



Bibliography

- (2004). *Architecture of the World Wide Web*. The World Wide Web Consortium. W3C Recommendation.
- Allwood, J. (2008). Some remarks on the relationship between the semantic and the pragmatic web. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on the Pragmatic Web: Innovating the Interactive Society*, New York, NY, USA. ACM.
- Austin, J. L. (1962). How to do things with words: The william james lectures delivered at harvard university in 1955.
- Baofu, P. (2012). *The Future of Post-Human Semantics: A Preface to a New Theory of Internality and Externality*. Cambridge Scholars Publisher.
- Bentahar, J., Moulin, B., Meyer, J.-J. C., and Lespérance, Y. (2007). A new logical semantics for agent communication. In *Computational Logic in Multi-Agent Systems*, pages 151–170. Springer.
- Berners-Lee, T. (1989). Information management: A proposal.
- Berners-Lee, T. (2006). Linked data-design issues.
- Berners-Lee, T., Hendler, J., and Lassila, O. (2001). The semantic web. *Scientific American Magazine*.
- Berners-Lee, T. and Shadbolt, N. (2006). The semantic web revisited. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 21(3):96–101.
- Boo, J., Tao, J., McGuinness, D. L., and Smart, P. (2010). Context representation for the semantic web. In *Web Science Conference*.



- Bouquet, P., Giunchiglia, F., Harmelen, F. V., Serafini, L., and Stuckenschmidt, H. (2003). C-owl: Contextualizing ontologies. In *The Semantic Web-ISWC 2003*, pages 164–179. Springer.
- Bussler, C., Fensel, D., and Maedche, A. (2002). A conceptual architecture for semantic web enabled web services. *ACM SIGMOD*, 31.
- Carabelea, C. and Boissier, O. (2006). Coordinating agents in organizations using social commitments. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 150(3):73–91.
- Castelfranchi, C. (1995). Commitments: From individual intentions to groups and organizations. In *ICMAS*, volume 95, pages 41–48.
- Chopra, A. K., Artikis, A., Bentahar, J., Colombetti, M., Dignum, F., Fornara, N., Jones, A. J. L., Singh, M. P., and Yolum, P. (2013). Research directions in agent communication. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, 4(2):20.
- Chopra, A. K. and Singh, M. P. (2013). Agent communication. *Multiagent Systems*, pages 101–141.
- Crystal, D. (2011). *Dictionary of linguistics and phonetics*, volume 30. John Wiley & Sons.
- Cutting, J. et al. (2005). *Pragmatics and discourse: A resource book for students*. Routledge.
- de Moor, A. (2005). Patterns for the pragmatic web. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference on Conceptual Structures (ICCS)*, Berlin. Springer Verlag.
- de Moor, A., Keeler, M., and Richmond, G. (2002). Towards a pragmatic web. In *Conceptual Structures: Integration and Interfaces, 10th International Conference on Conceptual Structures*, pages 235–249, Berlin. Springer Verlag.
- Delugach, H. S. (2006). Active knowledge systems for the pragmatic web. In *PragWeb*, pages 67–80.
- Dey, A. K. (2001). Understanding and using context. *Personal and ubiquitous computing*, 5(1):4–7.
- Doctorow, C. (2001). Metacrap: Putting the torch to seven straw-men of the metatopia. Retrieved June, 10:2003.
- Edmunds, A. and Morris, A. (2000). The problem of information overload in business organisations: a review of the literature. *International journal of information management*, 20(1):17–28.



- Fielding, R. T. (2000). *Architectural styles and the design of network-based software architectures*. PhD thesis, University of California, Irvine.
- Flores, F. and Ludlow, J. (1980). Doing and speaking in the office. In Fick, G. and Sprague, R., editors, *Decision Support Systems: Issues and Challenges*, pages 95–118. Pergamon Press, New York.
- Flores, F. and Winograd, T. (1986). Understanding computers and cognition. *Norwood, NJ, Ablex, USA*.
- Ford, B. (2004). Parsing expression grammars: a recognition-based syntactic foundation. In *ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, volume 39, pages 111–122. ACM.
- Fornara, N. and Colombetti, M. (2004). A commitment-based approach to agent communication. *Applied Artificial Intelligence*, 18(9-10):853–866.
- Fowler, M. and Lewis, J. (2014). Microservices. *ThoughtWorks*. <http://martinfowler.com/articles/microservices.html> [last accessed on February 17, 2015].
- Fraser, B. (2010). Pragmatic competence: The case of hedging. *New approaches to hedging*, 1534.
- Frey, J. G. (2008). The semiotic web: Don't forget the users amongst all the semantics. In *Proceedings of the First International Workshop on Understanding Web Evolution (WebEvolve2008)*, pages 44–46.
- Gangemi, A. and Mika, P. (2003). Understanding the semantic web through descriptions and situations. In *On The Move to Meaningful Internet Systems 2003: CoopIS, DOA, and ODBASE*, pages 689–706. Springer.
- Gerber, A., van der Merwe, A., and Barnard, A. (2007). Towards a semantic web layered architecture. In *Proceedings of the 25th conference on IASTED International Multi-Conference: Software Engineering, SE'07*, pages 353–362, Anaheim, CA, USA. ACTA Press.
- Gerber, A., van der Merwe, A., and Barnard, A. (2008). A functional semantic web architecture. In *Proceedings of the 5th European semantic web conference on The semantic web: research and applications, ESWC'08*, pages 273–287, Berlin, Heidelberg. Springer-Verlag.
- Good, B. M. and Wilkinson, M. D. (2007). Ontology engineering using volunteer labor. In *Proceedings of the 16th international conference on World Wide Web*, pages 1243–1244. ACM.
- Grau, B. C. (004). A possible simplification of the semantic web architecture. In *Proceedings of the 13th international conference on World Wide Web*.



