

REFERENCES

1. Michael Trucano. Using mobile phones in data collection: Opportunities, issues and challenges | Edutech [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2017 Aug 6]. Available from: <http://blogs.worldbank.org/edutech/using-mobile-phones-data-collection-opportunities-issues-and-challenges>
2. Bruce K, Lamb J, Selva A, Herft N, Rogers P. Mobile Data Collection | Better Evaluation [Internet]. 2017 [cited 2017 Aug 6]. Available from: http://www.betterevaluation.org/en/evaluation-options/mobile_data_collection
3. Dabalen A, Etang A, Hoogeveen J, Mushi E, Schipper Y, von Engelhardt J. Mobile Phone Panel Surveys in Developing Countries: A Practical Guide for Microdata Collection [Internet]. The World Bank; 2016 [cited 2017 Aug 6]. Available from: <http://elibrary.worldbank.org/doi/book/10.1596/978-1-4648-0904-0>
4. Lozano-Fuentes S, Barker CM, Coleman M, Coleman M, Park B, Reisen WK, et al. Emerging Information Technologies to Provide Improved Decision Support for Surveillance, Prevention, and Control of Vector-Borne Diseases. In: Chiang J, editor. Efficient Decision Support Systems – Practice and Challenges in Biomedical Related Domain [Internet]. 2011. p. 89–144. Available from: <http://www.intechopen.com/sci-hub.bz/books/efficient-decision-support-systems-practice-and-challenges-in-biomedical-related-domain/emerging-information-technologies-to-provide-improved-decision-support-for-surveillance-prevention-a>
5. Blaya JA, Fraser HSF, Holt B. E-Health Technologies Show Promise in Developing Countries. *Health Aff.* 2010;2(2):244–51.
6. Soucie JM. Public health surveillance and data collection: general principles and impact on hemophilia care. *Hematology* [Internet]. NIH Public Access; 2012 Apr [cited 2017 Aug 5];17 Suppl 1(0 1):S144–6. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22507804>
7. Roberts G, Irava W, Tuiketei T, Nadakuitavuki R, Otealagi S, Singh S, et al. *Fiji Islands Health Systems Review* [Internet]. Suva; 2011. Available from: http://www.wpro.who.int/asia_pacific_observatory/hits/series/Fiji_Islands_Health_S

systems_Review.pdf

8. Ministry of Health F. Planning and Procedures for Dengue Vector Surveillance and Control in Fiji. Suva: Ministry of; 2014. p. 1–52.
9. Lozano-Fuentes S, Ghosh S, Bieman JM, Sadhu D, Eisen L, Wedyan F. Using Cell Phones for Mosquito Vector Surveillance and Control. *J Med Entomol*. 2014;(1):763–7.
10. Wansink K, Lancaster H. Fiji - Telecoms, Mobile and Broadband - Statistics and Analyses - BuddeComm [Internet]. BuddeComm. 2017 [cited 2017 Aug 6]. Available from: <https://www.budde.com.au/Research/Fiji-Telecoms-Mobile-and-Broadband-Statistics-and-Analyses>
11. Norwegian Red Cross, International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies. Flexible, Easy to Use, Low Cost: Mobile Phone-Based Surveys Can Have it All [Internet]. Geneva; 2012. Available from: [http://www.ifrc.org/PageFiles/96432/1229700-RAMP advocacy report-EN_LR.pdf](http://www.ifrc.org/PageFiles/96432/1229700-RAMP%20advocacy%20report-EN_LR.pdf)
12. The Guardian News. Magpi: Providing Low-Cost Access to Real-Time Health Data [Internet]. Guardian News. 2013 [cited 2017 Aug 15]. Available from: <https://www.theguardian.com/global-development-professionals-network/2013/aug/07/magpi-health-data-collection-ict4d>
13. Schuster C, Brito CP. Cutting Costs, Boosting Quality and Collecting Data Real-Time: Lessons from a Cell Phone-Based Beneficiary Survey to Strengthen Guatemala's Conditional Cash Transfer Program [Internet]. 2011 [cited 2017 Sep 13]. Available from: http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTLAC/Resources/257803-1269390034020/EnBreve_166_Web.pdf
14. Kopernik Organization. Digital Data Collection Apps | Impact Tracker Technology [Internet]. Impact Tracker Technology. 2013 [cited 2017 Sep 13]. Available from: <http://impacttrackertech.kopernik.info/digital-data-collection-apps>
15. Magpi. Water Point Mapping in Fiji - Magpi [Internet]. Magpi. 2012 [cited 2017 Aug 15]. Available from: <http://home.magpi.com/case-study/water-point-mapping-fiji/>
16. Magpi. Fiji Red Cross Integrates Magpi with Satellite Communication Technology

- to Support Disaster Response Efforts - Magpi [Internet]. Magpi. 2016 [cited 2017 Aug 6]. Available from: <http://home.magpi.com/magpi-satellite-communication-integration/>
17. Zhang S, Wu Q, van Velthoven MH, Chen L, Car J, Rudan I, et al. Smartphone versus pen-and-paper data collection of infant feeding practices in rural China. *J Med Internet Res* [Internet]. 2012;14(5):e119. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22989894> \n <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC3510690>
 18. Lozano-Fuentes S, Wedyan F, Hernandez-Garcia E, Sadhu D, Ghosh S, Bieman JM, et al. Cell Phone-Based System (Chaak) for Surveillance of Immatures of Dengue Virus Mosquito Vectors. *J Med Entomol*. 2013;50(4):879–89.
 19. Tomlinson M, Solomon W, Singh Y, Doherty T, Chopra M, Ijumba P, et al. The Use of Mobile Phones As A Data Collection Tool : A Report from A Household Survey in South Africa. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2009;9(51):1–8.
 20. Pakhare AP, Bali S, Kalra G. Use of Mobile Phones as Research Instrument for Data Collection. *Indian J Community Heal* [Internet]. 2013;25(2):95–8. Available from: <http://www.iapsmupuk.org/journal/index.php/IJCH/article/view/454/pdf>
 21. Fletcher LA, Erickson DJ, Toomey TL, Wagenaar AC. *HANDHELD COMPUTERS- A Feasible Alternative to Paper Forms for Field Data Collection*. Sage Publ. 2003;27(039231):165–78.
 22. PsychData. Data Integrity of Online Surveys vs. Paper [Internet]. PsychData. 2001 [cited 2016 Nov 13]. Available from: <https://www.psychdata.com/content/comparison.asp>
 23. Donner J. Research approaches to mobile use in the developing world: A review of the literature. *Inf Soc*. 2008;24(3):140–59.
 24. Pascoe L, Lungo J, Kaasboll J, Koleleni I. Collecting Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response Data through Mobile Phones. *Int Inf Manag Corp*. 2012;2–7.
 25. Rosewell A, Ropa B, Randall H, Dagina R, Hurim S, Bieb S, et al. Mobile Phone – based Syndromic Surveillance System , Papua New Guinea. *Emerg Infect Dis*.

- 2013;19(11):1811–8.
26. Robertson C, Sawford K, Daniel SLA, Nelson TA, Stephen C. Mobile phone-based infectious disease surveillance system, Sri Lanka. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16(10):1524–31.
 27. Robertson C, Sawford K, Daniel SLA, Nelson TA, Stephen C. Mobile Phone – based Infectious Disease Surveillance System , Sri Lanka. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16(10):1524–31.
 28. Abu-dalbouh HM. A Questionnaire Approach Based On The Technology Acceptance Model for Mobile Tracking on Patient Progress Applications. *J Comput Sci*. 2013;9(6):763–70.
 29. Park SY. An Analysis of the Technology Acceptance Model in Understanding University Students’ Behavioral Intention to Use e-Learning. *Educ Technol Soc* [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2016 Nov 16];12(3):150–62. Available from: http://www.ifets.info/journals/12_3/14.pdf
 30. Holden RJ, Karsh B. The Technology Acceptance Model :Its Past and Its Future in Health Care. *J Biomed Inform* [Internet]. Elsevier Inc.; 2010;43(1):159–72. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2009.07.002>
 31. Porter C., Donthu N. Using the technology acceptance model to explain how attitudes determine Internet usage : The role of perceived access barriers and demographics. *J Bus Res*. 2006;59:999–1007.
 32. Osman NB. Extending the Technology Acceptance Model for. *Int Arab Conf Inf Technol*. 2013;1–8.
 33. Niemela-Nyrhinen J. Factors Affecting Acceptance of Mobile Content Services among Mature Consumers. *Jyv Askylla Stud Bus Econ*. 2009;1(1):148p.
 34. Venkatesh V, Davis FD. Theoretical Extension of the Technology Acceptance Model : Four Longitudinal Field Studies. *Inst Oper Res ad Manag Sci* [Internet]. 2000;46(2):186–204. Available from: http://www.jstor.org/stable/2634758?seq=1&cid=pdf-reference#references_tab_contents
 35. Szajna B. Empirical Evaluation of the Revised Technology Acceptance Model. *Inst*

- Oper Manag Sci [Internet]. 1996;42(1):86–92. Available from:
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.42.1.85>
36. Davis F. Perceived Usefulness , Perceived Ease of Use and User Acceptance of Information Technology. MIS Q. 1989;13(3):319–40.
 37. Islam S. Adoption of mobile phones among the farmers : A case study from rural Bangladesh Submitted by. Orebro Univ. 2011;1–20.
 38. Venkatesh V, Morris M, Ackerman P. A Longitudinal Field Investigation of Gender Differences in Individual Technology Adoption Decision-Making Processes. Organ Behav Hum Decis Process [Internet]. 2000;83(1):33–60. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10973782>
 39. Igbaria M, Zinatelli N, Cragg P, Cavaye ALM. Personal Computing Acceptance Factors in Small Firms : A Structural Equation Model. JSTOR [Internet]. 1997;21(3):279–305. Available from: <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=272096>
 40. Venkatesh V, Morris MG, Davis GB, Davis FD. User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. Source MIS Q [Internet]. 2003 [cited 2017 Aug 15];27(3):425–78. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/30036540>
 41. Fishbein M, Ajzen I. Chapter 6: Attitude Formation. In: Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior, An Introduction to Theory and Research. London; Amherst: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company; 1975. p. 216–87.
 42. Chang TC, Liao YF, Lin JG. The Study of Aircraft Flight Simulator Adoption in Technology Acceptance Model—Take an Airman Used Simulator as an Example. Ex J Cris Manag. 2008;5(1).
 43. Porter CE, Donthu N. Using The Technology Acceptance Model to Explain How Attitudes Determine Internet Usage : The Role of Perceived Access Barriers and Demographics. J Bus Res. 2006;59:999–1007.
 44. Njuguna HN, Caselton DL, Arunga GO, Emukule GO, Kinyanjui DK, Kalani RM, et al. A comparison of smartphones to paper-based questionnaires for routine influenza sentinel surveillance, Kenya, 2011-2012. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak [Internet]. 2014;14:107. Available from:
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25539745> \n <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.go>

[v/articlerender.fcgi?artid=PMC4305246](#)

45. Moyo C, Nkhonjera T, Kaasbøll J. Assessing the use of mobile technology to improve timeliness of HMIS reports in Malawi. 2015 IST-Africa Conf IST-Africa 2015. 2015;1–9.
46. Huaman MA, Araujo-Castillo R V, Soto G, Neyra JM, Quispe JA, Fernandez MF, et al. Impact of two interventions on timeliness and data quality of an electronic disease surveillance system in a resource limited setting (Peru): a prospective evaluation. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak [Internet]. 2009;9:16. Available from: <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2667397&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
47. Redfern J, Santo K, Coorey G, Thakkar J, Hackett M, Thiagalingam A, et al. Factors Influencing Engagement, Perceived Usefulness and Behavioral Mechanisms Associated with a Text Message Support Program. PLoS One [Internet]. Public Library of Science; 2016 [cited 2017 Aug 12];11(10):e0163929. Available from: <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27741244>
48. Yang KCC. Exploring factors affecting the adoption of mobile commerce in Singapore. Telemat Informatics [Internet]. 2005 Aug [cited 2017 Aug 12];22(3):257–77. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S0736585304000619>
49. Hu PJ, K Chau PY, Liu Sheng OR, Yan Tam K, Chau PY. Examining the Technology Acceptance Model Using Physician Acceptance of Telemedicine Examining the Technology Acceptance Model Using Physician Acceptance of Telemedicine Technology. Source J Manag Inf Syst [Internet]. 1999 [cited 2017 Aug 14];16(2):91–112. Available from: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/40398433>
50. Yan AW, Md-Nor K, Abu-Shanab E, Sutanonpaiboon J. Factors that Affect Mobile Telephone Users to Use Mobile Payment Solution. Int J Econ Manag [Internet]. 2009 [cited 2017 Aug 12];3(1):37–49. Available from: <http://econ.upm.edu.my/ijem/vol3no1/bab03.pdf>
51. Holden RJ, Karsh B-T. The Technology Acceptance Model: Its past and its future in health care. J Biomed Inform [Internet]. 2010 Feb [cited 2017 Aug 14];43(1):159–

72. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1532046409000963>
52. Araya Abrha Medhanyie, Alex Little HY, Mark Spigt KT, Roman Blanco, Geert-Jan Dinant. Health Workers' Experiences, Barriers, Preferences and Motivating Factors in Using mHealth Forms in Ethiopia. BMC Pediatr [Internet]. BioMed Central; 2014 Dec 1 [cited 2017 Aug 12];13(1):198. Available from: <http://bmcpediatr.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1471-2431-13-198>
53. Nor KM, Pearson JM. An Exploratory Study Into The Adoption of Internet Banking in a Developing Country: Malaysia. J Internet Commer [Internet]. 2008;7(1):29–73. Available from: <http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/wico20>
54. Kurnia S, Smith S, Lee H. Consumers' Perception of Mobile Internet in Australia. J Ebus Rev [Internet]. 2006;5(1):19–32. Available from: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.132.5597>
55. Jeong BK, Yoon TE. An Empirical Investigation on Consumer Acceptance of Mobile Banking Services. Bus Manag Res. 2013;2(1):31–40.
56. Renny, Guritno S, Siringoringo H. Perceived Usefulness, Ease of Use, and Attitude Towards Online Shopping Usefulness Towards Online Airlines Ticket Purchase. Procedia - Soc Behav Sci [Internet]. 2013 Jun [cited 2017 Aug 14];81:212–6. Available from: <http://linkinghub.elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/S1877042813014821>
57. Aboelmaged MG, Gebba TR. Mobile Banking Adoption : An Examination of Technology Acceptance Model and Theory of Planned Behavior. Int J Bus Res Dev. 2013;2(1):35–50.
58. Jahangir N, Begum N. The role of perceived usefulness , perceived ease of use , security and privacy , and customer attitude to engender customer adaptation in the context of electronic banking. African J Bus Manag [Internet]. 2008;2(1):32–40. Available from: http://www.academicjournals.org/article/article1380536538_Jahangir and Begum.pdf
59. Peng W, Kanthawala S, Yuan S, Hussain SA. A qualitative study of user perceptions of mobile health apps. BMC Public Health [Internet]. BMC Public Health; 2016;16:1158–69. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12889-016-3808-0>

60. Winters N, Oliver M, Langer L. Can mobile health training meet the challenge of “measuring better”? *Comp Educ* [Internet]. Taylor & Francis; 2017;53(1):115–31. Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03050068.2017.1254983>
61. Ganesan M, Prashant S, Jhunjhunwala A. A Review on Challenges in Implementing Mobile Phone Based Data Collection in Developing Countries. *J Heal Informatics Dev Ctries* www.jhidc.org. 2012;6(1).
62. Grönlund Å, Andersson A, Hatakka M. Mobile technologies for development – a comparative study on challenges. *Proc SIG Glob Dev’s First Annu Work* [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2017 Aug 15]; Available from: http://www.globdev.org/files/8-Paper-Hatakka-mobile_technologies_for_development_Revised.pdf
63. Mechael, Patricia; Batavia, Hima; Kaonga, Nadi; Searle, Sarah; Kwan, Ada; Goldberger, Adina; Fu, Lin; Ossman J. Barriers and Gaps Affecting mHealth in Low and Middle Income Countries: Policy White Paper [Internet]. Columbia; 2010 [cited 2017 Sep 13]. Available from: https://www.ghdonline.org/uploads/Barriers__Gaps_to_mHealth_in_LMICs_-_White_Paper_-_May_2010.pdf
64. GSM Association. Policy and regulation for innovation in mobile health [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2017 Sep 13]. p. 1–16. Available from: <https://www.gsma.com/mobilefordevelopment/wp-content/uploads/2012/05/policyandregulationforinnovationinmobilehealth-1.pdf>
65. US Agency for International Development (USAID). Data Quality Audit Tool-Guidelines for Implementation [Internet]. 2008 [cited 2017 Sep 13]. Available from: <https://www.measureevaluation.org/resources/tools/health-information-systems/data-quality-assurance-tools/dqa-auditing-tool-implentation-guidelines.pdf>
66. Lin B, Chan H-G. Managing data quality in the health care industry: Some critical issues. *J Int Inf Manag* [Internet]. 2000 [cited 2017 Sep 13];9(1). Available from: <http://scholarworks.lib.csusb.edu/jiim>