



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCLAIMER.....	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	ii
ABSTRACT .....	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	v
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
LIST OF TABLES.....	xv
CHAPTER I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Research Background.....	1
1.2 Problem Formulation.....	5
1.3 Research Questions .....	7
1.4 Research Objectives .....	7
1.5 Research Outcome .....	8
1.6 Research Novelty .....	8
1.7 Research Significance .....	9
CHAPTER II. LITERATURE REVIEWS AND THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK.....	12
2.1 Remote Sensing .....	12
2.2 Seagrass and Mangrove as Carbon Sinks.....	15
2.2.1 Seagrass as Carbon Sinks.....	15
2.2.2 Mangrove as Carbon Sink.....	18
2.3 Remote Sensing of Seagrass Mapping.....	20
2.3.1 Current Progress of Remote Sensing of Seagrass Mapping.....	20
2.3.2 Methods of Seagrass Mapping using Remote Sensing.....	22
2.3.2.1 Atmospheric Corrections.....	22
2.3.2.2 Sunlint Correction .....	24
2.3.2.3 Water Column Corrections .....	25
2.3.2.4 Bathymetry Modeling.....	28
2.3.2.5 Seagrass Modeling and Mapping Approach.....	29
2.3.3 The Possibility of Seagrass Carbon Stock Mapping using Remote Sensing .....	33
2.4 Remote Sensing of Mangroves Mapping .....	35
2.4.1 Current Progress of Remote Sensing of Mangroves Mapping.....	35



2.4.2 Methods of Mangroves Mapping using Remote Sensing .....	37
2.4.2.1 Image Corrections .....	37
2.4.2.2 Image Transformations .....	37
2.4.2.3 Image Classification .....	42
2.4.2.4 Mangroves Modeling and Mapping Approach .....	42
2.4.3 The Possibility of Mangroves Carbon Stock Mapping using Remote Sensing .....	43
2.5 Field Carbon Stock Measurement .....	45
2.5.1 Seagrass Field Carbon Stock Measurement .....	45
2.5.2 Mangroves Field Carbon Stock Measurement .....	46
2.6 Study Area Rationale .....	50
2.7 Summarized of Findings and Assumptions Relevant to The Study .....	55
2.7.1 Findings and Assumptions for Developing Remote Sensing Model for Seagrass Carbon Stock Mapping .....	55
2.7.2 Findings and Assumptions for Developing Remote Sensing Model for Mangrove Carbon Stock Mapping .....	56
2.8 Theoretical Framework for Seagrass and Mangrove Carbon Stock Mapping .....	57
CHAPTER III. METHODS .....	62
3.1 Methods for Seagrass Carbon Stock Mapping .....	63
3.1.1 Seagrass Sampling Design and Field survey .....	63
3.1.2 Image Data .....	66
3.1.3 Image Corrections .....	67
3.1.4 Seagrass Species Composition Mapping .....	71
3.1.5 Seagrass Carbon Stock Mapping .....	72
3.2. Methods for Mangrove Carbon Stock Mapping .....	75
3.2.1 Mangrove Sampling Design and Field Survey .....	75
3.2.2 Image Corrections .....	76
3.2.3 Mangrove Species Composition Mapping .....	76
3.2.4 Mangrove Carbon Stock Mapping .....	77
3.3 Formulation of Remote Sensing Model for Carbon Stock Mapping .....	79
3.4 Research Limitations .....	82
3.5 Glossary .....	83
CHAPTER IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: SEAGRASS MAPPING .....	85
4.1 Geometric Correction .....	85



4.2 Radiometric Corrections.....	85
4.2.1 Atmospheric Path Radiance Correction.....	86
4.2.1.1 Worldview-2 .....	86
4.2.1.2 ALOS AVNIR-2 .....	87
4.2.1.3 ASTER VNIR.....	87
4.2.1.4 Landsat 5 TM and Landsat 7 ETM+ .....	88
4.2.2 Sunlint Correction.....	89
4.2.2.1 Worldview-2 .....	89
4.2.2.2 ALOS AVNIR-2 .....	90
4.2.2.3 ASTER VNIR .....	91
4.2.2.4 Landsat 5 TM.....	92
4.2.3 Water Column Corrections .....	93
4.2.3.1 Depth Invariant Bottom Index (DII) .....	93
4.2.3.2 Inversed Model (IM) .....	99
4.3 Principle Component Analysis (PCA) .....	108
4.4 Seagrass Field Survey .....	110
