

CONTENTS

COVER	i
HALAMAN PENGESAHAN	ii
HALAMAN PERNYATAAN	iii
DEDICATION	iv
PREFACE	v
CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	xii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF ATTACHEMENTS	xvi
LIST OF NOTATION AND ABBREVIATION	xvii
ABSTRACT	xviii
BAB I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Formulation	4
1.3 Research Scope	4
1.4 Research Objectives	5
1.5 Benefits of Research	5
BAB II LITERATURE REVIEW	6
2.1 Position of The Research	6
2.2 Pertinent Research	7
2.3 Relationship Between Company Performance with Knowledge Management	18
BAB III THEORITICAL FOUNDATION	20
3.1 Knowledge	20

3.1	Learning Organization and Organization Learning	21
3.2	Knowledge Management	23
3.3	Validity and Reliability Test	24
BAB IV RESEARCH METHODS		25
4.1	Research Object	25
4.2	Tools of Research	25
4.3	Stages of Research	25
4.4	Flowchart of Research	29
BAB V RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS		30
5.1	The Development of Research Instrument	30
5.1.1	The Development of Theoretical Models	30
5.1.1.1	Determination of Dimensions of Knowledge Management Maturity Level	30
5.1.1.2	Determination of Sub Dimensions of Knowledge Management Maturity Level	34
5.2	Pilot Study	35
5.2.1	Determining the Dimension of Project Complexity	43
5.3	Preparation of Data	43
5.3.1	Data Cleansing	44
5.3.2	Respondent Description	44
5.3.2.1	Type of Industry	44
5.3.2.2	Designation of Respondent	44
5.3.2.3	Working Experience in Company	45
5.3.2.4	Scales of Companies	45
5.4	Consistency Test	46
5.5	Normality Test	47
5.6	Quantitative Test Instrument	48

5.6.1	Validation Test	48
5.6.2	Reliability Test	51
5.7	Assumption Test of Exploratory Factor Analysis	52
5.7.1	Adequacy of Data	52
5.7.2	Outlier Test	53
	5.7.2.1 Univariate Outlier	53
	5.7.2.2 Multivariate Outlier	55
5.8	Exploratory Factor Analysis	55
5.8.1	Determining Number of Sub-Dimension	55
5.8.2	Exploratory Factor Analysis Dimension A	57
5.8.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis Dimension B	56
5.8.4	Exploratory Factor Analysis Dimension C	57
5.8.5	Exploratory Factor Analysis Dimension D	58
5.8.6	Exploratory Factor Analysis Dimension E	58
5.9	Comparison Between Previous Research and Results	59
5.10	The Overview of Knowledge Management Maturity Level in Construction Companies in Indonesia	62
5.10.1	Dimension A	62
5.10.2	Dimension B	63
5.10.3	Dimension C	64
5.10.4	Dimension D	64
5.10.5	Overall Dimension	65
5.11	The Difference Level and Complexity Between Scale of Industry	66
5.12	Data Description	68
5.13	Project Performance of Company	69
5.14	Assumption Test of Linear Regression	70
5.14.1	Normality Assumption for Residual	70
5.14.2	Autocorrelation Assumption for Residual	71

5.14.3	Non-Heteroscedasticity Assumption for Residual	72
5.15	Moderation Analysis Between Variable using MRA (Moderation Regression Analysis Method (Sharma, 1981))	72
5.15.1	Significant Level in Overall Model	72
5.15.1.1	The Relationship Between Knowledge Management Maturity Level with Company Performance (Model 1)	73
5.15.1.2	The Relationship Between Company Performance and Knowledge Management Maturity Level with Project Complexity (Model 2)	74
5.15.1.3	The Relationship Between Project Complexity and Knowledge Management Maturity Level with Moderation of Company Performance (Model 3)	75
5.15.2	Relationship Between Knowledge Management Maturity Level and Project Complexity	76
5.15.3	Relationship Between Company Performance with Project Complexity	76
5.16	Moderation Effect	77
5.17	The Summary of Variabel Moderation Identification	78
5.18	Relationship Between Level of Each Dimension with Organization Performance	79
5.18.1	Assumption Test of Linear Reggresion	79
5.18.2	Linear Regression	81
5.19	Mediation Analysis Between Variable using Correlation Test	84
5.19.1	The Relationship Between Level of KMM and Organization Performance	84
5.20	Comparison Between Results of This Research with The Previous Research (Ramadhani, 2014)	86

BAB IV CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	88
6.1 Conclusion	88
6.2 Suggestion	88
BIBLIOGRAPHY	89
ATTACHMENTS	94

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1 Loop Diagram Construction Sector in Economic Infrastructure (Hartono, 2014)	2
Figure 1.2 Loop Diagram Application of Knowledge Management (Hartono, 2014)	4
Figure 2.2 Flow Diagram of The Application of Knowledge Management (Gallagher & Hazlett,-)	12
Figure 4.1 Flow Chart of Research	29
Figure 5.1 Normal Distribution	62
Figure 5.2 Summary KMM Maturity Level	66
Figure 5.4 The Difference Between Scale of Industry	67
Figure 5.5 Moderation (Sharma, 1981)	73
Figure 5.6 Scatterplot of Level of KMM and Organization Performance	74
Figure 5.7 Moderation Effect	77
Figure 5.8 Relationship Between Level of KMM and Organization Performance	83
Figure 5.9 Correlation Between Level of KM (X), Non-Financial Performance (Me) and Financial Performance (Y)	85

