	(84)
Figure 4.18	Concrete beam samples used in testing the bond preformance ....	(85)
Figure 4.19	Cylindrical concrete samples used in testing the bond performance .....	(86)
Figure 4.20	Flexural test method used in testing the bond performance .....	(86)
Figure 4.21	Compression test method used in testing the bond performance..	(87)
Figure 4.22	Rebar tensile strength test .....	(88)
Figure 4.23	Samples used in rebar tensile strength test .....	(88)
Figure 4.24	Specimen components .....	(90)
Figure 4.25	Strain gauge locations.....	(91)
Figure 4.26	Concrete slump test .....	(91)
Figure 4.27	Consolidation of concrete using vibrators .....	(92)
Figure 4.28	General set up of interior beam-column joint specimens .....	(93)
Figure 4.29	Concrete section removal.....	(94)
Figure 4.30	Results of concrete section removal .....	(95)
Figure 4.31	Additional lateral reinforcement at the middle of the joint area in specimen BKMR-3.....	(96)
Figure 4.32	Casting process of specimen retrofitted using resin concrete .....	(97)
Figure 4.33	Casting process of specimen retrofitted using normal concrete ...	(98)
Figure 5.1	Experimental hysteresis loops for specimen BKMR-1 .....	(103)

Figure 5.2	Experimental hysteresis loops for specimen BKMR-2 .....	(103)
Figure 5.3	Experimental hysteresis loops for specimen BKMR-3 .....	(104)
Figure 5.4	Initial envelope curve of specimen BKMR-1 .....	(107)
Figure 5.5	Initial envelope curve of specimen BKMR-2 .....	(107)
Figure 5.6	Initial envelope curve of specimen BKMR-3 .....	(108)
Figure 5.7	Comparison of initial envelope curve between all retrofit specimens.....	(108)
Figure 5.8	Comparison of initial envelope curve between pre-retrofit specimen BKM-1 and retrofit specimen BKMR-1 .....	(111)
Figure 5.9	Comparison of initial envelope curve between pre-retrofit specimen BKM-2 and retrofit specimen BKMR-2 .....	(111)
Figure 5.10	Comparison of initial envelope curve between pre-retrofit specimen BKM-3 and retrofit specimen BKMR-3 .....	(112)
Figure 5.11	Development of initial EEEP curve of specimen BKMR-2 .....	(114)
Figure 5.12	Equivalent stiffness vs. sequence of drift levels in specimen BKMR-1 .....	(115)
Figure 5.13	Equivalent stiffness vs. sequence of drift levels in specimen BKMR-2 .....	(115)
Figure 5.14	Equivalent stiffness vs. sequence of drift levels in specimen BKMR-3 .....	(116)
Figure 5.15	Comparison of elastic stiffness between pre-retrofit specimen BKM-1 and retrofit specimen BKMR-1 in both responses .....	(117)
Figure 5.16	Comparison of elastic stiffness between pre-retrofit specimen BKM-2 and retrofit specimen BKMR-2 in both responses .....	(117)
Figure 5.17	Comparison of elastic stiffness between pre-retrofit specimen BKM-3 and retrofit specimen BKMR-3 in both responses .....	(118)
Figure 5.18	Comparison of elastic stiffness between all retrofit specimens in both responses .....	(119)
Figure 5.19	Hysteresis energy of all retrofit specimens in negative response	(121)
Figure 5.20	Hysteresis energy of all retrofit specimens in positive response	(121)
Figure 5.21	Potential energy of all retrofit specimens in negative response...	(123)



Figure 5.22	Potential energy of all retrofit specimens in positive response....	(123)
Figure 5.23	Equivalent viscous damping ratio of all retrofit specimens in negative response .....	(125)
Figure 5.24	Equivalent viscous damping ratio of all retrofit specimens in positive response .....	(125)
Figure 5.25	First crack location in specimen BKMR-2 (a line marked in red) .....	(127)
Figure 5.26	First column crack at 0.75% drift ratio for specimen BKMR-2 (a line marked in red) .....	(127)
Figure 5.27	Cracking pattern at failure in specimen BKMR-2 .....	(128)
Figure 5.28	First appearance of flexural-shear cracks at 5% drift ratio for specimen BKMR-2 ( a line marked in red) .....	(128)
Figure 5.29	First crack location in specimen BKMR-1 (a line marked in red) .....	(130)
Figure 5.30	Crack patterns at 0.75% drift ratio for specimen BKMR-1 (lines marked in red) .....	(130)
Figure 5.31	Crack patterns at 2.2% drift ratio for specimen BKMR-1 .....	(131)
Figure 5.32	Spalling of concrete at 6.25% drift ratio for specimen BKMR-1 .....	(131)
Figure 5.33	First crack location in specimen BKMR-3 (a line marked in red) .....	(133)
Figure 5.34	Crack pattern at 0.75% drift ratio for specimen BKMR-3 .....	(134)
Figure 5.35	First column crack at 1% drift ratio for specimen BKMR-3 ( a line marked in red) .....	(134)
Figure 5.36	Crack pattern in specimen BKMR-3 at the end of loading stages .....	(135)
Figure 5.37	Relative energy dissipation of specimen BKMR-1 .....	(140)
Figure 5.38	Relative energy dissipation of specimen BKMR-2 .....	(140)
Figure 5.39	Relative energy dissipation of specimen BKMR-3 .....	(141)
Figure 5.40	3-D view of prototype structure .....	(144)
Figure 5.41	Plan for prototype structure .....	(145)
Figure 5.42	Elevation of prototype structure .....	(145)

- Figure 5.43 Comparison of response spectrum function between the  
function which designed according to SNI 1726:2002 and SNI  
1726:2012 .....(146)
- Figure 5.44 Initial scale factor as input for the computer program .....(148)

## LIST OF EQUATIONS

- Equation 3.1 Stiffness that obtained from the slope of the graph of relationship between load and displacement..... (48)
- Equation 3.2 Stiffness of the structural elements that receive the cyclic loading could be obtained by calculating the peak-to-peak stiffness ..... (48)
- Equation 3.3 Ductility index which expressed as the ratio of rotation ( ) at failure to the corresponding property at yield ..... (50)
- Equation 3.4 Ductility index which expressed as the ratio of curvature ( ) at failure to the corresponding property at yield ..... (50)
- Equation 3.5 Ductility index which expressed as the ratio of displacement ( ) at failure to the corresponding property at yield ..... (50)
- Equation 3.6 Equivalent stiffness that obtained from the average stiffness of the positive and negative cycles ..... (53)
- Equation 3.7 Equivalent stiffness in positive or negative cycles that calculated respectively, due to the unbalanced condition of the hysteretic loops in positive and negative cycles..... (54)
- Equation 3.8 Equivalent viscous damping ratio ..... (55)
- Equation 3.9 Elastic portion of the EEEP curve that contain the origin and has a slope equal to the elastic stiffness ( $k_e$ ) ..... (56)
- Equation 3.10 Plastic portion is a horizontal line equal to  $P_{yield}$ ..... (56)

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix I.	Standard test method for beam-column joint test specimens
Appendix II.	Material testing results
Appendix III.	Prototype building design
Appendix IV.	Normal and polymer concrete mix design for retrofitting the beam-column joints
Appendix V.	Preliminary testing results
Appendix VI.	Analysis of the Equivalent Energy Elastic-Plastic (EEEP) Curve
Appendix VII.	Calculation of normal lateral resistance ( $E_n$ )
Appendix VIII.	Application of retrofitting using polymer concrete on the site