4.5 Seagrass Biophysical Properties Analysis .....	115
4.5.1 Seagrass Biophysical Properties Analysis at Community Level.....	115
4.5.2 Seagrass Biophysical Properties Analysis at Species Level .....	117
4.6 Seagrass Species Composition Mapping.....	122
4.7 Seagrass Biophysical Properties Mapping .....	126
4.7.1 Worldview-2.....	128
4.7.2 ALOS AVNIR-2.....	129
4.7.3 ASTER VNIR.....	132
4.7.4 Landsat 5 TM .....	135
4.7.5 Seagrass Below Ground Carbon Stock Mapping .....	139
4.7.5.1 Seagrass Below Ground Parts Carbon Stock Mapping .....	139
4.7.5.2 Seagrass Sediment Carbon Stock.....	143
4.7.6 Overall Discussion of Seagrass Mapping .....	146
4.7.6.1 Seagrass Biophysical Properties Mapping at Community Level.....	147
4.7.6.2 Seagrass Biophysical Properties Mapping at Species Level .....	151
4.7.6.3 Seagrass Above Ground Carbon Stock Mapping .....	156
4.7.6.4 Seagrass Below Ground Carbon Stock Mapping.....	159



4.7.6.5 Seagrass Carbon Stock Spatial Distribution .....	160
4.7.6.6 The Effective Map Scale and Image Input .....	163
CHAPTER V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: MANGROVES MAPPING .....	170
5.1 Image Corrections .....	170
5.2 Mangrove Distribution Mapping .....	170
5.3 Image Transformations.....	171
5.3.1 Vegetation Index.....	171
5.3.2 Principle Component Analysis (PCA).....	174
5.3.3 Linear Spectral Unmixing (LSU) .....	175
5.3.4 Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM) .....	176
5.4 Mangroves Field Survey.....	177
5.5 Mangrove Species Mapping .....	179
5.6 Mangroves Carbon Stock Mapping .....	183
5.6.1 Vegetation Index.....	183
5.6.2 Principle Component Analysis (PCA).....	191
5.6.3 Linear Spectral Unmixing (LSU) and Spectral Angle Mapper (SAM).....	195
5.6.4. Mangrove Soil Carbon Stock .....	198
5.6.4.1 Mangrove Soil Carbon Stock Analysis .....	198
5.6.4.2 Mangrove Soil Carbon Stock Modeling.....	206
5.7 Overall Discussion of Mangroves Carbon Stock Mapping.....	210
5.7.1 Methods Comparison.....	210
5.7.2 Images Comparison .....	213
5.7.3 Mangroves Carbon Stock Spatial Distribution.....	215
5.7.4 The Effective Mapping Scale .....	216
5.7.4.1 Landscape Configuration of Mangroves in the Area of Interest.....	216
5.7.4.2 User Needs.....	216
CHAPTER VI. REMOTE SENSING MODEL FOR SEAGRASS AND CARBON STOCK MAPPING .....	220
6.1 Framework of Seagrass Carbon Stock Mapping .....	220
6.2 Technical Framework of Seagrass Carbon Stock Mapping .....	228
6.2.1 Inputs.....	228
6.2.1.1 Image .....	228
6.2.1.2 Field Data.....	229



6.2.2 Methods.....	232
6.2.2.1 Field Survey .....	232
6.2.2.2 Image Processing .....	235
6.2.3 Accuracy Assessments.....	242
6.3 Framework of Mangroves Carbon Stock Mapping.....	246
6.4 Technical Framework for Mangrove Carbon Stock Mapping.....	254
6.4.1 Inputs.....	254
6.4.1.1 Image .....	254
6.4.1.2 Field Data.....	255
6.4.1.3 Ground Control Point (GCP) Data .....	255
6.4.1.4 Mangroves Map .....	255
6.4.1.5 Mangroves Field Data .....	255
6.4.2 Methods.....	257
6.4.2.1 Field Survey .....	257
6.4.2.2 Image Processing .....	258
6.4.3 Accuracy Assessments.....	264
6. 5 Remote Sensing Model for Seagrass and Mangroves Carbon Stock Mapping .....	266
CHAPTER VII. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	278
7.1 Conclusions.....	278
7.2 Recommendations .....	281
References .....	283
APPENDIX .....	295



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. 1. Carbon burial rate ( $\text{gC}/\text{m}^2/\text{year}$ ) of green and blue carbon sinks .....	3
Figure 2. 1. Dense seagrass cover ( <i>Enhalus acoroides</i> ) of Kemujan Island. ....	16
Figure 2. 2. Mangroves ( <i>Rhizophora apiculata Blume</i> ) in the strait between Kemujan and Karimunjawa Island .....	18
