

DAFTAR KEPUSTAKAAN

- Agoglia, Christopher P.; T. S. Doupnik dan G. T. Tsakumis. 2011. Principles-based versus rules-based accounting standards: The influence of standard precision and audit committee strength on financial reporting decision. *The Accounting Review* 86: 747-767.
- AICPA. 2011. *AICPA recommends SEC allow optional adoption of IFRS by US public companies*.
<http://www.aicpa.org/press/pressrelease/2011/pages/aicparecomendssec>.
- Anderson, J.R. 1983. *The Structure of Cognition*. Harvard University Press.
- Arkes, H.R., C. Christensen, C. Lain, dan C. Blumer. 1987. Two methods of reducing overconfidence. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 39: 133-144.
- Asare, S.K. 1992. The auditor's going concern decision: Interaction of task variables and the sequential processing of evidence. *The Accounting Review* 67: 379-93.
- Ashton, R.H. 1992. Effects of justification and a mechanical aid on judgment performance. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 52. 292-306.
- Backof, A.G., E.M. Bamber, dan T.D. Carpenter. 2010. *International Financial Reporting Standards and aggressive reporting: An investigation of proposed auditor judgment guidance*. Working Paper. University of Virginia
- Ball, R. 2009. Market and political/regulatory perspectives on the recent accounting standards. *Journal of Accounting Research* 47: 277-323.
- Bar, R. 1993. *Hierarchy of epistemic authority*, Working Paper. Tel Aviv University.
- Bar, R. 1999. *The impact of epistemic needs and authorities on judgments and decision making*. Working Paper. Tel Aviv University.
- Bargh, J.A. 1994. The four horsemen of automaticity: Awareness, intention, efficiency, and control in social cognition. Editor: R.S. Wyer dan T.K. Srull. *Handbook of Social Cognition* (1-40). Erlbaum-Hillsdale NJ.
- Baron, R. M. dan D. A. Kenny. 1986. The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, and statistical consideration. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51. 1173-1182.
- Beach, L.R. dan T.R. Mitchell. 1978). A contingency model for the selection of decision strategies. *Academy of Management Review* 8: 439-448.
- Bennet, B., M. Bradburry, dan H. Prangnell. 2006. Rules, principles and judgments in accounting standards. *Abacus* 42: 189-204.

Benston, G. J., M. Bromwich, dan A. Wagenhover. 2006. Principles versus rules-based accounting atandards: The FASB's standard setting strategy. *Abacus* 42: 165-188.

Blau, P.M. 1961. *Exchange and power in social life*. New York: Wiley.

Buchanan, T.A., P.E. Tetlock, dan R.O. Reed. 1989. Accountability and auditors' judgment about contingent events. Working Paper, University of Colorado, Boulder.

Cacioppo, J.T. dan R.E. Petty 1984. The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion, *Advances in Consumer Resarch* 11: 673-675.

Calfee, J.E. dan Richard Craswell. 1984. Some effects of uncertainty on compliance with legal standards, *Virginia Law Review* 79: 965-1003.

Chaiken, S., A. Liberman, A.H. Eagbly. 1989. Heuristic and systemic information processing within and beyond the persuassion context. Editor: J.S. Ulelman dan J.A. Bargh. *Unintended thought: Limits of awareness intention and control*. New York: Guilford.

Chaiken, S. dan Y. Trope. 1999. *Dual-Process Theory in Social Psychology*. New York: Guilford Press.

Choi, J., J. Kim, X. Liu, D. Simunic. 2008. Audit pricing, legal liability regimes, and Big 4 premiums: Theory and cross-country evidence. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 25. 55-99

Cohen, J., G. Krishnamoorty, M. Peytcheva, dan A.M. Wright. 2013. How does the strength of the financial regulatory regime influence auditors' judgments to constrain aggressive reporting in a principles-based versus rules-based accounting environment? *Accounting Horizon*, 27: 579-601.

Cook, K. S. dan Eric Rice. 2003. Social Exchange Theory. Editor: John Delamater. *Handbook of Social Psychology*. New York - Kluwer Academic Plenum Publishers.

Cvetkovich, G. 1978. Cognitive accomodation, language, and social responsibility, *Social Psychology* 41: 149-155.

