

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adams, C.A., dan Frost, G.R. (2008). *Integrating Sustainability Reporting into Management Practices*. Accounting Forum. Vol. 32. 4:288-302.
- Adams, R.B., Licht, A.N., dan Sagiv, Lilach. (2011). *Shareholders and Stakeholders: How Do Directors Decide*. Strategic Management Journal. 32: 1331–1355.
- Adkins, Nell., dan Radtke, R.R. (2004). *Students' and Faculty Members' Perceptions of the Importance of Business Ethics and Accounting Ethics Education: Is There an Expectations Gap?*. Journal of Business Ethics. 51. 3: 279-300.
- Alewine, Hank. C. (2010). *A Model for Conducting Experimental Environmental Accounting Research*. Sustainability Accounting, Management, and Policy Journal. Vol. 1. 2: 256:291.
- Al-Tuwaijri, A.S., Christensen, T.E. dan Hughes, K.E. (2004). *The Relations Among Environmental Disclosure, Environmental Performance, And Economic Performance: A Simultaneous Equations Approach*. Accounting, Organizations and Society. Vol. 29. 447-471.
- Anderson, J.C., dan Frankle, A.W. (1980). *Voluntary Social Reporting: An Iso-Beta Portfolio Analysis*. The Accounting Review. Vol. 5. 3: 467-479
- Anthony, R.N., dan Govindarajan, Vijay. (2007). *Management Control System*. Twelfth Edition. McGraw-Hill.
- Beets, S.D., dan Souther, C.C. (1999). *Corporate Environmental Reports: The Need for Standars and An Environmental Assurance Service*. Accounting Horizons. Vol.13. 2: 129-145.
- Belkaoui, Ahmed. (1976). *The Impact of the Disclosure of the Environmental Effects of Organizational Behavior on The Market*. Financial Management. Vol. 5. 4: 26-31.
- Berman, S.L., Wicks, A.C., Kotha, S. dan Jones, T.M. (1999). *Does Stakeholder Orientation Matter? The Relationship Between Stakeholder Management Models And Firm Financial Performance*. Academy of Management Journal. Vol. 42. 5: 488-506.
- Bommer, Michael., Gratto, Clarence., Gravander, Jerry., dan Tuttle, Mark. (1987). *A behavioral Model of Ethical and Unethical Decision Making*. Journal of Business Ethics. 4: 265.

- Booth, P., Axel, K., dan Schulz, A.K.D. (2004). *The Impact of An Ethical Environment on Managers' Project Evaluation Judgments Under Agency Problem Conditions*. Accounting, Organizations, and Society. Vol. 29. 473-488.
- Brigham, E.F., dan Houston, J.F. (2004). *Fundamentals of Financial Management*. Tenth Edition. Thomson-South Western.
- Brockner, Joel. (1992). *The Escalation Of Commitment to A Failing Course of Action: Toward Theoretical Progress*. Academy of Management Review. Vol. 17. 1. 39-61.
- Bronn, P. S., dan Vidaver-Cohen, D. (2009). *Corporate Motives for Social Initiative: Legitimacy, Sustainability or the Bottom Line?*. Journal of Business Ethics. 87: 91-109.
- Butler, J.B., Henderson., S.C., dan Raiborn, Cecily. (2011). *Sustainability and the Balanced Scorecard: Integrating Green Measures into Business Reporting*. Management Accounting Quarterly. Vol. 12. 2: 1-10.
- Chan, C.C.C., dan Milne, M.J. (1999). *Investor Reactions to Corporate Environmental Saints and Sinners: An Experimental Analysis*. Accounting and Business Research. Vol. 29. 4: 265-279.
- Chong, V.K., dan Suryawati, R.F. (2010). *De-Escalation Strategy: The Impact of Monitoring Control on Managers' Project Evaluation Decisions*. Journal of Applied Management Accounting Research. Vol. 8. 2: 39-50.
- Chong, V.K., dan Syarifuddin, Imran (2010). *The Effect of Obedience Pressure and Authoritarianism on Managers' Project Evaluation Decisions*. Advances in Accounting, Incorporating Advances in International Accounting. 26: 185-194.
- Doinea, Ovidia., Lepadat, Gheorghe., Tomita, Vasile., dan Daniasa, Ionela. (2011). *The Role of Accounting Information in Decision-Making Strategies and Processes*. Economics, Management, and Financial Market. Vol. 6. 2: 1842-3191.
- Donaldson, Thomas., dan Preston, L.E. (1995). *The Stakeholder Theory of The Corporation: Concepts, Evidence, and Implications*. The Academy of Management Review. Vol. 20. 1: 65-91.
- Flamholtz, Eric. G. (1979). *The Process of Measurement in Managerial Accounting: A Psycho-Technical Systems Perspective*. Accounting, Organizations and Society. Vol. 5. 1: 31-42.