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Summary of Previous Research Related to Knowledge Management In Projects	7
Table 2.2 Summary of Previous Research about Knowledge Management Maturity Model	9
Table 2.3 General Maturity Model Based on CMM (Kulkarni & Louis, 2003)	13
Table 2.4 Table of G-KMMM (Pee & Kanhkanhalli,-)	17
Table 5.1. The Grouping of Ten Dimensions (Ramadhani and Hartono, 2014)	31
Table 5.2 Sub-dimension (Ramadhani and Hartono, 2014)	34
Table 5.3 Items Statment of Pilot Study	35
Table 5.3 Profile and Response Rate of Respondents in Pilot Study (n=7)	36
Table 5.4 First Stage of Pilot Study	37
Table 5.5 Second Stage of Pilot Study	39
Table 5.6 Adoption Instrument (Ramadhani and Hartono, 2014)	41
Table 5.7 The Development of Adoption Instrument (Saputro and Hartono, 2013)	43
Table 5.8 Response Rate	44
Table 5.9 Designation of Respondents (n=69)	45
Table 5.10 Working Experience in Company (n=69)	45
Table 5.12 Scale of Company (n=69)	46
Table 5.13 Consistency Data (n=6)	46
Table 5.14 Normality Test	47
Table 5.15 Validation Dimension A	49
Table 5.16 Validation Dimension B	49
Table 5.17 Validation Dimension C	50
Table 5.18 Validation Dimension D	50

Table 5.19 Validation Dimension E	51
Table 5.20 Cronbach-Alpha	51
Table 5.21 KMO, MSA and Barlett Test Results (n=66)	53
Table 5.22 Univariate Outlier (n=66)	54
Table 5.23 Mahalanobis Distance	55
Table 5.24 Eigenvalue of Each Dimension	56
Table 5.25 Factor Loading Dimension A	57
Table 5.26 Factor Loading Dimension B	57
Table 5.27 Factor Loading Dimension C	58
Table 5.28 Factor Loading Dimension D	58
Table 5.29 Factor Loading Dimension E	59
Table 5.30 Comparison of Dimension A	60
Table 5.31 Comparison of Dimension B	60
Table 5.32 Comparison of Dimension C	61
Table 5.33 Comparison of Dimension D	61
Table 5.34 Comparison of Dimension E	62
Table 5.35 Distribution Level Dimension A	63
Table 5.36 Distribution Level Dimension B	64
Table 5.37 Distribution Level Dimension C	65
Table 5.38 Distribution Level Dimension D	65
Table 5.39 Distribution Level Overall Dimension	66
Table 5.40 The Comparison Mean, SD Between Scale of Industry	67
Table 5.41 Mann-Whitney Test	68
Table 5.42 Data Description	69
Table 5.43 Correlation of Two Methods	70
Table 5.44 Normality Assumption	71
Table 5.45 Autocorrelation Test	71
Table 5.46 Heteroscedasticity Test	72
Table 5.47 Regression Between Knowledge Management Maturity	

Level (x1) with Company Performance (y)	73
Table 5.48 Regression Between Knowledge Management Maturity Level (x1), Project Complexity (x2) and Company Performance (y)	75
Table 5.49 Regression Between Knowledge Management Maturity Level (x1), Project Complexity (x2), Variabel Moderation (M) with Company Performance (y)	75
Table 5.50 Regression Between Knowledge Management Maturity Level and Project Complexity	76
Table 5.51 Regression Between Company Performance and Project Complexity	77
Table 5.52 The Summary of Moderation Step	78
Table 5.53 Normalty Assumption	79
Table 5.54 Autocorrelation Assumption	80
Table 5.55 Non-Heteroscedasticity Dimension A	80
Table 5.56 Non-Heteroscedasticity Dimension B	80
Table 5.57 Non-Heteroscedasticity Dimension C	81
Table 5.58 Non-Heteroscedasticity Dimension D	81
Table 5.59 Relationship between each Dimension with Organization Performance	82
Table 5.60 Mann-Whitney Test	84
Table 5.61 Correlation Between Level of KM (X), Non-Financial Performance (Me) and Financial Performance (Y)	85
Table 5.62 Comparison Between This Research with The Previous (Ramadhani, 2014)	86

LIST OF ATTACHMENET

Attachement 1. Questionaire Survey	94
Attachement 2. Construction Data	100

LIST OF NOTATION AND ABBREVIATION

X1	= Level kedewasaan <i>knowledge management</i>
X2	= Level kompleksitas proyek
M	= Variabel moderasi
y	= Kinerja organisasi
n	= Jumlah sampel
CR	= <i>Construct reliability</i>
AVE	= <i>Average variance extracted</i>
λ	= <i>Standard Loading</i>
ϵ	= <i>Measurement error</i>
SD	= Standar deviasi
Sig.	= Nilai signifikansi statistik
Sig. (2-tailed)	= Nilai signifikansi untuk uji <i>two tailed</i>