



## 8. References

- Abrams, B. A., Butkewicz, J. L., 1995. *The Influence of State-level Economic Conditions on the 1992 U.S. Presidential Election*. Public Choice 85, 1-10.
- Akarca, A. T., Tansel, A., 2006. *Economic Performance and Political Outcomes: An Analysis of the Turkish Parliamentary and Local Election Results between 1950 and 2004*. Public Choice 129, 77-105.
- Akarca, A. T., Tansel, A., 2007. *Social and Economic Determinants of Turkish Voter Choice in the 1995 Parliamentary Election*. IZA Discussion Paper No. 2881. Available at Academia: <[http://www.academia.edu/17191776/Social\\_political\\_and\\_economic\\_determinants\\_of\\_Turkish\\_voter\\_choice\\_in\\_the\\_2002\\_parliamentary\\_election](http://www.academia.edu/17191776/Social_political_and_economic_determinants_of_Turkish_voter_choice_in_the_2002_parliamentary_election)>.
- Blackley, P.R., Shepard, E.M., 1994. *A statistical Analysis of the Effect of State-level Economic Conditions on the 1992 Presidential Election*. Public Finance Quarterly 22, 366-382.
- Campbell, A., Converse, P. E., Miller, W. E., & Stokes, D. E. 1960. *The American Voter*. New York: Wiley.
- Chappell, H. W., Veiga, L. G., 2000. *Economics and Elections in Western Europe: 1960-1997*. Electoral Studies 19, 183-197.
- Denter, P., Sisak, D., 2015. *Do Polls Create Momentum in Political Competition?* Journal of Public Economics 130, 1-14.
- Fielding, D., 1998. *The Social and Economic Determinants of Voter Behaviour: Evidence from the 1992 General Election in Scotland*. Scottish Journal of Political Economy 45, 237-257.
- Fielding, D., 2000. *Social and Economic Determinants of English Voter Choice in the 1997 General Election*. Public Choice 102, 271-295.
- Gamson, W. A., 1961. *Theory of Coalition Formation*. American Sociological Review 26, No. 3, 373-382.
- Gleisner, R.F., 1992. *Economic Determinants of Presidential Elections: The Fair Model*. Political Behavior 14, 383-394.
- Green, D., Palmquist, B., Schickler, E., 2002. *Partisan Hearts and Minds – Political Parties and the Social Identities of Voters*. New Haven & London: Yale University Press.
- Greene, S., 1999. *Understanding Party Identification: A Social Identity Approach*. Political Psychology 20, Issue 2, 393-403.



Hau, K., 2016. *Determinants of an Individual's Political Vote Choice*. Bachelor Thesis. Rotterdam: Erasmus University Rotterdam.

Higashikata, T., Kawamura, K., 2015. *Voting Behavior in Indonesia from 1999 to 2014: Religious Cleavage or Economic Performance?* IDE Discussion Paper No. 512. Available at SSRN: <<https://ssrn.com/abstract=2620455> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2620455>>.

Komisi Pemilihan Umum (KPU). 2004. *Pemilu 1999 dalam Angka*. Pusat Dokumentasi Pemilu. Jakarta: Komisi Pemilihan Umum.

Komisi Pemilihan Umum (KPU). 2005. *Pemilu 2004 dalam Angka*. Pusat Dokumentasi Pemilu. Jakarta: Komisi Pemilihan Umum.

Komisi Pemilihan Umum (KPU). 2010. *Pemilu 2009 dalam Angka*. Pusat Dokumentasi Pemilu. Jakarta: Komisi Pemilihan Umum.

Komisi Pemilihan Umum (KPU). 2014. *Buku data dan Infografik Pemilu Anggota DPR RI & DPD RI 2014*. Pusat Dokumentasi Pemilu. Jakarta: Komisi Pemilihan Umum.

Lewis-Beck, M., 1988. *Economics and Elections: The Major Western Democracies*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Li, G., 1985. *Robust Regression*. In: Hoaglin, D. C., Mosteller, F., Tukey, J. W. (Eds), *Exploring Data Tables, Trends, and Shapes*, 281-340. New York: Wiley.

Mahbub, A., 2014. *7 Elite Demokrat Ini Tersandung Kasus Korupsi*. Jakarta: Tempo. Viewed 18 July 2017. <<https://nasional.tempo.co/read/news/2014/09/04/063604384/7-elite-demokrat-ini-tersandung-kasus-korupsi/3>>.

McGlynn, H. John.; et al. 2007. *Indonesia in the Soeharto Years: Issues, Incidents, and Images* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Jakarta: The Lontar Foundation.

Nannestad, P., Paldam, M., 1994. *The VP Function: A Survey of the Literature on Vote and Popularity Function after 25 Years*. Public Choice 79, 213-245.

Nehru, V., Bulkin, N., 2013. *How Indonesia's 2014 Election Will Work*. Viewed 18 July 2017. <<http://carnegieendowment.org/2013/10/24/how-indonesia-s-2014-elections-will-work-pub-53416>>.



UNIVERSITAS  
GADJAH MADA

Effect of Socio-economic Conditions, Coalition, and Party Identification toward Voter Choice in Indonesian Legislative Election

ADHIWANA ARIESSA HUVAT, Dr. Dana Sisak

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2017 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

Pacek, A. C., Radcliff, B., 1995. *Economic Voting and the Welfare State: A Cross-National Analysis.*

Journal of Politics 57, 44-61.

Peters, J. G., Welch, S., 2014. *The Effects of Charges of Corruption on Voting Behavior in Congressional Elections.* American Political Science Review 74, Issue 3, 697-708.

Poltracking. 2014. *Kecenderungan Sikap & Perilaku Pemilih dalam Pemilu Legislatif 2014.* Temuan Survei Nasional Oktober 2013. Jakarta: Poltracking.

Badan Pusat Statistik. 2000, 2010. *Sensus Penduduk.* Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik

Sigelman, C. K., Sigelman. L., 1982. *Sexism, Racism, and Ageism in Voting Behavior: An Experimental Analysis.* Social Psychology Quarterly 45, No. 4, 263-269.

Slinko, A., 2006. *On the Average Minimum Size of a Manipulating Coalition.* Social Choice and Welfare 27, Issue 2, 263-277.

Badan Pusat Statistik. 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015. *Survei Angkatan Kerja Nasional.* Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik.

Badan Pusat Statistik. 2005, 2015. *Survei Penduduk Antar Sensus.* Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik.

Badan Pusat Statistik. 2000, 2005, 2010, 2015. *Survei Sosial Ekonomi Nasional.* Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik.

Wiranata, A., 2016. *Bagaimana Kamu Mengategorikan Partai Politik Indonesia kedalam Sayap-kanan, Sayap-kiri, Liberal, dll?* Viewed 18 July 2017. <<https://www.galena.co.id/q/bagaimana-kamu-mengategorikan-partai-politik-indonesia-kedalam-sayap-kanan-sayap-kiri-liberal-dll>>.