De Dreu, C.K.W., S. Koole, dan F. L. Oldersma. 1999. On the seizing and freezing of negotiator inferences: Need for cognitive closure moderates the use of heuristics in negotiation. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 25: 348-362.

De Dreu, C.K.W., S.L. Koole, dan W. Steinel. 2000. Unfixing the fixed pie: A motivated information-processing approach to integrative negotiation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 79: 975-987.

De Dreu, C.K.W., B. Beersma, K. Stroebe, dan M. Euwema. 2006. Motivated information processing, strategic choice, and the quality of negotiated agreement. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 90: 927-943.

De Dreu, C.K.W. 2007. Cooperative outcome, interdependence, task reflexivity, and team effectiveness: A motivated information processing perspective. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 92: 628-638.

De Dreu, C.K.W., B.A. Nijstad, dan D. van Knippenborg. 2008. Motivated information processing in group judgment and decision making. *Personality and Social Psychology Review* 12: 22-49.

De Grada, E., A.W. Kruglanski, L. Mannetti, dan A. Pierro. 1999. Motivated cognition and group interaction: Need for closure affects the concern and process of collective negotiation. *Journal of Experimental of Social Psychology* 14: 1-47.

DiPiazza, J., D. McDonnell, F. Samyin, T. Flynn, J. H. Quigley, dan J. S. Turley. 2008. Principles-based accounting standards. White paper delivered by the CEOs of the International Audit Network at the Global Public Policy Symposium, http://www.globalpublicpolicysymposium.com/GPPC_PBS_White_Paper.pdf.

Ditto, P.H. dan D.F. Lopez. 1992. Motivated scepticism: Use of differential decision criteria for preferred and nonpreferred conclusions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 63: 568-584.

Dye, R. 1993. Auditing standards, legal liability, and auditor wealth. *Journal of Political Economy* 101: 877-914.

Emby, C dan M. Gibbins, 1987. Good judgment in public accounting: Quality and justification. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 4: 287-313.

Fiske, S.T. dan J.L. Berdahl 2007. Social Power. Editor: A. Kruglanski dan E.T. Higgins. *Social psychology: A handbook of basic principles*. The Guildford Press: New York.

Ford, J.K dan E. Weldon. 1981. Forewarning and accountability: Effects on memory-based interpersonal judgments, *Administrative Science Quarterly* 7: 264-268.

Frey, D. 1986. Recent research on selective exposure to information, *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* 19: 41-80.

Gaeth, G.J. dan J. Shanteau. 1984. Reducing the influence of irrelevant information on experienced decision makers. *Organization and Human Performance* 33: 263-282.

Ghos, Alope dan Robert Pawlewicz. 2009. The impact of regulation on auditor fees: Evidence from the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. *The Accounting Review* 28: 171-197.

Gibbins, M. dan C. Emby. 1984. *Evidence on the nature of professional judgment in public accounting*. Dalam *University of Illinois Auditing Research Symposium*, 181-212. Champaign IL.

Glover, S.M. 1997. The influence of time pressure and accountability on auditors' processing of nondiagnostic information, *Journal of Accounting Research* 35: 213-226.

Green, D. dan M.R. Lepper. 1978. *The hidden cost of reward: New Perspective on the psychology of human motivation*. N.J. Lawrence-Hillsdale.

Hackenbrack, K dan M.W. Nelson. 1992. Auditors' incentives and their application on financial accounting standards. *The Accounting Review* 71: 43-59.

Hadad, M. 2013. Tengah Disusun Pedoman Audit di Pasar Modal. *Akuntan Online*. April.

Hadad, M. 2014. Program Kerja OJK 2015. *Warta Konsumen OJK*. April.

Hardin, C dan E.T. Higgins 1996. *Shared reality: How social verification makes the subjective objective*. Editor: Richard M Sorrentino dan E.T. Higgins. *Handbook of motivation and cognition Vol. 3*. Guilford Press: New York.

Heider, F.1958. *The Psychology of Interpersonal Relation*. New York-Wiley.

Hoeven, R. 2005. Principles-based versus rules-based accounting standards (terj.), *Maanblad voor Accountancy and Bedrijfeconomic* 10: 475-494.

Hogarth, R dan H. Einhorn. 1992. Order effects in belief updating: The belief adjustment model. *Cognitive Psychology* 24: 1-55.

Hoffman, V.B. 1997. Discussion of the effects of SAS No. 82 on auditors' attention to fraud risk factors and audit planning decisions, *Journal of Accounting Research* 35: 99-104.

Holthausen, R.W. 2009. Accounting standards, financial reporting outcomes, and enforcement. *Journal of Accounting Research* 47: 447-458.

Hommans, G. 1961. *Social Behavior*. Harcourt Brace-New York.

IAI. 2010. *Roadmap Konvergensi IFRS*. IAI-Jakarta.

IASB. 2010. *Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting 2010*. International Accounting Standard Board-London.

ICAS (The Institute of Chartered Accountants of Scotland). 2006. *Principles not rules: A question of judgment*. www.icas.org.uk

Jamal, Karim dan Hua-Tong Tan. 2010. Effect of principles-based versus rules-based standards and auditor type on financial managers' reporting judgment, *The Accounting Review* 85: 1325-1346.

Jones, E.E., D.E. Kannouse, H.H. Kelley, R. E. Nisbett, S.Valins, dan B. Weiner. 1972. *Attribution: Perceiving the causes of Behavior*. General Learning Press. Morristown: NJ.

Jost, J.T., J.Glaser, A.W.Kruglanski, dan F.J.Sullaway. 2003. Political conservatism as motivated social cognition. *Psychological Bulletin*. 129: 339-375.

Kelley, H.H. 1971. The process of causal attribution. *American Psychologist* 28: 107-128.

Kennedy, J. 1993. Debiasing audit judgment with accountability: A framework and experimental results. *Journal of Accounting Research* 31: 232-245.

Kennedy, J. 1995. Debiasing the curse of knowledge in audit judgment. *The Accounting Review*, 70: 249-272.

Khrisnan, G. V. dan L. M. Parsons. 2008. Getting to the bottom line: an exploration of gender and earnings quality. *Journal of Business Ethics* 78: 65-76.

Koonce, I. 1992. Explanation and counter-explanation during audit analytical review. *The Accounting Review* 67: 59-76.

Kroeker, J. L. 2011. Remarks before the 2011 AICPA National Conference on Current SEC and PCAOB Developments.

Kruglanski, A.W. 1990. Lay Epistemic Theory in Social-Cognitive Psychology. *Psychological Inquiry* 1: 181-197.

Kruglanski, A.W. 2001. Motivation and Social Cognition: Enemies or a Love Story?. *International Journal of Psychology and Psychological Therapy* 1: 33-45.

Kruglanski, A.W. dan Freund. 1983. The freezing and un-freezing of lay-inferences: effect on impressional primacy, ethnic stereotyping and numerical anchoring. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 58: 291-316.

Kruglanski, A.W. dan D.M. Webster. 1996. Motivated closing of the mind: "Seizing" and "freezing." *Psychological Review* 103: 263-283.

Kruglanski, A.W. , D.M. Webster, dan A. Klem. 1993. Motivated resistance and openness to persuasion in the presence or absence of prior information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 65: 861-876.

Kruglanski, A.W., E. Orehek, M. Dechesne, A.Pierro. 2010. Lay Epistemic Theory: The motivational, cognitive, and social aspects of knowledge formation. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass* 10: 939-950.

Z. 1990. The case for motivated reasoning. *Psychological Bulletin* 108: 480-498.

LaPorta, R. 1998. Law and finance. *Journal of Political Economy* 106: 1113-1155.

La Porta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, dan A. Shleifer. 2006. What works in securities laws?. *Journal of Finance* 61: 1-31.

LaPorta, R., F. Lopez-de-Silanes, A. Shleifer, dan R. Vishny. 1997. Legal determinants of external finance. *The Journal of Finance* 52: 1131-1150.

Lerner, J.S dan P.E. Tetlock, 1999. Accounting for the effects of accountability. *Psychological Bulletin* 125: 255-275

Libby, R dan M.G. Lipe. 1992. Incentive, effort, and cognitive processes involved in accounting-related judgments. *Journal of Accounting Research* 30: 249-273.

Libby, T.S., E. Salterio, dan A. Webb. 2004. The Balance Scorecard: The effects of assurance and process accountability on managerial judgment. *The Accounting Review* 79: 1075-1094.

Maines, L. A. , E. Bartov, P. Fairfield , D.E. Hirst, T.E. Iannaconi, R. Mallett , C. M. Schrand, D.J. Skinner, L. Vincent. 2003. Evaluating Concepts - Based vs. Rules - Based Approaches to Standard Setting. *Accounting Horizons* 17: 73-89.

Mansi, Sattara, W.F. Maxwell, dan D. P. Miller. 2004. Does auditor quality and tenure matter to investors? Evidence from the bond market, *Journal of Accounting Research* 42: 755-793.

Markman, K.D. dan P.W. Tetlock. 2000. Accountability and close-call counterfactuals: The loser who nearly won and the winner who nearly lost. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 26: 1213-1225.

Mayseless, O. dan A.W. Kruglanski. 1987. What makes you so sure? Effects of epistemic motivation on judgmental confidence. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Process* 39: 162-183.

Messier, W.F.Jr., William Q.C., D. Eric Hirst, dan Don Craig. 1992. The effect of accountability on judgment: Development of hypotheses for auditing. *Auditing*, 11 (supplement): 123-136.

Moors, A. dan J. De Hourwer. 2006. Automacity: A conceptual and theoretical analysis, *Psychological Bulletin* 132: 297-326.

Nelson, M. W. 2003. Behavioral evidence on the effect of principles and rules-based standard. *Accounting Horizons* 17: 91-104.

Nisbett, R.E. dan L. Ross. 1980. *Human inference: strategies and shortcomings of human judgment*. Prentice-Hall-Englewood Cliffs, NJ.

Paliem, R. dan Z. Shalhoub. 2003. The phenomenology of earnings management within the confines agency theory. *International Journal of Value-based Management* 16: 75-88.

Peecher, M.E., I. Solomon, dan K.T. Trotman. 2011. Improving the quality of financial statement audits by updating external auditors' accountabilities. Working Paper. University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.

Petty, R.E. dan J.T. Cacioppo. 1986. The elaboration likelihood model of persuasion, Editor: Berkowitz. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. Vol. 19, 123- 163. Academic Press-New York.

Peytcheva, M. dan A. M. Wright. 2011. The impact of principles versus rules based accounting standards on auditors' motivations and judgment: Working Paper <http://www.aaahq.org/meetings>.

Pierro, A., L. Mannetti, A.W. Kruglanski, dan D. Sleech-Keppler. 2004. Relevance override: On the reduced impact of "cues" under high motivation conditions of persuasion studies. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 86: 251-264.

Pierro, A. dan L. Mannetti. 2004. Motivated consumer search behavior: The effect of epistemic authority. Working Paper. University of Rome "La Sapienza".

Popper, K.R. 1959. *The logic of scientific discovery*. Basic Books-New York.

Pruitt, D. 1981. *Negotiation Behavior*. New York: American Press.

Posner, M.I. dan C.R.R. Snyder. 1975. Attention and cognition control. Editor: R.L. Solso. *Information Processing and Cognition*. Hillsdale NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc.

Psaros, J. dan K. T. Trotman. 2004. The impact of the type of accounting standards on preparers judgment. *Abacus* 40: 76-93.

Reynolds, T. dan Arturo Flore. 1989. *Foreign Law: Current Sources of Basic Legislation in Jurisdiction of the World*. Littleton-Rothman and Co.

Schlenker, B.R. 1980. *Impression management: The self-concept, social identity, and interpersonal relations*. Belmont-Brooks-Cole.

Schlenker, B.R. dan M.F. Weigold. 1989. Self-identification and accountability. Editor: R.A. Giacalone dan P. Rosenfeld. *Impression Management in the Organization*. Hillsdale-Erlbaum.

Schipper, K. 2003. Principles-based accounting standards. *Accounting Horizons* 17: 61-72.

SEC. 2008. Roadmap for the potential use of financial statements prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards by US issuers. Release No. 33-8982 (November, 2008).

SEC. 2010. Commission Statement in Support of Convergence and Global Accounting Standards, Washington DC: SEC.

SEC. 2011. Work Plan for the Consideration of Incorporating International Financial Reporting Standards into the Financial Reporting System for US Issuers. Exploring a possible method of incorporation. A Securities and Exchange Commission Staff Paper, May 26, 2011.

Segarra, M. 2011. FASB and the IASB respond to criticism as they prepare a new exposure draft for lease accounting. *CFOMagazine* – November.

Segovia, J., V. Arnold, dan S.G. Sutton. 2009. Do principles-vs.rules-based standards have a differential impact on U.S. auditors' decision?. *Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research* 12: 61-84.

Siegel-Jacobs, K dan J.F. Yates. 1996). Effects of procedural and outcome accountability on judgment quality. *Organization Behavior and Human Decision Process* 65: 1-17.

Simonson, I. dan B.M. Staw. 1992. Deescalation strategies: A comparison of techniques for reducing commitment to losing courses of action. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 77: 419-426.

Simunic, D.A. 1980. The pricing of audit services: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Accounting Research* 18: 161-190.

Simunic, D. A. dan M. Stein. 1996. The impact of litigation risk on audit pricing: a review of economics and the evidence. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* 15 (Supplement): 119-134.

Smith, J.F. dan T. Kida. 1991. Heuristics and biases: Expertise and task realism in auditing. *Psychological Bulletin* 109: 472-489.

Sobel, M.E. (1982). Asymptotic confidence intervals for indirect effects in structural equation models. Editor: S. Leinhardt. *Sociological Methodology*: 290-312. American Sociological Association.

Staw, B.M. 1980. Rationality and justification in organizational life. Editor B.M. Staw dan L. Cummings. *Research in organizational behaviour*. Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.

Stice, J. 1991. Using financial and market information to identify pre-engagement factors associated with lawsuits against auditors. *The Accounting Review* 66: 516-533.

Tan, H.T. dan A. Kao. 1999. Accountability effects on auditors' performance: The influence of knowledge, problem-solving ability, and task complexity. *Journal of Accounting Research* 37: 209-223.

Tetlock, P.E. 1983. Accountability and complexity of thought. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 45: 74-83.

Tetlock, P.E. 1985. Accountability: The neglected social context of judgment and choice. Editor: B.M. Staw dan L. Cummings. *Research in Organizational Behavior*. Greenwich, Connecticut: JAI Press.

Tetlock, P. E. dan A. Levi, (1982). Attribution bias: On the in conclusiveness of the cognition-motivation debate. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 18. 68-88.

Tetlock, P.E. dan R. Boettger. 1989. Accountability: A social magnifier of the dilution effect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 57: 388-398.

Tetlock, P.E. dan J.I. Kim. 1987. Accountability and judgment processes in a personality prediction task. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 52: 700-709.

Tetlock, P.E., L. Skitka, dan R. Boettger. 1989. Social and cognitive strategies for coping with accountability: Conformity, complexity, and bolstering. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 57: 632-640.

Tversky, A. dan D. Kahneman. 1974. Judgment under uncertainty: Heuristic and biases. *Science* 185: 1124-1131.

Van Kleef, G.A., C.K.W. De Dreu, dan A. Manstead. 2004. The interpersonal effects of emotion in negotiations: A motivated information processing approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 87: 510-528.

Warsono-bin-Hardono, Sony. (2011). Adopsi Standar Akuntansi IFRS: Fakta, Dilema dan Matematika. Editor: Irene Natalia. Yogyakarta: ABPublihsER

Watts, R. L. dan J. L. Zimmerman. 1986. Positive Accounting Theory, Englewood Cliff, NJ: PrenticeHall.

Weary, G., K.L. Marsh, F. Gleicher, dan J. Edwards. 1993. Depression, control motivation, and the processing of information about others. Editor: Weary, Gleicher, dan Marsh. *Control motivation and social cognition*. New York:Springer-Verlag.

Webster, E. dan D.B. Thornton. 2005. Earnings quality under rules-versus principles-based accounting standards: A test of the Skinner hypothesis. *Canadian Accounting Perspectives* 4: 167-192.

Wulandari, Dwi Retno. 2012. Penerapan Peraturan BAPEPAM LK No. VIII.G.7 – Sambutan pada Seminar Pasar Modal, IAPI.



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

Pengaruh Standar Akuntansi Berbasis Prinsip dan Kedudukan Regulator Keuangan terhadap Akuntabilitas

Proses dan Motivasi Epistemik Persepsian Auditor - Pendekatan Eksperimen

DRS. TERTIARTO WAHYUDI, MAFIS., Prof. Jogiyanto HM, MBA., PhD, Dr. Supriyadi, MSc, Dr. Sony Warsono, MAFIS.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2016 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>