- Guha, R., McCool, R., and Fikes, R. (2004). Contexts for the semantic web. In *The Semantic Web—ISWC 2004*, pages 32–46. Springer.
- Habermas, J. (1984). The theory of communicative action, volume i. *Boston: Beacon*.
- Heath, T. and Bizer, C. (2011). Linked data: Evolving the web into a global data space. *Synthesis lectures on the semantic web: theory and technology*, 1(1):1–136.
- Heitmann, B., Hayes, C., and Oren, E. (2009a). Towards a reference architecture for semantic web applications. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Web Science Conference*.
- Heitmann, B., Kinsella, S., Hayes, C., and Decker, S. (2009b). Implementing semantic web applications: reference architecture and challenges. In *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Semantic Web Enabled Software Engineering Workshop at International Semantic Web Conference*.
- Hemp, P. (2009). Death by information overload. *Harvard business review*, 87(9):83–89.
- Iannella, R. (2010). Semantic web architectures. Accessed: September 12, 2012, 13:02.
- Kappel, G., Proll, B., Reich, S., and Retschitzegger, W., editors (2003). *Web Engineering - The Discipline of Systematic Development of Web Applications*. John Wiley and Sons.
- Kifer, M., de Bruijn, J., Boley, H., and Fensel, D. (2005). A realistic architecture for the semantic web. In *Proceedings of the First international conference on Rules and Rule Markup Languages for the Semantic Web*.
- Kothari, C. R. (2012). *Research Methodology: Methods and Techniques*. New Age International.
- Lanthaler, M. (2013). Creating 3rd generation web apis with hydra. In *Proceedings of the 22nd international conference on World Wide Web companion*, pages 35–38. International World Wide Web Conferences Steering Committee.
- Lanthaler, M., Sporny, M., and Kellogg, G. (2014). JSON-LD 1.0. W3C recommendation, W3C. <http://www.w3.org/TR/2014/REC-json-ld-20140116/>.
- Lassila, O. (2005). Using the semantic web in mobile and ubiquitous computing. In *Proceedings of the 1st IFIP WG 12.5 Working Conference on Industrial Applications of Semantic Web*.



- Lin Liang, Wenge Rong, K. L. (2007). Intelligent agents for pragmatic web services. In *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Advanced Language Processing and Web Information Technology - ALPIT 2007*. IEEE Computer Society.
- Liu, K. and Benfell, A. (2011). Pragmatic web services: A semiotic viewpoint. In Cordeiro, J., Ranchordas, A., and Shishkov, B., editors, *Software and Data Technologies*, volume 50 of *Communications in Computer and Information Science*, pages 18–32. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- Madden, M., Lenhart, A., Duggan, M., Cortesi, S., and Gasser, U. (2013). *Teens and technology 2013*. Pew Internet & American Life Project Washington, DC.
- Maes, P. (1994). Agents that reduce work and information overload. *Communications of the ACM*, 37(7):30–40.
- Marshall, C. C. and Shipman, F. M. (2003). Which semantic web. In *HYPERTEXT '03 Proceedings of the fourteenth ACM conference on Hypertext and hypermedia*, pages 57–66, New York, NY, USA. ACM.
- Martin, B. and Ringham, F. (2000). *Dictionary of semiotics*. Bloomsbury Publishing.
- Nguyen, V., Deeds-Rubin, S., Tan, T., and Boehm, B. (2007). A sloc counting standard. In *COCOMO II Forum*, volume 2007.
- Paschke, A., Boley, H., and Kozlenkov, A. (2007). Rule responder: Ruleml-based agents for distributed collaboration on the pragmatic web. In *Proceedings of the International Conference in The Pragmatic Web*.
- Pasquier, P., Flores, R. A., and Chaib-draa, B. (2005). Modelling flexible social commitments and their enforcement. In *Engineering Societies in the Agents World V*, pages 139–151. Springer.
- Pietarinen, A.-V. (2003). The semantic + pragmatic web = the semiotic web. In Isafas, P. and Karmakar, N., editors, *Proceedings of the IADIS International Conference WWW/Internet*, pages 981–984. IADIS Press.
- PostNord (2015). E-commerce in europe 2015.
- Razafimbelo, T., Polovina, S., and Hill, R. (2006). Can the pragmatic web assist search engine optimisation? In *PragWeb 2006: Proceedings of the First International Conference on the Pragmatic Web, 21-23 September 2006, Stuttgart, Germany*, pages 81–96.