- Freeman, R.E. (1984). *Strategic Management: A Stakeholder Approach*. Pitman. Boston. MA.
- Freeman, R.E. (1994). *The Politics of Stakeholder Theory*. Business Ethics Quarterly. 4(4) 409-421.
- Freeman, R. Edward., dan Robert A. Philips. (2002). *Stakeholder Theory: A Libertarian Defense*. Business Ethics Quarterly. Vol. 12. 3: 331-349.
- Fukukawa, Kyoko., Shafer, W.E., dan Lee, G.M. (2007). *Values and Attitudes Toward Social and Environmental Accountability: A Study of MBA Students*. Journal of Business Ethics. Vol. 71. 4: 381-394.
- Ghozali, Imam. (2011). *Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate Dengan Program IBM SPSS 19*. Semarang: BP-UNDIP.
- Gibson, Kevin. (2012). *Stakeholders and Sustainability: An Evolving Theory*. Journal of Business Ethics. 109:15-25.
- Graafland, J., & Van De Ven, B. (2012). *Motives for Corporate Social Responsibility*. De Economist. 160: 377-396.
- Gudono. (2014). *Analisis Data Multivariat*. Edisi 3. Yogyakarta: BPF.
- Guidry, R.P., dan Patten, D.M. (2010). *Market Reactions to the First-Time Issuance of Corporate Sustainability Reports: Evidence That Quality Matters*. Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal. Vol. 1.1: 33-50.
- Harrison, P.D., dan Harrell, Adrian. (1993). *Impact of "Adverse Selection" On Managers' Project Evaluation Decisions*. Academy of Management Journal. Vol. 36. 3:635-643.
- Hartono, Jogyanto. (2013). *Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis, Salah Kaprah dan Pengalaman-pengalaman*. BPF. Yogyakarta.
- Henri, J.F., dan Journeault, Marc. (2010). *Eco-Control: The Influence Of Management Control Systems on Environmental And Economic Performance*. Accounting, Organizations and Society. Vol. 35. 1:63-80
- Henriques, Irene., dan Sadowsky, Perry. (1999). *The Relationship Between Environmental Commitment and Managerial Perceptions of Stakeholder Importance*. The Academy of Management Journal. Vol. 42. 1: 87-99.
- Hill, C.W.L., dan Jones, T.M. (1992). *Stakeholder-Agency Theory*. Journal of Management Studies. Vol. 29. 2: 131-152.

- Hilton, R.W. (2001). *Managerial Accounting: Creating Value in A Dynamic Business Environment*. Fifth Edition. McGrawHill.
- Hitlin, Steven., dan Piliavin, JA. (2004). *Values: Reviving A Dormant Concept*. Annual Review of Sociology. 30: 359–393.
- Huang, CL., dan Chang, B.G. (2010). *The Effects of Managers' Moral Philosophy on Project Decision Under Agency Problem Condition*. Journal of Business Ethics. 94:595-611.
- Jones, T.M. (1995). *Instrumental Stakeholder Theory: A Synthesis of Ethics and Economics*. Academy of Management Review. 20: 404-437.
- Jones, T.M., Felps, W.A., dan Bigley, G.A. (2007). *Ethical Theory and Stakeholder-Related Decisions: The Role of Stakeholder Culture*. Academy of Management Review. Vol. 32. 1: 137–155.
- Jones, T.M., dan Wicks, A.C. (1999). *Convergent Stakeholder Theory*. Academy of Management Review. Vol. 24. 2: 206-221.
- Joshi, Satish., dan Krishman, Ranjani. (2010). *Sustainability Accounting Systems with A Managerial Decision Focus*. Cost Management. Vol. 24. 6: 20-30.
- Kanodia, Chandra., Bushman, Robert., dan Dickhaut, John. (1989). *Escalation Errors and The Sunk Cost Effect: An Explanation Based on Reputation and Information Asymmetries*. Journal of Accounting Research. 27. 1: 59-77.
- Keil, Mark., Mann, Joan., dan Rai, Arun. (2000). *Why Software Projects Escalate: An Empirical Analysis and Test of Four Theoretical Models*. MIS Quarterly. Vol. 24. 4. 631-664.
- Kerzner, Harold. R. (2009). *Project Management : A Systems Approach to Planning, Scheduling, and Conrolling*. Elevent Edition. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Larson, E.W., dan Gray, C.F. (2011). *Project Management: The Managerial Process*. McGraw-Hill. Irwin, New York.
- Madein, Afdal., dan Sholihin, Mahfud. (2015). *The Impact of Social and Environmental Information on Managers' Decisions*. Asia Review of Accounting. Vol. 23. 2:156-169.
- Milne, M.J., dan Patten, D.M. (2002). *Securing Organizational Legitimacy: An Experimental Decision Case Examining the Impact of Environmental Disclosures*. Accounting, Auditing, and Accountability Journal. Vol. 15. 3: 372-405.

