

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ashton, A. 1985. Does Consensus Imply Accuracy in Accounting Studies of Decision Making?. *The Accounting Review*: 173-185.
- Baldacchino, K., Armistead, C., & Parker, D. 2002. Information Overload: It's Time to Face the Problem. *Management Services*: 18-19.
- Banker, R., Potter, G., & Srinivasan, D. 2000. An Empirical Investigation of an Incentive Plan That Includes Nonfinancial Performance Measures. *The Accounting Review*: 65-92.
- Bazerman, M., & Moore, D. 2009. *Judgment in Managerial Decision Making*. New Caledonia: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Bolboaca, S., Jantschi, L., Setras, A., Setras, R., & Pamfil, D. 2011. Pearson-Fisher Chi-Square Statistic Revisited. *Information*: 528-545.
- Dilla, W., & Steinbart, P. 2005a. Relative Weighting of Common and Unique Balanced Scorecard Measures by Knowledgeable Decision Makers. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*: 43-55.
- Dilla, W., & Steinbart, P. 2005b. The Effects of Alternative Supplementary Display Formats on Balanced Scorecard Judgments. *International Journal of Accounting Information System*: 159-176.
- Ding, S., & Beaulieu, P. 2011. The Role of Financial Incentives in Balanced Scorecard-Based Performance Evaluations: Correcting Mood Congruency Bias. *Journal of Accounting Research*: 1223-1247.
- Eppler, M., & Mengis, J. 2004. The Concept of Information Overload: A review of Literature from Organization Science, Accounting, Marketing, MIS, and Related Disciplines. *The Information Society*: 325-344.
- Fennema, M. 1993. Anticipations of Effort and Accuracy in Multiattribute Choice. Disertasi. Universitas Illinois.
- Gudono. 2014. *Analisis Data Multivariat*. Yogyakarta: BPFE.
- Hoque, Z. 2014. 20 Years of Studies on the Balanced Scorecard: Trends, Accomplishments, Gaps and Opportunities for Future Research. *The British Accounting Review*: 33-59.

- Hwang, M., & Lin, J. 1998. Information Dimension, Information Overload and Decision Quality. *Journal of Information Science*: 213-218.
- Itner, C., Larcker, D., & Meyer, M. 2003. Subjectivity and the Weighting of Performance Measures: Evidence from a Balanced Scorecard. *The Accounting Review*: 725-758.
- Jarvenpa, S. 1990. Graphic Displays in Decision Making – the Visual Salience Effect. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*: 247-262.
- Kaplan, R., & Norton, D. 1992. The Balanced Scorecard-Measures that Drives Performance. *Harvard Business Review*: 107-121.
- Kaplan, R., & Norton, D. 1993. Putting the Balanced Scorecard to Work. *Harvard Business Review*: 134-147.
- Kaplan, R., & Norton, D. 1996. *The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy into Action*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kaplan, R., & Norton, D. 2001. *The Strategy-Focused Organization: How Balanced Scorecard Companies Thrive in the New Business Environment*. Boston: Harvard Business Press.
- Klentmuntz, D., & Schkade, D. 1993. Information Display and Decision Processes. *Psychological Science*: 221-227.
- Libby, R., & Lewis, B. 1982. Human Information Processing Research in Accounting: The State of the Art in 1982. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*: 231-285.
- Libby, T., Salterio, S., & Webb, A. 2004. The Balanced Scorecard: The Effects of Assurance and Process Accountability on Managerial Judgment. *The Accounting Review*: 1075-1094.
- Lipe, M. G., & Salterio, S. 2000. The Balanced Scorecard: Judgmental Effects of Common and Unique Performance Measures. *The Accounting Review*: 283-98.
- Martinson, M., Davison, R., & Tse, D. 1999. The Balanced Scorecard: A Foundation for the Strategic Management of Information Systems. *Decision Support Systems*: 71-88.
- McClave, J., Benson, P., & Sinich, T. 2000. *A First Course in Business Statistics 8<sup>th</sup> Edition*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall

- Nahartyo, E., & Utami, I. 2016. *Panduan Praktis Riset Eksperimen*. Jakarta: Indeks.
- Neumann, B., Roberts, M., & Cauvin, E. 2010. Information Search Using the Balanced Scorecard: What Matters?. *The Journal of Corporate Accounting & Finance*: 61-66
- Niven, P. 2002. *Balanced Scorecard Step by Step: Maximizing Performance and Maintaining Results*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- O'Reilly, C. 1980. Individuals and Information Overload in Organizations: Is More Necessarily Better?. *Academy of Management Journal*: 684-696.
- Rigby, D., & Bilodeau, D. "Management Tools and Trends 2013." Bain & Company survey, 2013. Website, diakses tanggal 18 Desember 2015 dari [http://www.bain.com/Images/BAIN\\_BRIEF\\_Management\\_Tools\\_2015.pdf](http://www.bain.com/Images/BAIN_BRIEF_Management_Tools_2015.pdf)
- Roberts, M., Albright, T., & Hibbets, A. 2004. Debiasing Balanced Scorecard Evaluations. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*: 75-88.
- Schaubroeck, J., & Muralidhar, K. 1991. A Meta-Analysis of the Relative Effects of Tabular and Graphic Display Formats on Decision Making Performance. *Human Performance*: 127-145.
- Swain, M., & Haka, S. 2000. Effects of Information Load on Capital Budgeting Decisions. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*: 171-198.
- Tversky, A., & Kahneman, D. 1974. Judgment Under Uncertainty: Heuristics and Biases. *Science*: 1124-1131.
- Umanath, N., & Vessey, I. 1994. Multiattribute Data Presentation and Human Judgment: A Cognitive Fit Perspective. *Decision Science*: 795-824.
- Utami, A. 2011. Pengaruh Keterlibatan Emosi Positif Berupa *Affective Trust* Dalam mengurangi Bias pengukuran umum Pada Evaluasi Kinerja *Balanced Scorecards*. Tesis Fakultas Ekonomika dan Bisnis Universitas Gadjah Mada Yogyakarta. 1-131.
- Vessey, I. 1991. Cognitive Fit: A Theory-Based Analysis of the Graphs Versus Tables Literature. *Decision Sciences*: 219-240.