Figure 2. 3. The study area for developing remote sensing model for seagrass and mangroves carbon stock mapping. ....	54
Figure 2. 4 Theoretical framework for the development of model for seagrass and mangroves carbon stock mapping .....	61
Figure 3. 1 Flowchart of seagrass species composition mapping .....	71
Figure 3. 2. Flowchart of seagrass carbon stock mapping using remote sensing .....	74
Figure 3. 3 Flowchart of mangroves species composition mapping .....	77
Figure 3. 4. Illustration on how LSU technique works .....	78
Figure 3. 5. Illustration on how SAM technique works by measuring the spectral angle in radians between reference spectra and any given pixel in the image.....	78
Figure 3. 6. Flowchart of mangroves carbon stock mapping using remote sensing .....	79
Figure 3. 7. Conceptual illustration for seagrass and mangroves carbon stock mapping model development .....	82
Figure 4. 1 The effect of converting DN into surface reflectance of Worldview-2 image.....	86
Figure 4. 2. The effect of converting DN into surface reflectance of ALOS image. ....	87
Figure 4. 3. The effect of converting DN into surface reflectance of ASTER image.....	88
Figure 4. 4. The effect of converting DN into surface reflectance of Landsat 5 TM image. ....	89
Figure 4. 5. Comparison of Worldview-2 image before (left) and after sunglint correction (right).90	
Figure 4. 6. Comparison of ALOS image before (left) and after sunglint correction (right).. ....	91
Figure 4. 7. Comparison of ASTER image before (left) and after sunglint correction (right) .....	92
Figure 4.8. Comparison of Landsat 5 TM image before (left) and after sunglint correction (right). .....	93
Figure 4. 9. Comparison of Worldview-2 image before (left) and after water column correction (right) .....	95
Figure 4. 10. Comparisof ALOS AVNIR-2 image before (left) and after water column correction (right).. ....	96
Figure 4. 11. Comparisof ASTER VNIR image before (left) and after water column correction (right) d.....	97
Figure 4. 12. Comparisof Worldview-2 image before (left) and after water column correction (right). ....	99
Figure 4. 13. Worldview-2 regression analysis for bathymetry mapping on each depth ranges.. .	101
Figure 4. 14. Bathymetry map modeled from Worldview-2 image. ....	102
Figure 4. 15. ASTER regression analysis for bathymetry mapping using the ratio of log- transformed green and red band ( $\text{SE} = 0.94 \text{ m}$ , $n = 86$ ).....	102
Figure 4. 16. Bathymetry map modeled from ASTER image .....	103
Figure 4. 17. Landsat 5 TM regression analysis for bathymetry mapping using the ratio of log- transformed blue and green band ( $\text{SE} = 3.33 \text{ m}$ , $n = 143$ ).....	104
Figure 4. 18. Bathymetry map modeled from Landsat 5 TM image.....	104
Figure 4. 19. ALOS regression analysis for bathymetry mapping using the ratio of log-transformed green and red band ( $\text{SE} = 1.35 \text{ m}$ , $n = 110$ ) and the derived bathymetry map. ....	105



Figure 4. 20. Worldview-2 inversed cyan, blue, and green band from left to right (top), and yellow, red, and red-edge band from left to right (bottom). .....	107
Figure 4. 21. ALOS inversed blue, green, and red band from left to right respectively .....	107
Figure 4. 22. ASTER inversed green and red band from left to right respectively.....	107
Figure 4. 23. Landsat TM inversed blue, green, and red band from left to right respectively .....	108
Figure 4. 24. The results of PCA transformation of benthic habitat on Worldview-2. ....	109
Figure 4. 25. The result of PCA transformation benthic habitat on of ALOS AVNIR-2.....	109
Figure 4. 26. The result of PCA transformation benthic habitat on of ASTER VNIR .....	109
Figure 4. 27. The results of PCA transformation of benthic habitat on Landsat TM.....	110
Figure 4. 28. The quadrat used in seagrass field survey overlying on top of <i>Enhalus acoroides</i> (Ea) dominated area .....	110
Figure 4. 29. Spatial distribution of seagrass samples used for seagrass biophysical properties mapping.....	114
Figure 4. 30. Regression analysis of seagrass PC and LAI, PC and SC, and PC and AGC at community level .....	115
Figure 4. 31. Regression analysis of seagrass SD and LAI, SD and SC, and SD and AGC at community level .....	116
Figure 4. 32. Regression analysis between seagrass LAI and SC, and AGC at community level. ....	117
Figure 4. 33 Bi-plot between <i>Enhalus acoroides</i> PC and its AGC .....	118
Figure 4. 34. Bi-plot between <i>Thalassia hemprichii</i> PC and its AGC .....	119
Figure 4. 35. Bi-plot between <i>Halodule univervis</i> and <i>Cymodocea rotundata</i> PC and their AGC .....	120
Figure 4. 36. Bi-plot between <i>Halophila ovalis</i> and <i>Syringodium isoetifolium</i> PC and their AGC .....	121
Figure 4. 37 The three seagrass classes used in seagrass mapping; (a) <i>Ea+</i> , (b) <i>Th,Cr</i> , and (c) <i>Ea</i> . .....	124
Figure 4. 38. Seagrass species composition map with 51.85% accuracy derived from Worldview-2 .....	125
Figure 4. 39. Example of seagrass <i>ThCr</i> mask derived from life-form classification result using Worldview-2.....	126
Figure 4. 40. Accuracy comparison of seagrass biophysical properties mapping at community level derived from various methods applied on Worldview-2.....	129
Figure 4. 41. Accuracy comparison of seagrass biophysical properties mapping at community level derived from various methods applied on ALOS .....	130
Figure 4. 42 Accuracy comparison between methods for <i>Ea</i> , <i>Ea+</i> , and <i>Th,Cr</i> biophysical properties mapping using ALOS.....	131
Figure 4. 43. Accuracy comparison of seagrass biophysical properties mapping at community level derived from various methods applied on ASTER.....	134
Figure 4. 44 Accuracy comparison between methods for <i>Ea</i> , <i>Ea+</i> , and <i>Th,Cr</i> biophysical properties mapping using ASTER .....	135
Figure 4. 45. Accuracy comparison of seagrass biophysical properties mapping at community level derived from various methods applied on Landsat 5 TM.....	136
Figure 4. 46. Accuracy comparison between methods for <i>Ea</i> , <i>Ea+</i> , and <i>ThCr</i> biophysical properties mapping using Landsat 5 TM.....	138
Figure 4. 47. Regression analysis between seagrass AGC and BGC regardless the species.....	141
Figure 4. 48. Regression analysis between Worldview-2 IM-PC2, ALOS IM-PC1, ASTER PC, Landsat 5 TM DII12 and seagrass BGC. ....	142



Figure 4. 49 Regression analysis and profile comparison between seagrass AGC, BGC, and the corresponding sediment carbon stock.....	144
Figure 4. 50. Two dominant substrates of seagrass bed in the study area.....	144
Figure 4. 51. Relationship between seagrass sediment carbon stock and image pixel values at various spatial resolutions.....	146
Figure 4. 52. Accuracy comparison between images for seagrass biophysical properties mapping at community level.....	147
Figure 4. 53 . Accuracy comparison between methods for seagrass biophysical properties mapping at community level.....	148
Figure 4. 54. Accuracy comparison between methods used for seagrass <i>Ea</i> class biophysical properties mapping.....	152
Figure 4. 55 Accuracy comparison between images for <i>Ea</i> class biophysical properties mapping.....	152
