

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Amabile, T.M., Conti, R., Coon, H., Lazenby, J., & Herron, M. 1996. Assessing the Work Environment for Creativity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(5): 1154 – 1184
- Amason, A.C. 1996. Distinguishing the Effects of Functional and Dysfunctional Conflict on Strategic Decision making: Resolving a paradox for Top management Teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39: 123 – 148
- Argyris, C. 1970. *Overcoming Organizational Defenses: Facilitating Organizational Learning*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall
- Bacharach, S. B. 1989. Organizational Theories: Some Criteria for Evaluation. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4): 496 – 515
- Banks, G.C., Batchelor, J.H., Seers, A., O’Boyle, E.H., Pollack, J.M. & Gower, K. 2014. What Does Team-Member Exchange Bring to The Party? A Meta-Analytic Review of Team and Leader Social Exchange. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 35: 273 – 295
- Behn, R.D. 1987. A Curmudgeon’s View of Public Administration: Routine Tasks, Performance, and Innovation. *State and Local government Review*. 19(2): 47 + 54 – 61
- Berry, W.D. & Feldman, S. 1985. *Multiple Regression in Practice*. Thousand Oaks, California. Sage Publications, Inc.
- Bidault, F. & Castello, A. 2008. Trust and Creativity: Identifying the Role of Trust in Creativity-Oriented Joint-Development. European School of Management and Thechnology. *ESMT Working Paper No. 08-010*
- Bidault, F. & Castello, A. 2010. Why Too Much Trust is Death to Innovation. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, Summer: 33 – 38
- Bigley, G.A. & Pearce, J.L. 1998. Straining for Shared Meaning in Organization Science: Problems of Trust and Distrust. *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(3): 405 – 421
- Birkinshaw, J., Hamel, G., & Mol, M.J. 2008. Management Innovation. *Academy of Management Review*, 33: 825 – 845

- Blau, P. 1964. *Exchange and Power in Social Life*. New York. Wiley
- Blau, J.R. & McKinley, W. 1979. Idea, Complexity, and Innovation. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 24: 200 – 219
- Bliese, P.D. 2000. Group Agreement, Non-Independence, and Reliability: Implications for Data Aggregation and Analysis. In K.J. Klein & S.W.J. Kozlowski (Ed.), *Multilevel Theory, Research, and Methods in Organizations: Foundations, extensions, and New directions* (pp 349 – 381). Josey-Bass Inc, San Francisco
- Bliese, P.D. & Halverson, R.R. 1998. Group Size and Measures of Group-Level Properties: An Examination of Eta-Squared and ICC Values. *Journal of Management*, 24(2): 157 – 172
- Boss, R.W. 1978. Trust and managerial Problem Solving Revisited. *Group and Organizational Studies*, 3(3): 353 – 387
- Campbell, D.J. 1988. Task Complexity: A Review And Analysis. *Academy Of Management Review*, 13 (1): 40 – 52
- Chae, S., Seo, Y. & Lee, K.C. 2013. Effects of Task Complexity on Individual Creativity Through knowledge Interaction: A Comparison of Temporary and Permanent Teams. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 1 – 11
- Cheng, Y. & Van de Ven, A. 1996. Learning the Innovation Journey: Order out of Chaos? *Organization Science*, 7(6): 593 – 614
- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S.G., & Aiken, L.S. 2003. *Applied Multiple Regression / Correlation Analysis for the Behavioral Science (3rd edition)*. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum
- Cohen, S.G. & Bailey, D.E. 1997. What Makes Teams Work: Group Effectiveness Research from The Shop Floor to The Executive Suite. *Journal of Management*, 23: 239 – 290
- Costa, A.C. 2003. Work Team Trust and Effectiveness. *Personnel Review*, 32(5): 605 – 622
- Clegg, C., Unsworth, K., Epitropaki, O., & Parker, G. 2002. Implicating Trust in Innovation Process. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 75(4): 409 – 422

- Colquitt, J.A., LePine, J.A., & Wesson, M.J. 2009. *Organizational Behavior: Improving Performance and Commitment in the Workplace*. McGraw-Hill/Irwin.
- Colquitt, J.A. & Salam, S.C. 2009. Foster Trust through Ability, Benevolence, and Integrity. In *Handbook of Principles of Organizational Behavior: Indispensable Knowledge for Evidence-Based management (Locke, E.A. – Ed) (2nd edition)*. John Wiley & Sons, UK.
- Comrey, A.L. & Lee, H.B. 1992. *A First Course in Factor Analysis*. Hillsdale, N.J. Erlbaum
- Cropanzano, R. & Mitchell, M.S. 2005. Social Exchange Theory: An Interdisciplinary Review. *Journal of Management*. 3(6): 874 – 900
- Daft, R.L. 1982. Bureaucratic Versus Nonbureaucratic Structure and The process of Innovation and Change. In B.S. Bacharach (Ed), *Research in The Sociology of Organization*, 1: 129 – 166, Greenwich, CT: JAI Press
- Damanpour, F. 1991. Organizational Innovation: A Meta-Analysis of Effects of Determinants and Moderators. *Academy of Management Journal*, 34(3): 555 – 590
- Dasgupta, P. 1988. Trust as a Commodity. In D.G. Gambetta (Ed.), *Trust: Making and Breaking Cooperative Relations* (pp. 49 – 72). New York: Basil Blackwell
- Dawson, J.F. 2014. Moderation in Management Research: What, Why, When, and How. *Journal of Business Psychology*, 29: 1 – 19
- De Jong, B.A. 2010. *Trust and Control in Teams*. Faculty of Social sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Dissertation: 1 – 211
- De Jong, B.A. & Elfring, T. 2010. How Does Trust Affect the performance of Ongoing teams? The Mediating Role of Reflexivity, Monitoring, and Effort. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(3): 535 – 549
- De Jong, B.A., Dirks, K. & Gillespie, N. 2015. Trust and Team Performance: A Meta-Analysis of Main Effects, Contingencies, and Qualifiers. *Proceedings – Academy of Management 2015 Annual Meeting*, Vancouver, Canada

- Delery, J.E. & Doty, D.H. 1996. Modes of Theorizing to Strategic Human Resources Management: Test of Universalistic, Contingency, and Configurational Performance Predictions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 39(4): 802 – 835
- Desivilya, H.N., Somech, A. & Lidgoster, H. 2010. Innovation and conflict Management in Work Teams: The Effects of Team identification and Task and Relationship Conflict. *Negotiation and Conflict Management Research*, 3(1): 28 – 48
- Deutsch, M. 1958. Trust and Suspicion. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 2: 265 – 279
- Deutsch, M. 1973. *The Resolution of Conflict: Constructive and Destructive Processes*, New Haven, CT: Yale University Press
- Dewberry, C. 2004. *Statistical Methods for Organizational Research*, Routledge, New York.
- Diestre, L. & Rajagopalan, N. 2011. An Environmental Perspective on Diversification: The Effects of Chemical Relatedness and Regulatory Sanctions. *Academy of Management Journal*, 54(1): 97 – 115
- Dirks, K.T. & Ferrin, D.L. 2001. The Role of Trust in Organizational Settings. *Organization Science*, 12 (4): 450 – 467
- Dirks, K.T. & Ferrin, D.L. 2003. The Use Of Rewards To Increase And Decrease Trust: Mediating Process And Differential Effects. *Organization Science*, 14 (1): 18 – 31
- Dirks, K.T. 1999. The Effects Of Interpersonal Trust On Work Group Performance. *Journal Of Applied Psychology*, 84: 445 – 455
- Dirks, K.T. 2000. Trust in Leadership and Team Performance: Evidence from NCAA Basketball. *Journal of Applied Psychology*. 85(6): 1004-1012
- Dirks, K.T. & Skarlicki, D.P. 2004. Trust in Leaders: Existing Research and Emerging Issues. In R.M. Kramer & K.S. Cook (Eds.), *Trust and Distrust across Organizational Contexts: Dilemmas and Approaches* (pp. 21 – 41). New York: Russell Sage
- Drach-Zahavy, A. & Somech, A. 2001. Understanding Team Innovation: the Role of Team Processes and structures. *Group Dynamics: Theory, Research, and Practice*, 5(2): 111 - 123

- Driscoll, J.W. 1978. Trust and Participation in Organizational Decision Making as Predictors of Satisfaction. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 21(1): 44 – 56
- Dyer, J.H. & Chu, W. 2003. The Role of Trustworthiness in Reducing Transaction Costs and Improving Performance: Empirical Evidence from the United States, Japan and Korea. *Organization Science*, 14(1): 57 – 68
- Early, P.C., Lee, C. & Hanson, L.A. 1990. Joint Moderating Effects of job Experience and Task Component Complexity: Relations Among Goal Setting, Task Strategies and Performance. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 11(1): 3 – 15
- Edmondson, A. 1999. Psychological Safety and Learning Behavior in Work Teams. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 44: 350 – 383
- Elangovan, A.R. & Shapiro, D.L. 1998. Betrayal of Trust in Organizations. *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(3): 547 – 566
- Erez, J., LePine, J.A. & Elms, H. 2002. Effects of Rotated Leadership and Peer Evaluation on the Functioning and Effectiveness of Self-Managed Teams: A Quasi-experiment. *Personnel Psychology*, Winter: 929 – 948.
- Ferlie, E., Fitzgerald, L., Wood, M. & Hawkins, C. 2005. The Nonspread of Innovations: The Mediating Role of Professionals. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48: 117 – 134
- Fulmer, C.A. & Gelfand, M.J. 2012. At What Level (and in Whom) We Trust: Trust Across Multiple Organizational Levels. *Journal of Management*, 38(4): 1167 – 1230
- Furst, S.A., Reeves, M., Rosen, B., & Blackburn, R.S. 2004. Managing the Life Cycle of Virtual Teams. *The Academy of Management Executive*, 18(2): 6 – 20
- Galbraith, J.R. 1974. Organization Design: An Information Processing View. *Interfaces*, 4: 28 – 36
- Gargiulo, M. & Ertug, G. 2006. The Dark Side Of Trust. In *Handbook Of Trust Research* (R. Bachmann & A. Zaheer, Ed), Edward Elgar, Cheltenham: 165 – 186

- Gebert, D., Boerner, S. & Kearney, E. 2010. Fostering Team Innovation: Why Is It Important to Combine Opposing Action Strategies? *Organization Science*, 21(3): 593 – 608
- George, J. M., & Zhou, J. 200). Dual Tuning in A Supportive Context: Joint Contributions of Positive Mood, Negative Mood and Supervisory Behaviors to Employee Creativity. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 50: 605 – 622
- Gersick, G.J.G. 1988. Time and Transition in Work Teams: Toward A New Model of Group Development. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 31: 9 – 41
- Gladstein, L. 1984. Groups in Context: A Model of Task Group Effectiveness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 29(4): 499 – 517
- Goodman, P.S. & Leyden, D.P. 1991. Familiarity and Group Productivity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 76: 578 – 86
- Gong, Y., Kim, T. Y., Zhu, J., & Lee, D. R. 2013. A multilevel model of team goal orientation, information exchange, and creativity. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56: 827-851
- Grant, A.M. & Schwartz, B. 2011. Too Much of A good Thing: The Challenge and Opportunity of the Inverted U. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 6(1): 61 – 76
- Gouldner, A.W. 1954. The Norm of Reciprocity: A Preliminary Statement. *American Sociological Review*, 25(2): 161 – 178
- Gujarati, D. 1999. *Essentials of Econometrics*. Irwin/McGraw-Hill. Singapore
- Guzzo, R.A. & Dickson, M.W. 1996. Teams in Organizations: recent Research on Performance and Effectiveness. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 47: 307 – 338
- Hackman, J.R. & Morris, C.G. 1975. Group Tasks, Group Interaction Processes, and Group Performance Effectiveness: A review and Proposed Integration. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 8: 45 – 99. New York: Academic Press
- Hackman, J.R. 1987. The Design of Work Teams. In J.W. Lorsch (Ed.), *Handbook of Organizational Behavior* (pp. 315 – 342). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall

- Hackman, J.R. 1990. *Groups that work (and Those that Don't)*. San Francisco: Josey-Bass
- Hackman, J.R. & Wageman, R. 2005. A Theory of Team Coaching. *The Academy of Management Review*, 30: 269 – 287
- Hartman, B.W., Fuqua, D.R. & Jenkins, S.J. 1985. The Problems of and Remedies for Nonresponse Bias in Educational Surveys. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 54(2): 85 – 90
- Haverman, H.A. 1992. Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Organizational Change and Performance under Conditions of Fundamental Environmental Transformation. *Administrative Science quarterly*, 37: 48 – 75
- Herting, S.R. 2002. *Trust Correlated with Innovation Adoption in Hospital Organizations*. The American Society of Public Administration's 63rd National Conference, Phoenix, Arizona
- Hinkin, T.R. 1998. A Brief Tutorial on the Development of Measures for Use in Survey Questionnaires. *Organizational Research Methods*, 1: 104 – 121
- Hitt, M.A., Beamish, P.W., Jackson, S.E., & Mathieu, J.E. 2007. Building Theoretical and Empirical Bridges Across Levels: Multilevel Research in Management. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(6): 1385 – 1399
- Holmes, J.G. 1981. The Exchange Process in Close Relationships: Microbehavior and Micromotives. In M.J. Learner & S.C. Learner (Eds.), *The Justice Motive in Social Behavior*: 261 – 284, New York: Plenum
- Humphreys, M.S. & Revelle, W. 1984. Personality, Motivation, And Performance: A Theory Of The Relationship Between Individual Differences And Information Processing. *Psychological Review*. 91 (2): 153 – 184
- James, L.R. 1982. Aggregation Bias in Estimates of Perceptual Agreement. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 67(2): 219 – 229
- James, L.R., Demaree, R.G., & Wolf, G. 1984. Estimating Within-Group Interrater Reliability With and Without Response Bias. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 69(1): 85 – 98
- James, L.R., Demaree, R.G., & Wolf, G. 1993. Rwg: An Assessment of Within-Group Interrater Agreement. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 78(2): 306 – 309

- Janssen, O., Van de Vliert, E., & Veenstra, C. 1999. How Task and Person Conflict Shape the Role of Positive Interdependence in management Teams. *Journal of Management*, 25: 117 – 142
- Janssen, O. 2003. Innovative Behavior and Job Involvement at The Price of Conflict and Less Satisfactory relations with Co-workers. *Journal of Occupational and Organizational Psychology*, 76: 347 – 364
- Janssen, O. 2004. How Fairness Perceptions Make Innovative Behavior More or Less Stressful. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 25: 201 – 215
- Jehn, K.A. 1995. Multimethod Examination Of The Benefits And Detriments Of Intragroup Conflict. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 40 (2): 256 – 282
- Johns, G. 2006. The Essential Impact of Context on Organizational Behavior. *Academy of Management Review*, 31(2): 396 – 408
- Jones, G.R. & George, J.M. 1998. The Experience And Evolution Of Trust: Implications For Cooperation And Teamwork. *Academy Of Management Review*, 23 (3): 531 – 546
- Kanter, R.M. 1988. When A Thousand Flowers Bloom: Structural, Collective, and Social Conditions for Innovation in Organization. In *Research in Organizational Behavior* (Staw, B.M. & Cummings, L.L. – Ed). Greenwich, CT: JAI. 10:169 – 211
- Kiggundu, M. 1981. Task Interdependence and the Theory of Job Design. *Academy of Management Review*, 6(3): 499 – 508
- Klein, K.J. & Kozlowski, S.W.J. 2000. From Micro to Meso: Critical Steps in Conceptualizing and Conducting Multilevel Research. *Organizational Research Methods*, 3(3): 211 – 236
- Klein, K.J. & Sorra, J.S. 1996. The Challenge of Innovation Implementation. *The Academy of Management Review*, 21(4): 1055 – 1080
- Klein, R.B. 2009. *Becoming A Behavioral Science Researcher*. The Guilford Press. New York
- Kramer, R.M. 1999. Trust and Distrust in Organizations: Emerging Perspectives, enduring Questions. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 50: 569 – 598
- Kramer, R.M. 2009. Rethinking Trust. *Harvard Business Review*, June 2009: 69 – 77

- Langfred, C.W. 2004. Too Much Of A Good Thing? Negative Effects Of High Trust And Individual Autonomy In Self-Managing Teams. *The Academy Of Management Journal*, 47 (3): 385 – 399
- Langfred, C.W.. 2007. The Downside of Self-Management: A Longitudinal Study of The Effect of Conflict on Trust, Autonomy, and Task Interdependence in Self-Managing Teams. *The Academy Of Management Journal*, 50 (4): 885 – 900
- Lewicki, R.J., McAllister, D.J. & Bies, R.J. Trust and Distrust: New Relationships and Realities. *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(3): 438 – 458
- Lewis, J.D. & Weigert, A. 1985. Trust as A Social Reality. *Social Forces*. 63: 967 – 985
- Liu, J., Chen, Jiyao., & Tao, yi. 2015. Innovation Performance in New Product Development in China's Technology Ventures: The Role of Behavioral Integration Dimensions and Collective Efficacy. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 32(1): 29 – 44
- Luhmann, N. 1988. Familiarity, Confidence, Trust: Problems and Alternatives. In *Trust: Making And Breaking Cooperative Relations (D. Gambetta, Ed)*. Basil Blackwell: 94 – 107
- Malhotra, D. & Murnighan, K. 2002. The Effects Of Contracts On Interpersonal Trust. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 47 (3): 534 – 559
- Mason, C.H. & Perreault, Jr. W.D. 1991. Colinearity, Power, and Interpretation of Multiple Regression Analysis. *Journal of Marketing Research*, XXVIII: 268 – 280
- Mayer, R.C., Davis, J.H. & Schoorman, F.D. 1995. An Integrative Model Of Organizational Trust. *Academy Of Management Review*, 20 (3): 709 – 734
- Mayer, R.C. & Gavin, M.B. 2005. Trust for Management and performance: Who Minds The Shop while The Employees Watch The Boss? *The Academy of Management Journal*, 48(5): 874 – 888
- Mayer, R.C., Schoorman, F.D. & Davis, J.H. 2007. An Integrative Model of Organizational Trust: Past, Present and Future. *The Academy of Management Review*, 32(2): 344 – 354

- McAllister, D.J. 1995. Affect- And Cognition-Based Trust As Foundations For Interpersonal Cooperation In Organizations. *Academy Of Management Journal*, 38 (1): 24 – 59
- McAllister, D.J. 1997. The Second Face Of Trust: Reflections Of The Dark Side Of Interpersonal Trust In Organizations. *Research On Negotiation In Organization*, 6: 87 – 111
- McEvily, B., Perrone, V. & Zaheer, A. 2003. Trust As Organizing Principle. *Organization Science*, 14 (1): 91 – 103
- McGrath, J.E. 1984. *Group Interaction and Performance*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall
- McKnight, D.H., Cummings, L.L. & Chervany, N.L. 1998. Initial Trust Formation in New Oreganizational Relationships. *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(3): 473 – 490
- Mesmer-Magnus, J.R. & De Church, L. 2009. Information Sharing and Team Performance: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 94(2): 535 – 546
- Mollering, G. 2001. The Nature of Trust: From Georg Simmel to a Theory of Expectation, Interpretation and Suspension. *Sociology*, 35(2): 405 – 420
- Morgeson, F.P. & Humphrey, S.E. 2006. The Work Design Questionnaire (WDQ): Developing and Validating a Comprehensive Measure for Assessing Job Design and the Nature of Work. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 91(6): 1321 – 1339
- Nahapiet, J. & Ghosal, S. 1998. Social Capital, Intellectual Capital, and the Organizational Advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23: 242 – 266
- Neuman, W.L. 2006. *Social Research Methods: Qualitative and Quantitative Approach*. Pearson: Allyn and Bacon
- Nohria, N. & Gulati, R. 1996. Is Slack Good or Bad for Innovation? *Academy of Management Review*, 39(5): 1245 – 1264
- Noteboom, B. 1996. Trust, Opportunism and Governance: A Process and Control Model. *Organization Studies*, 17(6): 985 – 1010
- Nooteboom, B., Berger, H. & Noordhaven, N.G. 1997. Effects of Trust and Governance on Relational Risk. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 40(2): 308 – 338

- Nooteboom, B. 2006. Forms, Sources And Process Of Trust. In *Handbook Of Trust Research* (R. Bachmann & A. Zaheer, Ed), Edward Elgar, Cheltenham: 247 – 263
- Norman, D.A. & Bobrow, D.G. 1975. On Data-Limited And Resources-Limited Processes. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7: 44 – 64
- Nunnally, J. & Bernstein, I. 1994. *Psychometric Theory*. McGraw-Hill, Inc. NY
- O'Reilly, C.A. III. & Tushman, M.L. 1997. *Winning Through Innovation: A Practical Guide to Leading Organizational Change and Renewal*. Harvard Business School Press. Boston, Massachusetts
- Podsakoff, P.M., MacKenzie, S.B., Lee, J.Y., & Podsakoff, N.P. 2003. Common Method Bias in Behavioral Research: A Critical Review of The Literature and Recommended Remedies. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 8: 879 – 903
- Reina, D.S. & Reina, M.L. 2008. *Trust and Betrayal in The Workplace*. Berrett-Koehler Publisher, Inc. San Francisco
- Robbins, S.P. & Judge, T.A. 2014. *Essentials of Organizational Behavior*. 12th edition. Pearson Education, Inc. New Jersey
- Rogers, E.M. 1983. *Diffusion of Innovation*. New York: Free Press
- Rotter, J.B. 1967. A New Scale for the Measurement of Interpersonal Trust. *Journal of Personality*, 35(4): 651 – 665
- Rousseau, D.M. 1985. Issues of Level in Organizational Research: Multi-Level and Cross-Level Perspectives. In *Research in Organizational Behavior*. Greenwich, JAI Press Inc. 7: 1 – 37
- Rousseau, D.M., Sitkin, S.B., Burt, R.S. & Camerer, C. 1998. Not So Different After All: A Cross-Discipline View Of Trust. *Academy Of Management Review*, 23 (3): 393 – 404
- Ruppel, C. & Harrington, S. 2001. The Relationship of Communication, Ethical Work Climate and Trust to Commitment and Innovation. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 25(4): 313 – 328
- Schoonhoven, C.B. 1981. Problems with Contingency Theory: Testing Assumptions Hidden within the Language of Contingency Theory. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 26:349 – 377

- Scott, S.G. & Bruce, R.A. 1994. Determinants of Innovative Behavior: A Path Model of Individual Innovation in the Workplace. *Academy of Management Journal*, 37(3): 580 – 607
- Seers, A. 1989. Team-Member Exchange Quality: A New Construct for Role-Making Research. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 43(1): 118 – 135
- Seers, A., Petty, M.M., & Cashman, J.F. 1995. Team-Member Exchange under Team and Traditional Management A Naturally Occuring Quasi-Experiment, *Group and Organization Management*, 32: 169 – 187
- Serva, M.A., Fuller, M.A. & Mayer, R.C. 2005. The Reciprocal Nature of Trust: A Longitudinal Study of Interacting Teams. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 26(6): 625 – 648
- Sgro, J.A., Worchel, P., Pence, E.C. & Orban, J.A. 1980. Perceived Leader Behavior as A Function of The Leader's Interpersonal Trust Orientation. *The Academy of Management Journal*, 23(1): 161 – 165
- Sharma, S., Durand, R.M., & Gur-Arie, O. 1981. Identification and Analysis of Moderator Variables. *Journal of Marketing Research*, XVIII: 291 – 300
- Shaw, J.D., Zhu, J., Duffy, M.K., Scott, K.L., Shih, H.A., & Susanto, E. (2011). A Contingency Model of Conflict and Team Effectiveness, *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 96(2): 391 - 400
- Sheppard, B.H. & Sherman, D.M. 1998. The Grammars of Trust: A Model and General Implications. *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(3): 422 – 437
- Shields, J. 2007. *Managing Employee Performance and Reward*. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK
- Sitkin, S.B. & Pablo, A.L. 1992. Reconceptualizing the Determinants of Risk Behavior. *Academy of Management Review*, 17(1): 9 – 38
- Simons, T.L. & Peterson, R.S. 2000. Task Conflict and relational Conflict in Top Management Teams: The Pivotal Role of Intragroup Trust. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 85(1): 102 – 111
- Six, F. 2005. *The Trouble with Trust*. Edward Elgar, Cheltenham
- Skinner, D., Dietz, G., & Weibel, A. 2014. The Dark Side of Trust: When Trust Becomes a “Poisoned Chalice”. *Organization*, 21(2): 206 – 224

- Smith, K.G., Collins, C.J., & Clark, K.D. 2005. Existing Knowledge, knowledge Creation, Capability, and The Rate of New Product Introduction in High-Technology Firms. *Academy of Management Journal*, 48: 346 – 357
- Soussa, V.D. & Rojjanasrisat, W. 2011. Translation, Adaptation and Validation of Instruments or Scales for Use in Cross-Cultural Health Research: A Clear and User-Friendly Guideline. *Journal of Evaluataion in Clinical Practice*, 17: 268 – 274
- Staples, D.S. & Webster, J. 2008. Exploring the Effects of Trust, Task Interdependence and Virtualness on Knowledge Sharing in Teams. *Information Systems Journal*, 18: 617 – 640
- Steward, G.L. & Barrick, M.R. 2000. Team Structure and Task Performance: Assessing the Mediating Role of Intrateam Process and the Moderating Role of Task Type. *Academy of Management Journal*, 43(2): 135 – 148
- Sundstrom, E., De Meuse, K.P., Futrell, D. 1990. Work Teams: Applications and Effectiveness. *American Psychologis*,. 45(2): 120 – 133
- Tabachnick, B.G. & Fidell, L.S. 2001. *Using Multivariate Statistics (4th ed)*. Pearson Education, Inc
- Taylor, A. & Greve, H.R. 2006. Superman or Fantastic Four? Knowledge Combination and Experience in Innovative Teams. *Academy of Management Journal*, 49(4): 723 - 740
- Tuckman, B.W. 1965. Development Sequence in Small Groups. *Psychological Bulletin*, 63: 384 – 399
- Tushman, M.L., & Nadler, D.A. 1978. Information Processing as an Integrating Concept in Organizational Design. *Academy of Management Journal*, 56(3): 827 – 851
- Tushman, M.L. 1978. Technical Communication in R & D Laboratories: The Impact of Project Work Characteristics. *Academy of Management Journal*, 21(4): 624 – 645
- Uzzi, B. 1996. The Sources and Consequences of Embeddedness for The Economic Performance of Organizations: The Network Effect. *American Sociological Review*, 61: 674 – 698

- Uzzi, B. 1997. Social Structure And Competition In Interfirm Networks: The Paradox Of Embeddedness. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 42 (1): 35 – 67
- Van de Ven, A.H. 1986. Central Problems in the Management of Innovation. *Management Science*, 32(5): 590 – 607
- Van de Ven, A.H. & Ferry, D.L. 1980. *Measuring and Assessing Organizations*. John Wiley & Sons, New York
- Van der Vegt, G.S. & Janssen, O. 2003. Joint Impact of Interdependence and Group Diversity on Innovation. *Journal of Management*, 29: 729 – 751
- Van Vijfeijken, H., Kleingeld, A., Van Tuijl, H., Algera, J.A., & Thierry, H. 2002. Task Complexity and Task, Goal, and Reward Interdependence in group Performance Management: A Prescriptive Model. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 3: 363 – 383
- Walker, M.R., Damanpour, F., & Devece, C.A. 2010. Management Innovation and Organizational Performance: The Mediating Effect of Performance Management. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, August 18: 1 – 20
- Weiner, B. 1985. An Attributional Theory of Achievement Motivation and Emotion. *Educational Psychology Review*, 92: 548 – 573
- Weiner, B. 2000. Intrapersonal and Interpersonal Theories of Motivation from An Attributional Perspective. *Educational Psychology Review*, 12(1): 1 – 14
- Werbelt, J.D. & Demarie, S.M. 2005. Aligning Strategic Human Resource Management and Person-Environment Fit. *Human Resource Management Review*, 15: 247 – 262
- West, M.A. & Farr, J.L. 1990. Innovation at Work. In *Innovation and Creativity at Work* (West, M.A. & Farr, J.L. – Eds.). Chichester, England: Wiley. Pp. 1 – 13
- West, M.A. & Wallace, M. 1991. Innovation in Health Care teams. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 21: 303 – 315
- West, M.A., Hirst, G., Richter, A., & Shipton, H. 2004. Twelve Legs to Heaven: Successfully Managing Change through Developing Innovative Teams. *European Journal of Work and Organizational Psychology*, 13(2): 269 – 299

- West, M., Sacramento, C., & Fay, D. 2006. Creativity and Innovation in Work Groups: The Paradoxical Role of Demands. In *Creativity and Innovation in Organizational Teams*. (Thompson, W. & Choi, H.S. – Eds). Mahwah, NJ. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Whisman, M.A. & McClelland, G.H. 2005. Designing, Testing, and Interpreting Interactions and Moderator Effects in Family Research. *Journal of Family Psychology*, 19 (1): 111 – 120
- Whitener, E.M., Brodt, S.E., Korsgaard, M.A. & Werner, J.M. 1998. Managers As Initiators of Trust: An Exchange Relationship Framework for Understanding Managerial Trustworthy Behavior. *The Academy of Management Review*, 23(3): 513 – 530
- Wicks, A.C., Berman, S.L. & Jones, T.M. 1999. The Structure Of Optimal Trust: Moral And Strategic Implications. *Academy Of Management Review*, 24 (1): 99 – 116
- Williams, M. 2001. In Whom We Trust: Group Membership As An Effective Context for Trust Development. *The Academy of Management Review*, 26(3): 377 – 396
- Wong, P.T.P & Weiner, B. 1981. When People Ask “Why” Questions, and The Heuristics of Attributional Search. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 40: 650 – 663
- Woodman, R.W., Sawyer, J.E., & Griffin, R.W. 1993. Toward a Theory of Organizational Crativity. *Academy of Management Review*, 18: 293 – 321
- Yamagishi, T. 1998. *Trust: The Evolutionary Game Of Mind And Society*. Springer, Tokyo
- Yuan, F. & Woodman, R.W. 2010. Innovative Behavior in The Workplace: The Role of Performance and Image Outcome Expectations. *Academy of Management Journal*, 53(2): 323 – 342
- Zaheer, A., McEvily, B. & Perrone, V. 1998. Does Trust Matter? Exploring The Effects Of Interorganizational And Interpersonal Trust On Performance. *Organization Science*. 9 (2): 141 – 159
- Zand, D. 1972. Trust And Managerial Problem Solving. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17 (2): 229 – 239