

TABLE OF CONTENT

FINAL PROJECT	I
APPROVAL PAGE	II
STATEMENT PAGE	III
DEDICATION AND ACKNOWLEDGE PAGE	IV
PREFACE	V
TABLE OF CONTENT	VI
LIST OF TABLES	VII
LIST OF FIGURES	XII
INTISARI	XIV
ABSTRACT	XV
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Problems	7
1.3 Research Objectives	8
1.4 Scope Limitation	8
1.5 Benefits.....	11
1.6 Originality of Research.....	11
1.7 Writing Systematic	12
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	14
2.1 An Importance of Transportation System to Ensure City Structure.....	14
2.2 Urban Transportation in Indonesia and Its Development.....	17
2.3 The Purpose of Level of Service Quality Standard	21
2.4 Transportation Planning Concept	26
CHAPTER 3 THEORITICAL BASIS	33
3.1 Transportation Modeling	33
3.2 Stated Preferences Techniques	38

3.2.1	Regression Model	45
3.3	Statistical Test in the Model	53
3.4	Random Utility Maximization.....	54
3.5	Regret Theory	56
3.6	Empirical Comparison of RUM and RRM.....	60
CHAPTER 4 RESEARCH METHOD		67
4.1	Research Location	67
4.2	Survey Data	69
4.3	Research Instrument	72
4.4	Observation Steps	72
4.4.1	Determining Variable.....	73
4.4.2	Determining Experiment Design Type	78
4.5	Data Analysis.....	87
CHAPTER 5 RESULT AND ANALYSIS.....		92
5.1	Questionnaire Data Recapitulation.....	92
5.2	Factors Affecting Preferences	101
5.3	RUM-Model Based on Conventional Method.....	112
5.4	RRM-Model Utility Function.....	118
5.5	Comparison Result of RUM-Model and RRM-Model.....	121
5.6	Comparison Result Between Matsuyama and Yogyakarta	125
CHAPTER 6 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION		130
6.1	Conclusion	130
6.2	Suggestion	131
REFERENCES.....		133
APPENDIX		138

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Road length, total population, municipal area, and total owned vehicle	3
Table 2.1 The number of Increase of Motorized Vehicle in Indonesia 2009 until 2011	19
Table 2.2 Number of Registered Motorized Vehicles by Its Type in D.I. Yogyakarta, 2015	20
Table 2.3 Quality of Service Indicator	23
Table 2.4 Operational Performance Indicators	24
Table 4.1 Attribute and Level of Travel Cost in Both City Compared.....	75
Table 4.2 Attribute and Level of Travel Time in Both City Compared	75
Table 4.3 Attribute and Level of Maximum Delay Time in Both City Compared	76
Table 4.4 Attribute and Level of Average Waiting Time in Both City Compared	76
Table 4.5 Attribute and Level of Accessibility in Both City Compared.....	77
Table 4.6 Attribute and Level of Safety in Both City Compared	78
Table 4.7 Result of Treatment Combination and Orthogonal Design	80
Table 4.8 Preferability choices to be Used in Scenario SP-Survey (Matsuyama)	81
Table 4.9 Preferability choices to be Used in Scenario SP-Survey (Yogyakarta)	82
Table 4.10 Treatment Combination Translated to be Used in Questionnaire (Matsuyama-shi)	82
Table 4.11 Treatment Combination Translated to be Used in Questionnaire (Yogyakarta)	83
Table 4.12 Example of Combination Question Choices in Questionnaire	83
Table 4.13 Example of Combination Question Choices in Questionnaire	84
Table 5.1 Age Ratio Proportion in Yogyakarta and Matsuyama	92
Table 5.2 Gender Ratio Proportion in Yogyakarta and Matsuyama	93
Table 5.3 Occupation Ratio Proportion in Yogyakarta and Matsuyama	94

Table 5.4 Revenue per Month Ratio Proportion in Yogyakarta and Matsuyama	95
Table 5.5 Respondent Mode of Transportation on Daily Trip.....	96
Table 5.6 Daily Trip Travel Distance from Home to Campus.....	96
Table 5.7 Daily Trip Travel Duration from Home to Campus	97
Table 5.8 Daily Trip Travel Cost from Home to Campus	98
Table 5.9 Private Vehicle Ownership of Respondent	99
Table 5.10 Motorbike Availability of Respondent	99
Table 5.11 Respondent Criminality Self-Experience on Public Transportation	100
Table 5.12 Respondent Accident Self-Experience on Private Transportation	101
Table 5.13 Variable Significancy Test (Yogyakarta Correspondent).....	103
Table 5.14 Variable Significancy Test (Matsuyama Correspondent).....	104
Table 5.15 Proportion of Respondent Based on Age and Gender (Yogyakarta)	105
Table 5.16 Proportion of Respondent Based on Occupation (Yogyakarta)....	105
Table 5.17 Proportion of Respondent Based on Disposable Income (Yogyakarta)	105
Table 5.18 Proportion of Respondent Based on Age and Gender (Matsuyama)	105
Table 5.19 Proportion of Respondent Based on Occupation (Matsuyama)....	106
Table 5.20 Proportion of Respondent Based on Disposable Income (Matsuyama)	106
Table 5.21 Relation between daily mode of transportation and scenario (Yogyakarta)	107
Table 5.22 Relation between daily mode of transportation and scenario (Matsuyama)	107
Table 5.23 Relation Between Travel Distance and Scenario (Yogyakarta)....	107
Table 5.24 Relation Between Travel Distance and Scenario (Matsuyama)....	108
Table 5.25 Relation Between Travel Duration and Scenario (Yogyakarta) ...	108
Table 5.26 Relation Between Travel Duration and Scenario (Matsuyama) ...	108