Figure 4. 56 Accuracy comparison between images for <i>Ea+</i> class biophysical properties mapping.....	153
Figure 4. 57. Accuracy comparison between methods used for seagrass <i>Ea+</i> class biophysical properties mapping.....	154
Figure 4. 58 Accuracy comparison between methods used for seagrass <i>ThCr</i> class biophysical properties mapping.....	155
Figure 4. 59. Accuracy comparison between images for <i>ThCr</i> class biophysical properties mapping.....	155
Figure 4. 60. Accuracy comparison of seagrass AGC mapping modeling using several remote sensing data and methods.....	156
Figure 4. 61. Seagrass AGC mapping using several remote sensing data and methods at life-form level.....	157
Figure 4. 74 Comparison of seagrass carbon stock information precision at 1:250,000.....	165
Figure 4. 75. Comparison of seagrass carbon stock information precision at 1:100,000.....	166
Figure 4. 76. Comparison of seagrass carbon stock information precision at 1:50,000.....	167
Figure 4. 77. Comparison of seagrass carbon stock information precision at 1:25,000.....	167
Figure 4. 78 Comparison of seagrass carbon stock information precision at 1:10,000.....	168
Figure 5. 1 Mangrove appearance in various color composite.....	170
Figure 5. 2 Various vegetation indices derived from ALOS image.....	172
Figure 5. 3 Various vegetation indices derived from ASTER image.....	173
Figure 5. 4 Various vegetation indices derived from Landsat 7 ETM+.....	174
Figure 5. 5 The result of PCA transformation of mangroves forest on ALOS image.....	175
Figure 5. 6 The result of PCA transformation of mangroves forest on ASTER image.....	175
Figure 5. 7 The result of PCA transformation of mangroves forest on Landsat 7 ETM+.....	175
Figure 5. 8 Image of mangroves fraction derived from LSU analysis.....	176
Figure 5. 9 Image of mangrove fraction derived from SAM analysis.....	177
Figure 5. 10 Mangroves samples distribution based on sampling mapping unit, overlaid on standard false color composite of ALOS.....	178
Figure 5. 11 Area extent comparison of each mangrove species composition class.....	182
Figure 5. 12 The result of vegetation index-based mangroves carbon stock mapping modeled using ALOS at different levels of radiometric corrections.....	185
Figure 5. 13 Result of vegetation index-based mangrove carbon stock mapping modeled using Landsat 7 ETM+ at different level of radiometric corrections.....	186



Figure 5. 14 The performance of SR and NDVI for mangrove carbon mapping at various radiometric levels.....	187
Figure 5. 15 The performance of each vegetation index at various radiometric correction levels	188
Figure 5. 16 The R <sup>2</sup> comparison between images for mangroves AGC and BGC modeling using vegetation index.....	189
Figure 5. 17 The R <sup>2</sup> comparison between images for mangroves AGC modeling using PC bands .....	191
Figure 5. 18 The R <sup>2</sup> comparison between images for mangrove BGC modeling using PC bands.	192
Figure 5. 19 Mangroves carbon stock modeling using PC bands of ALOS image at various radiometric correction levels. ....	193
Figure 5. 20 Mangroves carbon stock modeling using PC bands of Landsat 7 ETM+ at various radiometric correction levels .....	193
Figure 5. 21 The R <sup>2</sup> comparison between images for mangroves AGC and BGC modeling using LSU.....	195
Figure 5. 22 The R <sup>2</sup> comparison between images for mangroves AGC and BGC modeling using SAM.....	196
Figure 5. 23 Mangroves AGC and BGC modeling using LSU and SAM analysis on ALOS data at various radiometric correction levels.....	197
Figure 5. 24 Mangroves carbon stock modeling using LSU and SAM technique on Landsat ETM+ data at various radiometric correction levels. ....	197
