

## TABLE OF CONTENT

DISCLAIMER .....	iii
ABSTRACT .....	iv
INTISARI .....	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	vi
TABLE OF CONTENT .....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
LIST OF TABLES .....	xii
LIST OF GRAPHS .....	xiii
ABBREVIATION .....	xiv
1. INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Research Problem .....	3
1.3 Research Objectives .....	5
1.4 Research Questions .....	6
1.5 Science Significance .....	7
1.6 Research Conceptual Framework .....	7
1.7 Limitation of the Research .....	8
2. LITERATURE REVIEW .....	10
2.1 Volcanic gases .....	10
2.1.1 Volcanic CO <sub>2</sub> gases .....	11
2.1.2 Volcanic CO <sub>2</sub> gases hazard mapping .....	13
2.1.3 Human impact of volcanic CO <sub>2</sub> gases .....	14
2.1.4 Volcanic CO <sub>2</sub> gases and other impacts .....	17
2.2 Vulnerability .....	18
2.2.1 The concept of vulnerability .....	18
2.2.2 Human vulnerability analysis .....	20
2.3 Risk Perception .....	22

2.4 Coping Capacity .....	23
2.5 Community and Participatory <i>Geographic Information System</i> (PGIS).....	23
2.6 The theory of statistic .....	24
3. STUDY AREA .....	26
3.1 Dieng Plateau .....	26
3.2 Geological setting of Dieng.....	27
3.3 Dieng Eruption Histories.....	29
3.4 Study area focus .....	32
<i>Land-use of study area</i> .....	33
4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	35
4.1 Pre-fieldwork.....	37
4.1.1 Questionnaire Design.....	37
4.1.2 Respondents Recruitment .....	39
4.1.3 Informants recruitment .....	40
4.2 Fieldwork .....	41
4.2.1 Questionnaire Survey.....	43
4.2.2 In-depth interview .....	44
4.2.3 Participatory GIS .....	45
4.3 Post-fieldwork .....	45
4.3.1 Volcanic CO <sub>2</sub> hazard in Dieng .....	45
4.3.2 Human Vulnerability Assessment .....	46
4.3.3 Risk Perception and Coping Capacity .....	46
4.3.4 Research's concluding remarks .....	47
5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS .....	48
5.1 CO <sub>2</sub> hazard mapping .....	48
5.1.1 Volcanic CO <sub>2</sub> characteristics in Dieng.....	48
5.1.2 Dieng Volcanic Hazard Zone .....	51
5.1.3 Volcanic gas hazard prone areas using PGIS .....	53
5.1.4 CO <sub>2</sub> potential vulnerability based on human health effects.....	54
5.2 Human Vulnerability.....	56
5.2.1 Socio-economic characteristics .....	56

5.2.2 Disaster Impacts on Human Health and Livelihood.....	61
5.3 Timbang Disaster Experience and Risk Perception .....	67
5.3.1 Timbang Eruption Experience .....	67
5.3.2 Risk Perception .....	74
5.4 Coping Capacity .....	79
5.4.1 Community's coping capacity .....	79
5.4.2 Community Disaster Management .....	83
5.5 Discussion of Human Vulnerability, Risk Perception, and Coping Capacity .....	85
5.5.1 Human Vulnerability and Risk Perception .....	85
5.5.2 Comparison between human vulnerability and coping capacity in Dieng, Indonesia and Lake Nyos, Cameroon .....	87
6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	89
6.1 Conclusions .....	89
6.2 Recommendations .....	90
REFERENCES .....	92
APPENDIX 1 .....	98
APPENDIX 2.....	104
APPENDIX 3.....	107

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Sketch of Sinila eruption in 1979 .....	2
Figure 1.2 Conceptual Framework.....	8
Figure 2.1 Breathing volume response to CO <sub>2</sub> concentrations .....	16
Figure 2.2 Weasel killed in Timbang emissions (2013) .....	17
Figure 2.3 The Access model in outline .....	20
Figure 3.1 Dieng cultural boundaries.....	26
Figure 3.2 Dieng Plateau, Central Java.....	27
Figure 3.3 Sketch map of Dieng Plateau .....	28
Figure 3.4 Study Area .....	33
Figure 3.5 Land use of study area .....	34
Figure 4.1 Spatial distributions of hamlet in the study area.....	35
Figure 4.2 Filling the questionnaire while asking respondents.....	43
Figure 5.1 Residual Magnetic Anomalies Map in Dieng Complex .....	49
Figure 5.2 Spatial distributions of CO <sub>2</sub> measurements in 1999-2007 periods .....	50
Figure 5.3 Dieng Volcanic Hazard Zone year 2011 .....	52
Figure 5.4 Volcanic gas hazard prone areas based on PGIS.....	53
Figure 5.5 Potential human vulnerability map based on health effects .....	55
Figure 5.6 Spatial distribution of health impacts each hamlet .....	62
Figure 5.7 Health treatments in Kecamatan Batur, Sinila disaster 1979 .....	64
Figure 5.8 Spatial distribution of livelihood impacts each hamlet .....	65
Figure 5.9 Mr Tunut from PGA, showing the plants which perished by gas .....	66
Figure 5.10 Volcanic gases on agricultural lands .....	67
Figure 5.11 Spatial distribution of disaster experience based on hamlet unit.....	68
Figure 5.12 Evacuation experience of Sinila 1979 disaster flow map.....	70
Figure 5.13 Evacuation experience of Timbang 2011 disaster flow map.....	71
Figure 5.14 Evacuation experience of Timbang 2013 disaster flow map.....	73
Figure 5.15 Hazard likelihood in each hamlet based on people's perception.....	74

