

Table of Contents

ABSTRACT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

INTRODUCTION	1
<i>Background and aim of the study</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Theoretical framework: explaining 'Legitimacy'</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Selection and limitations of the study</i>	<i>7</i>
<i>Structure of the thesis</i>	<i>8</i>
 THE THEORETICAL POSSIBILITY OF A LEGITIMATE HUMANITARIAN INTERVENTION	 9
<i>Defining humanitarian intervention</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>Explaining the moral justifications of humanitarian intervention</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>Challenging five major objections of humanitarian intervention</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>The moral relativist objection</i>	<i>17</i>
<i>The political realist objection</i>	<i>19</i>
<i>The legal classicist objection</i>	<i>22</i>
<i>The 'pretext' objection</i>	<i>27</i>
 DETERMINING THE CRITERIA OF A LEGITIMATE HUMANITARIAN INTERVENTION	 28
<i>Just war theory as an ethical benchmark</i>	<i>31</i>
<i>Legitimate authority: which political actor may rightly carry an intervention?</i>	<i>34</i>
<i>Just cause: when is humanitarian intervention necessary?</i>	<i>38</i>
<i>Rightful intention: what is rightful? How is intention different from motive?</i>	<i>42</i>

<i>Reasonable prospect and humanitarian outcome</i>	45
<i>Proportionality</i>	48

INTERFET'S 1999 INTERVENTION IN EAST TIMOR AS THE CLOSEST EXAMPLE OF A LEGITIMATE HUMANITARIAN INTERVENTION 50

<i>East Timor and INTERFET: a historical overview</i>	50
<i>Establishing just cause: East Timor, 'an apocalyptic landscape'</i>	52
<i>Identifying rightful intention</i>	54
<i>Presenting INTERFET as a highly prospective intervener</i>	56
<i>Establishing the success of the mission</i>	58
<i>Showing INTERFET's high fidelity to the proportionality principle</i>	60

IN CONCLUSION: CONTEMPLATING THE FUTURE OF HUMANITARIAN INTERVENTION 61

<i>The Humanitarian intervention debate in the Security Council as of Now</i>	61
<i>Assessing R2P as a current standard for international response to humanitarian crises</i>	64
<i>A framework for reform</i>	66

REFERENCES