Figure 5. 25 Soil samples distribution within mangrove forest.....	199
Figure 5. 26 Soil carbon stock data plotted against depth on each sample location. ....	201
Figure 5. 27 Regression analysis depicts a very strong and significant agreement between soil carbon stock and soil depths.....	202
Figure 5. 28 (a) Regression analysis between soil carbon stock and distances from shoreline. There was significant relationship between the two. (b) Profile of soil carbon stock at each depth range with the distance from the shoreline. ....	203
Figure 5. 29 Profile and regression analysis of soil carbon stock with the corresponding mangroves carbon stock.....	205
Figure 5. 30 Resume of soil carbon stock modeling using ALOS transformation.. ....	206
Figure 5. 31 Resume of soil carbon stock modeling using ASTER transformation.. ....	207
Figure 5. 32 The SE of ASTER soil carbon stock modeling using LSU and PC2. ....	207
Figure 5. 33 Resume of soil carbon stock modeling using Landsat transformation. ....	208
Figure 5. 34 The accuracy comparison between methods for mangroves carbon stock mapping.	212
Figure 5. 35 Comparison between images for the maximum accuracy of mangroves carbon stock map .....	214
Figure 5. 36 Comparison of mangroves carbon stock information precision at 1:250,000 derived from (a) ALOS, and (b) Landsat 7 ETM+.....	217
Figure 5. 37 Comparison of mangroves carbon stock information precision at 1:100,000 derived from (a) ALOS, and (b) Landsat 7 ETM+.....	217
Figure 5. 38 Comparison of mangroves carbon stock information precision at 1:50,000 derived from (a) ALOS, and (b) Landsat 7 ETM+.....	218
Figure 5. 39 Comparison of mangroves carbon stock information precision at 1:25,000 derived from (a) ALOS, and (b) Landsat 7 ETM+.....	218
Figure 6. 1 Framework showing the logic on how remote sensing can be used to explain the variation of seagrass carbon stock .....	227
Figure 6. 2 Accuracy comparison of seagrass PC mapping using various inputs.....	239



Figure 6. 3 Accuracy comparison of seagrass LAI mapping using various inputs.....	240
Figure 6. 4 Accuracy comparison of seagrass SC mapping using various inputs.....	240
Figure 6. 5 Accuracy comparison of seagrass AGC mapping using various inputs.....	241
Figure 6. 6 Accuracy comparison of seagrass sediment carbon stock mapping using various inputs..	241
.....	241
Figure 6. 7 Recommended tehcnical framework of seagrass carbon stock mapping.....	245
Figure 6. 8 Logical framework of the relationship between remote sensing wavelengths, mangroves reflecting tissue (LAI) and mangrove biomass and carbon stock.....	250
Figure 6. 9 Framework showing the logic behind the capability of remote sensing to explain the variation of mangroves carbon stock .....	253
Figure 6. 10 Accuracy comparison of mangroves carbon stock mapping using ALOS and Landsat 5 TM image at DN level using different inputs.....	260
Figure 6. 11 Accuracy comparison of mangroves carbon stock mapping using ALOS and Landsat 5 TM image at radiance level using different inputs.....	260
Figure 6. 12 Accuracy comparison of mangroves carbon stock mapping using ALOS and Landsat 5 TM image at TOA reflectance level using different inputs.....	260
Figure 6. 13 Accuracy comparison of mangroves carbon stock mapping using ALOS and Landsat 5 TM image at surface reflectance level using different inputs.....	261
Figure 6. 14 Accuracy comparison of vegetation index for mangroves carbon stock mapping using ALOS image.....	261
Figure 6. 15 Accuracy comparison of vegetation index for mangroves carbon stock mapping using Landsat image.....	262
Figure 6. 16 Accuracy comparison of PC bands for mangroves carbon stock mapping using ALOS image.....	262
Figure 6. 17 Accuracy comparison of PC bands for mangroves carbon stock mapping using Landsat image.....	262
Figure 6. 18 Accuracy comparison of mangroves image fraction derived from LSU for mangroves carbon stock mapping using ALOS and Landsat image.....	263
Figure 6. 19 Accuracy comparison of mangroves image fraction derived from SAM for mangroves carbon stock mapping using ALOS and Landsat image.....	263
Figure 6. 20 Recommended Tehcnical framework of mangrove carbon stock mapping.....	265
Figure 6. 21 Remote sensing model for seagrass and mangrove carbon stock mapping .....	267
Figure 6. 22 Comparison of mean of carbon stock between carbon sinks .....	277



## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. 1. The rate of carbon sequestration of green and blue carbon sinks (seagrass meadows and <i>Posidonia oceanica</i> ).....	2
Table 1. 2. Comparison of organic carbon stored in blue carbon sinks .....	2
Table 2. 1. The average cost of ecosystem's recovery activities .....	17
Table 2. 2 Resume of vegetation indexes used to perform mangroves biophysical properties mapping.....	38
Table 2. 3 The available species-specific allometric equation for mangrove found in Karimunjawa Island.....	48
Table 2. 4 List of wood density ( $\rho$ ) for mangrove found on Karimunjawa Island .....	49
Table 2. 5. List of seagrass species found on Karimunjawa Islands .....	51
Table 2. 6 List of mangroves species possibly found on Karimunjawa Islands .....	52
Table 2. 7. Zonation of Karimunjawa National Park (SK Dirjen PHKA No.SK.28/TV-SET/2012) .....	53
Table 3. 1. Resume of thematic information used to create seagrass sampling mapping units .....	64
Table 3. 2. Basic information of satellite image used for seagrass and mangrove mapping .....	66
Table 4. 1 Formula for removing sunglint from visible bands of Worldview-2.....	89
Table 4. 2. Formula for removing sunglint from visible bands of ALOS AVNIR-2.....	90
Table 4. 3. Formula for removing sunglint from visible bands of ASTER VNIR.....	91
Table 4.4. Formula for removing sunglint from visible bands of Landsat 5 TM .....	92
Table 4. 5. Summary of Worldview-2 parameters required for water column correction via Lyzenga method .....	94
Table 4. 6. Formula for obtaining Worldview-2 DII .....	94
Table 4. 7. Summary of ALOS AVNIR-2 parameters required for water column correction via Lyzenga method .....	95
Table 4. 8. Formula for obtaining ALOS AVNIR-2 DII.....	96
Table 4. 9. Summary of ASTER VNIR parameters required for water column correction via Lyzenga method .....	97
Table 4. 10. Formula for obtaining ASTER VNIR DII.....	97
Table 4. 11. Summary of Landsat 5 TM parameters required for water column correction via Lyzenga method .....	98
Table 4. 12. Formula for obtaining Landsat 5 TM DII .....	98
Table 4. 13. The value of $k$ ( $m^{-1}$ ) used in this study .....	100
Table 4. 14. Resume of bathymetry modeling using Worldview-2 .....	101
Table 4. 15. The value of sunglint-free pure water reflectance of each image.....	106
Table 4. 16. Resume of laboratory analysis for (a) seagrass shoot and (b) seagrass root and rhizomes.....	112
Table 4. 17. Analysis of <i>Enhalus acoroides</i> biophysical properties .....	118
Table 4. 18 Analysis of <i>Thalassia hemprichii</i> biophysical properties .....	119
Table 4. 19. Analysis of <i>Cymodocea rotundata</i> and <i>Halodule uninervis</i> biophysical analysis.....	120
Table 4. 20. Analysis of <i>Syringodium isoetifolium</i> and <i>Halophila ovalis</i> biophysical properties .	121
Table 4. 21. Resume of regression analysis between seagrass PC and other seagrass biophysical properties.....	121
Table 4. 22. Class descriptor of at life-form level seagrass classification scheme .....	124



Table 4. 23 The accuracy of seagrass life-form map derived from DII-PC bands of Worldview-2 image.....	125
Table 4. 24 Example of seagrass biophysical properties measurement on each sample.....	127
Table 4. 25 Resume of seagrass biophysical mapping at community level using several bands and image transformations of Worldview-2 .....	128
Table 4. 26. Resume of seagrass biophysical mapping at community level using ALOS .....	132
Table 4. 27 Resume of seagrass biophysical mapping at community level using several bands and image transformations of ASTER.....	133
Table 4. 28 Resume of seagrass biophysical mapping at community level using several bands and image transformations of Landsat 5 TM.....	136
Table 4. 29 Relationship between above and below ground biomass of seagrass.....	139
Table 4. 30. Ratio of above to below ground parts of seagrass biomass and carbon stock of species dominantly found in the study area.....	140
Table 4. 31. Total seagrass BGC in Karimunjawa and Kemujan Island estimated from Worldview-2, ALOS, ASTER, and Landsat TM. ....	143
Table 4. 32. Seagrass sediment carbon stock data based on laboratory measurement.....	145
Table 4. 33 Accuracy comparison of seagrass biophysical properties mapping .....	150
Table 4. 36. Summary of seagrass biophysical properties mapping requirement.....	164
Table 5. 1 Example of mangroves field data used to perform carbon stock mapping .....	179
Table 5. 2. Accuracy assessment of mangrove species composition classification results. The best result is highlighted in yellow.....	181
Table 5. 3 The upper range of 95% confidence intervals for the $R^2$ of ALOS vegetation index at different level of radiometric corrections .....	184
Table 5. 4 The upper range of 95% confidence intervals for the $R^2$ of Landsat 7 ETM+ vegetation index at different level of radiometric corrections.....	184
Table 5. 5 Summary of mangroves carbon stock mapping using vegetation Index.....	190
Table 5. 6 Resume of mangroves carbon stock mapping using PC bands .....	194
Table 5. 7. Resume of mangroves carbon stock mapping using LSU and SAM.....	198
Table 5. 8 Laboratory analysis of soil bulk density and C-org (%) of each soil sample.....	200
Table 6. 1 The effective output scale for several remote sensing images .....	228
Table 6. 2 Geolocal error of several satellite images .....	230
Table 6. 3 Resume of atmospheric correction procedures .....	236
Table 6. 4 Compilation of $k$ for various spectral bands.....	237
Table 6. 5 SE for measuring the absolute error of seagrass carbon map.....	243
Table 6. 6 Confusion matrix to assess the accuracy of seagrass carbon stock map after data categorization .....	244
Table 6. 7 The ranges of expected accuracy of seagrass biophysical properties mapping.....	268
Table 6. 8 The ranges of expected accuracy of mangroves carbon stock mapping .....	269
Table 6. 9 Seagrass carbon stock for different bio-geographical regions .....	270
Table 6. 10 Living biomass carbon stock of seagrass habitats in Karimunjawa and Kemujan Island estimated from the remote sensing model.....	270
Table 6. 11 Sediment carbon stock of seagrass habitats in Karimunjawa and Kemujan Island estimated from the remote sensing model.....	272
Table 6. 12 Resume of seagrass habitats carbon stock estimate.....	273
Table 6. 13 Mangrove carbon stock of Karimunjawa and Kemujan Island estimated from the remote sensing model. Soil carbon stock is measured up to the depth of 30 cm.....	274
Table 6. 14 Resume of mangrove habitats carbon stock estimate. ....	275