Figure 5.16 Perception of <i>Threaten Life</i> in each hamlet.....	75
Figure 5.17 Perception of <i>Affect Quality of Life</i> in each hamlet.....	76
Figure 5.18 Perception of <i>Financial Loss</i> in each hamlet.....	77
<u>Figure</u> 5.19 Perception of <i>Dread</i> in each hamlet .....	78
Figure 5.3 Volcanic gases barrier using bamboo and plastics (1 meter height). ..	82

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1 Eruptions at Dieng over the last centuries .....	2
Table 1.2 Research objectives and research questions .....	6
Table 2.1 Volcanic CO <sub>2</sub> emissions incidents over the last century .....	12
Table 2.2 Volcanic CO <sub>2</sub> gases hazard mapping in previous studies .....	13
Table 2.3 The effects of CO <sub>2</sub> .....	15
Table 2.4 Carbon dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) concentration range and the impacts .....	16
Table 3.1 Dieng activity over the last centuries .....	29
Table 3.2 Land Use of Study Area in hectare .....	34
Table 4.1 Questionnaire Stages .....	37
Table 4.1 Set Quota Samples .....	39
Table 4.2 Data Collection .....	41
Table 5.1 CO <sub>2</sub> gas flux of craters in western Dieng plateau .....	51
Table 5.2 Potential human vulnerability classes based on human health effects .	55
Table 5.3 Ownership .....	58
Table 5.4 Socio-economic indicators influencing human vulnerability .....	60
Table 5.5 Chi-Square test for Distance and Health Impacts .....	64
Table 5.5 Households' coping practices during normal times .....	80
Table 5.6 Households' coping practices during disaster .....	81
Table 5.7 Institutions and its role in Dieng disaster management .....	84
Table 5.8 Regression analysis of Risk Perception and Distance .....	86
Table 5.9 Regression analysis of Risk Perception and Disaster Impacts .....	87

## LIST OF GRAPHS

Graph 5.1 Age of Respondent.....	56
Graph 5.2 Education Level .....	57
Graph 5.3 Occupation .....	57
Graph 5.4 Monthly Income.....	58
Graph 5.5 Residential Origins.....	59
Graph 5.6 Health impacts .....	61
Graph 5.8 Evacuation Experience.....	69
Graph 5.9 Evacuation experience in the 1979, 2011, and 2013 Timbang disaster	69

## ABBREVIATION

BNPB	Badan Nasional Penanggulangan Bencana ( <i>National Disaster Management Agency</i> )
BPBD	Badan Penanggulangan Bencana Daerah ( <i>Regional Disaster Management Agency</i> )
BPN	Badan Pertanahan Nasional ( <i>National Land Agency</i> )
BPPTKG	Balai Penyelidikan dan Pengembangan Teknologi Kebencanaan Geologi ( <i>Volcanology Observation and Technology Development Agency</i> )
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
HSE	Health and Safety Executive (UK Government)
IAVCEI	International Association of Volcanology and Chemistry of the Earth's Interior
PGA	Pos Gunung Api Dieng ( <i>Dieng Volcanology Office</i> )
PGIS	Participatory GIS
PODES	Potensi Desa ( <i>The Village Potential Statistics</i> )
PVMBG	Pusat Vulkanologi dan Mitigasi Bencana Geologi ( <i>Center for Volcanology and Geological Hazard Mitigation</i> )
RBI	Peta Rupa Bumi Indonesia ( <i>Topographic Map of Indonesia</i> )
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
UNISDR	United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction
USGS	United States Geological Survey
VCA	Vulnerability and Capacity Assessment
VSI	Volcanological Survey of Indonesia