- Mitchell, R.K., Agle, B.R., dan Wood, D.J. (1997). *Toward A Theory of Stakeholder Identification and Salience: Defining the Principle of Who and What Really Counts*. *Academy of Management Review*. Vol.22. 4: 853-886.
- Mulyadi. (2001). *Akuntansi Manajemen: Konsep, Manfaat, dan Rekayasa*. Salemba Empat. Jakarta.
- Nahartyo, Ertambang., dan Utami, Intiyas. (2016). *Panduan Praktis Riset Eksperiment*. Indeks: Jakarta.
- Nunnally, J., Dan Bernstein, I.H. (1994). *Psychometric Theory*. New York. McGraw-Hill.
- Okada, E.M., dan Mais, E.L. (2010). *Framing The “Green” Alternative for Environmentally Conscious Consumers*. *Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal*. Vol. 1. 2:222-234
- Oruc, Ilke., dan Sarikaya, Muammer. (2011). *Normative Stakeholder Theory in Relation to Ethics of Care*. *Social Responsibility Journal*. Vol. 7. 3. 381-392.
- Phillips, R., Freeman, R.E., dan Wicks, A.C. (2003). *What Stakeholder Theory Is Not*. *Business Ethics Quarterly*. Vol. 13. 4:479-502.
- Poortinga, Wouter., Steg, Linda., dan Vlek, Charles. (2004). *Value, Environment Concern, and Environmental Behaviour: A Study Household Energy Use*. *Environmental Behaviour*. Vol. 36. 1: 70-93.
- Porter, M.E., dan Linde, C. (1995). *Green and Competitive: Ending The Stalemate*. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Reville, M.A., Saris, W.E., dan Krosnick, J.A. (2014). *Choosing The Number of Categories in Agree-Disagree Scale*. *Sociological Method and Research*. Vol. 43. 1: 73-79.
- Rikhardsson, Pall., dan Holm, Claus. (2008). *The Effect of Environmental Information on Investment Allocation Decisions – An Experimental Study*. *Business Strategy and the Environment*. Vol. 17. 6:382-397.
- Roth, H.P., dan Carl E.K. (1997). *Quality, Profits, and The Environment: Diverse Goals or Common Objectives. Is Your Company Lightgreen or Darkgreen*. *Management Accounting*. Vol.79.1:51-55.
- Rutledge, Robert., dan Karim, Khondkar. (1999). *The Influence of Self-Interest and Ethical Considerations on Managers’ Evaluation Judgments*. *Accounting, Organizations, and Society*. Vol.24. 2:172-184

- Schultz, P.W., dan Zelezny, Lgnnette. (1999). *Values As Predictors of Environmental Attitudes*. Journal of Environmental Psychology. 19: 255-265.
- Schultz, P.W., Gouveia, V.V., Cameron, L.D., Tankha, Geetika., Schumuck, Peter., dan Franek, Marek. (2005). *Value and Their Relationship to Environmental Concern and Conservation Behaviour*. Journal of Cross Cultural Psychology. Vol. 36. 4: 457-475.
- Schwartz, Shalom. H. (1994). *Are There Universal Aspects in The Structure and Contents of Human Values?*. Journal of Social Issues. Vol. 50. 4: 19-45.
- Schwartz, S.H., Caprara, G.F., dan Vecchione, Michele. (2010). *Basic Personal Value, Core Political Value, and Voting: A Longitudinal Analysis*. Political Psychology. Vol. 31. 3: 421-452.
- Schwartz, S.H., Melech Gila., Lehmann, Arieile., Burgess, Steven., Harris, Mary., dan Owens, Vicky. (2001). *Extending the Cross-Cultural Validity of The Theory of Basic Human Values with A Different Method of Measurement*. Journal of Cross Cultural Psychology. Vol. 32. 5: 519-542.
- Sprinkle, G.B., dan Maines, L.A. (2010). *The Benefits and Costs of Corporate Social Responsibility*. Business Horizons. 53: 445-453.
- Teoh, H.Y., dan Shiu, G.Y. (1990). *Attitudes Towards Corporate Social Responsibility And Perceived Importance of Social Responsibility Information Characteristics in A Decision Context*. Journal of Business Ethics. Vol. 9. 1: 71-77.
- Tiwana, Amrit., Keil, Mark., dan Fichman, R.G. (2006). *Information Systems Project Continuation in Escalation Situations: A Real Option Model*. Decision Sciences. Vol. 37.3:357-391.
- Trevino, L.K. (1986). *Ethical Decisison Making in Organizations: A Person-Situation Interactionist Model*. Academic of Management Review. 11: 601-617.
- Waddock, S.A., dan Graves, S. (1997). *The Corporate Social Performance-Financial Performance Link*. Strategic Management Journal. 18: 303-317.
- Wittink, D.R., dan Bayer, L.R. (2003). *The Measurement Imperative: Empirical Results on the Number of Scale Points Help Assess Customer Satisfaction*. Marketing Research. 19-22.