Table 5.27 Relation Between Travel Cost and Scenario (Yogyakarta)	109
Table 5.28 Relation Between Travel Cost and Scenario (Matsuyama)	109
Table 5.29 Relation Between Vehicle Ownership and Scenario (Yogyakarta)	109
Table 5.30 Relation Between Vehicle Ownership and Scenario (Matsuyama)	110
Table 5.31 Relation Between Motorbike Availability and Scenario (Yogyakarta)	110
Table 5.32 Relation Between Motorbike Availability and Scenario (Matsuyama)	110
Table 5.33 Relation Between Criminality and Scenario (Yogyakarta).....	111
Table 5.34 Relation Between Criminality and Scenario (Matsuyama).....	111
Table 5.35 Relation Between Accident and Scenario (Yogyakarta).....	111
Table 5.36 Relation Between Accident and Scenario (Matsuyama).....	112
Table 5.37 Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients (Yogyakarta).....	113
Table 5.38 Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients (Matsuyama).....	113
Table 5.39 Hosmer and Lemeshow Test (Yogyakarta)	114
Table 5.40 Hosmer and Lemeshow Test (Matsuyama)	114
Table 5.41 -2 Log Likelihood and Nagelkerke Square (Yogyakarta).....	114
Table 5.42 -2 Log Likelihood and Nagelkerke Square (Matsuyama).....	115
Table 5.43 Overall Percentage of Data (Yogyakarta)	115
Table 5.44 Overall Percentage of Data (Matsuyama)	116
Table 5.45 RUM-Model Estimation Result Using SPSS (Yogyakarta)	116
Table 5.46 RUM-Model Estimation Result Using SPSS (Matsuyama)	117
Table 5.47 RRM-Model Estimation Result Using Biogeme (Yogyakarta)	119
Table 5.48 RRM-Model Estimation Result Using Biogeme (Matsuyama)	120
Table 5.49 Factors to Differs RRM and RUM on Its Application.....	121
Table 5.50 Differences Goodness of Fit (Yogyakarta)	123
Table 5.51 Differences Goodness of Fit (Matsuyama)	123
Table 5.52 RUM and RRM Significant Test Comparison (Yogyakarta).....	124
Table 5.53 RUM and RRM Significant Test Comparison (Matsuyama).....	124



Table 5.54 Hit Ratio in Yogyakarta	125
Table 5.55 Hit Ratio in Matsuyama	125
Table 5.56 Dependency Rate in Yogyakarta.....	126
Table 5.57 Dependency Rate in Matsuyama.....	127

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Map of Transjogja Service Area.....	4
Figure 1.2 Map of Iyotetsu Railway and Iyotetsu Bus Service Area.....	7
Figure 1.3 Relationship Problem Diagram.....	10
Figure 2.1 The Increase of Motorized Vehicle in Indonesia 2007 until 2011	19
Figure 2.2 Energy and Natural Resources Consumption, Policy of Fuel Consumption Regulation.....	20
Figure 2.3 Problem Identification	30
Figure 3.1 Choosing Mode Process in Indonesia	36
Figure 3.2 Logit Binomial Model	49
Figure 3.3 Regret and derivative of Regret of considered alternative i when compared to alternative j with regard to attribute m (in the situation where $\beta = 1$)	61
Figure 4.1 Maps of Gadjah Mada Univeristy (first ecountership).....	68
Figure 4.2 Maps of Ehime University (second encountership).....	68
Figure 4.3 Coverage Area of Observation in Yogyakarta.....	86
Figure 4.4 Coverage Area of Observation in Ehime.....	86
Figure 4.5 Flowchart Diagram	91
Figure 5.1 Age Ratio Proportion in Yogyakarta and Matsuyama.....	93
Figure 5.2 Gender Ratio Proportion in Yogyakarta and Matsuyama.....	93
Figure 5.3 Occupation Ratio Proportion in Yogyakarta and Matsuyama.....	94
Figure 5.4 Revenue per Month Ratio Proportion in Yogyakarta and Matsuyama	95
Figure 5.5 Respondent Mode of Transportation on Daily Trip	96
Figure 5.6 Daily Trip Travel Distance from Home to Campus	97
Figure 5.7 Daily Trip Travel Duration from Home to Campus	97
Figure 5.8 Daily Trip Travel Cost from Home to Campus	98
Figure 5.9 Private Vehicle Ownership of Respondent.....	99

Figure 5.10 Motorbike Availability of Respondent	100
Figure 5.11 Respondent Criminality Self-Experience on Public Transportation	100
Figure 5.12 Respondent Accident Self-Experience on Private Transportation	101
Figure 5.13 Outline to Determine the Model-fit	112