

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ENDORSEMENT PAGE	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT PAGE.....	ii
DISCLAIMER.....	iii
DEDICATION PAGE.....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
ABSTRACT	vii
INTISARI	viii
CHAPTER I.....	1
A. Underlying Backgrounds	1
B. Research Problem.....	5
C. Purpose of the Study	5
D. Benefit of The Study	6
E. Originality of the Research	6
CHAPTER II:.....	8
THEREOTICAL REVIEW	8
A. General Review of Third-Party Funding.....	8
1. Definition of Third-Party Funding.....	8
2. Mechanism of Third-Party Funding	10
a) Third-Party Funders	10
b) Funded Party	12
c) Legal Costs and Arbitration Costs	13
d) Profit.....	14
3. Rationale of the Engagement to Third-Party Funding.....	15
a) Funded Party’s Perspective: Access to Justice.....	16
b) Funder Party’s Perspective: Quick Profits	20
c) Impact on settlements.....	22
d) Historical Reason on the Prohibition of Third-Party Funding	24
B. General Idea of Dispute Resolution through Arbitration in Indonesia	26
CHAPTER III:	34
RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	34
A. Nature of the Research	34
B. Research Data.....	36

C. Data Collection Method	38
D. Data Collection.....	39
E. Research Sites	40
F. Research Analysis	41
CHAPTER IV:	42
ANALYSIS	42
A. Context of Indonesian Arbitral Tribunal	42
1. Application of Indonesian Arbitration Law	42
a) Parties agreed to have the seat of arbitration located in Indonesia ..	43
b) Parties agreed to apply Indonesian Arbitration Law although the seat is outside Indonesia’s territory.	45
2. Where the arbitration is administered by Indonesian Arbitral Institutions and its rules is applicable.	47
B. Indonesia’s National Regulatory Framework in Mandating the Power to an Arbitral Tribunal in Recovering Cost of Third-Party Funder	49
1. Indonesian Arbitration Law	49
a) International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) Arbitration Rules (2012)	51
b) China International Economic Trade Arbitration Centre (CIETAC) Arbitration Rules (2015)	51
c) London Court of International Arbitration (LCIA) Arbitration Rules 52	
d) International Centre for Dispute Resolution (ICDR) Dispute Resolution Procedures (2014) International Arbitration Rules.....	52
e) Hong Kong International Arbitration Centre (HKIAC) Rules.....	53
f) Permanent Court of Arbitration (PCA) Arbitration Rules (2012) ...	53
g) Stockholm Chamber of Commerce (SCC) Arbitration Rules (2010)	53
h) Singapore International Arbitration Centre (SIAC) Arbitration Rules (2013)	54
i) United Nation Commission on International Arbitration Centre (UNCITRAL) Arbitration Rules (2010)	54
2. Indonesian Arbitration Rules: BANI Rules and Procedure	56
C. Implication of the Legality of the Recoverability of Third-Party Funding in Indonesia	60
1. Potential Benefits of Third-Party Funding for Arbitration	60

a)	Maintaining and promoting Indonesia's competitiveness as an arbitration centre	60
b)	Benefits to Indonesian public.....	61
c)	Benefit to the funded party and public interest considerations	61
d)	Risk management and financial support	62
e)	Experience and thorough due diligence before the commencement of hearing	62
f)	Effective case management monitored by the funder and reduction of legal cost	64
h)	Availability of funding for respondents	64
i)	Promotion of arbitration as an alternative dispute resolution method	66
2.	Potential Risk of Third-Party Funding for Arbitration	67
a)	Promoting unnecessary arbitration proceedings	67
b)	Degree of control over arbitration by third-party funders.....	68
c)	Cost of Third-Party funding	69
d)	Security	69
e)	Liability of adverse costs award or orders	70
f)	Potential for breaches of legal professional privilege	73
h)	Confidentiality issues	74
i)	Disclosure of third-party funding agreement.....	75
j)	Conflict of interest	76
k)	Disclosure of funding may unduly influence Tribunal and prevent proper settlement of a case	78
l)	Risk of arbitrary termination of the Third-party funding agreement in Third-Party Funding	78
l)	Risk of insufficient Third-Party Funder capital adequacy.....	79
m)	Inadequate complaints procedures	80
j)	Money laundering	80
	CHAPTER V: CLOSING	81
A.	Conclusion	81
B.	Recommendation.....	83
	BIBLIOGRAPHY	87