- Repenning, A. and Sullivan, J. (2003). The pragmatic web: Agent-based multi-modal web interaction with no browser in sight. In *Human-Computer Interaction-INTERACT'03*, pages 212–219.
- Rossi, G., Pastor, O., Schwabe, D., and Olsina, L., editors (2008). *Web Engineering: Modelling and Implementing Web Applications*. Springer-Verlag, London.
- Schilit, B., Adams, N., and Want, R. (1994). Context-aware computing applications. In *Mobile Computing Systems and Applications, 1994. WMCSA 1994. First Workshop on*, pages 85–90. IEEE.
- Schoop, M., de Moor, A., and Dietz, J. L. G. (2006). The pragmatic web: A manifesto. *Communications of the ACM*, 49(5):75–76.
- Searle, J. R. (1969). *Speech Acts: An Essay in the Philosophy of Language*. Cambridge university press.
- Searle, J. R. (1975). A taxonomy of illocutionary acts. In Günderson, K., editor, *Language, Mind, and Knowledge, (Minneapolis Studies in the Philosophy of Science, vol. 7)*, pages 344–369. University of Minneapolis Press.
- Searle, J. R. and Vanderveken, D. (1985). *Foundations of Illocutionary Logic*. CUP Archive.
- Shannen, V. (2006). A “more revolutionary” web. *New York Times*.
- Sickinger, P. and Schneider, K. P. (2015). Pragmatic competence and the cefr: pragmatic profiling as a link between theory and language use. *Linguistica*, 54(1):113–127.
- Simperl, E., Bürger, T., Hangl, S., Wörgl, S., and Popov, I. (2012). Ontocom: A reliable cost estimation method for ontology development projects. *Web Semantic: Science, Services and Agents on the World Wide Web*, 16:1–16.
- Simperl, E. P. B., Tempich, C., and Sure, Y. (2006). Ontocom: A cost estimation model for ontology engineering. In *The Semantic Web - ISWC 2006, 5th International Semantic Web Conference, ISWC 2006, Athens, GA, USA, November 5-9, 2006, Proceedings*, pages 625–639.
- Singh, M. P. (1999). An ontology for commitments in multiagent systems. *Artificial intelligence and law*, 7(1):97–113.
- Singh, M. P. (2002a). The pragmatic web. *IEEE Internet Computing*, (3):4–5.
- Singh, M. P. (2002b). The pragmatic web: Preliminary thoughts. In *Proc. of the NSF-OntoWeb Workshop on Database and Information Systems Research for Semantic Web and Enterprises*, pages 82–90.



- Singh, M. P. (2012). Commitments in multiagent systems: Some history, some confusions, some controversies, some prospects. *The Goals of Cognition*. College Publications.
- Sowa, J. F. (2000). Ontology, metadata, and semiotics. In *Conceptual structures: Logical, linguistic, and computational issues*, pages 55–81. Springer.
- Stalker, I. D. and Mehandjiev, N. (2006). A devolved ontology model for the pragmatic web. In *PragWeb*, pages 38–52.
- Stoermer, H. (2006). Introducing context into semantic web knowledge bases. *CAiSE DC*.
- Thones, J. (2015). Microservices. *Software, IEEE*, 32(1):116–116.
- Toulmin, S. E. (2003). *The uses of argument*. Cambridge University Press.
- Twitchell, D. P., Adkins, M., Nunamaker, J. F., and Burgoon, J. K. (2004). Using speech act theory to model conversations for automated classification and retrieval. In *Proceedings of the International Working Conference Language Action Perspective Communication Modelling (LAP 2004)*, pages 121–130.
- UNCTAD (2015). Information economy report 2015.
- Wan, F. and Singh, M. P. (2005). Enabling persistent web services via commitments. *Information Technology and Management*, 6(1):41–60.
- Wang, X. H., Zhang, D. Q., Gu, T., and Pung, H. K. (2004). Ontology based context modeling and reasoning using owl. In *Pervasive Computing and Communications Workshops, 2004. Proceedings of the Second IEEE Annual Conference on*, pages 18–22. IEEE.
- Weigand, H. and Paschke, A. (2012). The pragmatic web: Putting rules in context. In *Rules on the Web: Research and Applications*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, Heidelberg - Berlin.
- Weigand, H. and van den Heuvel, W.-J. (2006). A conceptual architecture for pragmatic web services. In *Proceedings of the First International Conference on the Pragmatic Web*.
- Winograd, T. (1987). A language/action perspective on the design of cooperative work. *Human-Computer Interaction*, 3(1):3–30.
- Wohlin, C., Runeson, P., Höst, M., Ohlsson, M. C., Regnell, B., and Wesslén, A. (2012). *Experimentation in software engineering*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Wooldridge, M. (2002). *An Introduction to MultiAgent Systems*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd.