

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Azwar, S. (2014). *Dasar-dasar Psikometri* (Edisi 1). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Azwar, S. (2016a). *Penyusunan Skala Psikologi* (Edisi 2). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Azwar, S. (2016b). *Reliabilitas dan Validitas* (Edisi IV). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Becker, L. A. (2000). Effect Size (ES). <http://web.uccs.edu/lbecker/Psy590/es.htm>
- Berk, R. A., & Theall, M. (2006). *Thirteen strategies to measure college teaching: A consumer's guide to rating scale construction, assessment, and decision making for faculty, administrators, and clinicians*: Stylus Publishing, LLC.
- Chadha, N. K. (2009). *Applied Psychometry*. New Delhi: Sage Publications India.
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (1995). Constructing Validity: Basic Issues in Objective Scale Development. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(3), 309-311.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.
- Cohen, R. J., & Swerdlik, M. E. (2009). *Psychological Testing and Assessment: An Introduction to Tests and Measurement* (Edisi 7): McGraw-Hill.
- Conole, G., & Warburton, B. (2005). A review of computer-assisted assessment. *ALT-J*, 13(1), 17-31. doi: 10.1080/0968776042000339772
- Cronk, B. C., & West, J. L. (2002). Personality research on the Internet: A comparison of Web-based and traditional instruments in take-home and in-class settings. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 34(2), 177-180. doi: 10.3758/bf03195440
- Cureton, E. E. (1957). The upper and lower twenty-seven per cent rule. *Psychometrika*, 22(3), 293-296.
- Davis, R. N. (1999). Web-based administration of a personality questionnaire: Comparison with traditional methods. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers*, 31(4), 572-577. doi: 10.3758/bf03200737
- Dillman, D. A. (2011). *Mail and Internet surveys: The tailored design method--2007 Update with new Internet, visual, and mixed-mode guide*: John Wiley & Sons.
- Duckworth, A. L., Quinn, P. D., Lynam, D. R., Loeber, R., & Stouthamer-Loeber, M. (2011). Role of test motivation in intelligence testing. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(19), 7716-7720. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1018601108

- Edwards, J. E. (1997). *How to conduct organizational surveys: A step-by-step guide*: Sage.
- Floyd, F. J., & Widaman, K. F. (1995). Factor analysis in the development and refinement of clinical assessment instruments. *Psychological Assessment*, 7(3), 286.
- Furr, R. M., & Bacharach, V. R. (2013). *Psychometrics: an introduction*: Sage.
- Ginty, A. T. (2013). Psychometric Properties. In M. D. Gellman & J. R. Turner (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of Behavioral Medicine* (pp. 1563-1564). New York, NY: Springer New York.
- Hadi, S. (2004). *Statistik* (Edisi II. Vol. 1). Yogyakarta: ANDI.
- Hambleton, R. K., Swaminathan, H., & Rogers, H. J. (1991). *Fundamentals of item response theory*: Sage.
- Hart, R. R., & Goldstein, M. A. (1986). Computer-Assisted Psychological Assessment. *Computers in Human Services*, 1(3), 69-75. doi: 10.1300/J407v01n03_05
- Johnson, J. A. (2014). Acquiescence and Social Desirability: Psychometric Bogeymen. Diambil pada 9 April, 2017, dari <https://www.psychologytoday.com/blog/cui-bono/201404/acquiescence-and-social-desirability-psychometric-bogeymen>
- Kantrowitz, T. M., Dawson, C. R., & Fetzer, M. S. (2011). Computer Adaptive Testing (CAT): A Faster, Smarter, and More Secure Approach to Pre-Employment Testing. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 26(2), 227-232.
- Kaplan, R. M., & Saccuzzo, D. P. (2005). *Psychological Testing: Principles, Applications, and Issues* (Edisi 6). Belmont: Thomson Wadsworth.
- Kline, P. (2014). *An easy guide to factor analysis*: Routledge.
- Kline, T. (2005). *Psychological testing: A practical approach to design and evaluation*: Sage.
- Koss, M. (1979). MMPI item content: Recurring issues. *New developments in the use of the MMPI*, 3-38.
- Lavrakas, P. J. (2008). *Encyclopedia of Survey Research Methods*: AM (Vol. 1): Sage.
- Leong, F. T. (2008). *Encyclopedia of counseling* (Vol. 2): Sage.
- Maul, A. (2013). Method effects and the meaning of measurement. *Frontiers in psychology*, 4.
- McCreary, L. L., Conrad, K. M., Conrad, K. J., Scott, C. K., Funk, R. R., & Dennis, M. L. (2013). Using the Rasch Measurement Model in Psychometric

- Analysis of the Family Effectiveness Measure. *Nursing research*, 62(3), 149-159. doi: 10.1097/NNR.0b013e31828eafe6
- Murphy, K. R., & Davidshofer, C. O. (1988). Psychological testing. *Principles, and Applications*, Englewood Cliffs.
- Natda, K. V. (2013). Responsive web design. *Eduvantage*, 1(1).
- Nunnally, J. C. (1970). *Introduction to Psychological Measurement*. Tokyo: Kogakusha Co. Ltd.
- Pallant, J. (2016). *SPSS Survival Manual* (Edisi 6). New York: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Piaw Chua, Y. (2012). Effects of computer-based testing on test performance and testing motivation. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 28(5), 1580-1586. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.03.020>
- Preckel, F., & Thiemann, H. (2003). Online- versus paper-pencil version of a high potential intelligence test. *Swiss Journal of Psychology*, 62(2), 131-138.
- Rasouli, S. (2014). *Mobile technologies for activity-travel data collection and analysis*: IGI global.
- Rosenfeld, P., Doherty, L. M., Vicino, S. M., Kantor, J., & Greaves, J. (1989). Attitude Assessment in Organizations: Testing Three Microcomputer-Based Survey Systems. *The Journal of General Psychology*, 116(2), 145-154. doi: 10.1080/00221309.1989.9711119
- Shultz, K. S., & Whitney, D. J. (2004). *Measurement Theory in Action: Case Studies and Exercises*: SAGE Publications.
- Skevington, S. M., Lotfy, M., & O'Connell, K. A. (2004). The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL group. *Quality of life Research*, 13(2), 299-310.
- Space, L. G. (1981). The computer as psychometrician. *Behavior Research Methods & Instrumentation*, 13(4), 595-606. doi: 10.3758/bf03202072
- Tang, S. F., & Logonnathan, L. (2016). *Assessment for Learning Within and Beyond the Classroom: Taylor's 8th Teaching and Learning Conference 2015 Proceedings*: Springer.
- Thomas J. Ward, J., Hooper, S. R., & Hannafin, K. M. (1989). The Effect of Computerized Tests on the Performance and Attitudes of College Students. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 5(3), 327-333. doi: 10.2190/4U1D-VQRM-J70D-JEQF
- Vispoel, W. P. (2000). Computerized versus paper-and-pencil assessment of self-concept: Score comparability and respondent preferences. *Measurement and Evaluation in Counseling and Development*, 33(3), 130.

- Watson, D. (1992). Correcting for Acquiescent Response Bias in the Absence of a Balanced Scale. *Sociological Methods & Research*, 21(1), 52-88. doi: doi:10.1177/0049124192021001003
- Wells, C. S., & Wollack, J. A. (2003). An instructor's guide to understanding test reliability. *Testing & Evaluation Services. University of Wisconsin*.
- Widhiarso, W. (2010). *Analisis Butir dalam Pengembangan Pengukuran Psikologi*. Diambil dari http://widhiarso.staff.ugm.ac.id/files/widhiarso_2001_-_analisis_butir_dalam_pengembangan_pengukuran_psikologi.pdf
- Widhiarso, W. (2014). Relationship between Cognitive Ability and Accurate Self-Reporting. *Journal of Educational Sciences and Psychology*, 14(2), 85-95.
- Widhiarso, W., & Sumintono, B. (2016). Examining response aberrance as a cause of outliers in statistical analysis. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 98, 11-15. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2016.03.099>