

## TABLE OF CONTENT

<b>COVER</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>LETTER OF APPROVAL</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>STATEMENT</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b><i>NASKAH SOAL TUGAS AKHIR</i></b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>FOREWORD</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>LIST OF NOTATIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS</b>	<b>xvii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>xix</b>
<b><i>INTISARI</i></b>	<b>xx</b>
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Problem Formulation	3
1.3. Limitations and Assumptions	4
1.4. Research Objectives	4
1.5. Research Benefits	4
<b>CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1. Knowledge Management Strategies (KM Strategy)	5
2.2. KM Strategies and Organizational Performance	7
2.3. Research Position	12
<b>CHAPTER III THEORITICAL BACKGROUND</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1. Knowledge Definition	14
3.2. Knowledge Management	15

3.2.1. Knowledge Management Definition	15
3.2.2. Implication of Knowledge for Knowledge Management	15
3.3. Knowledge Management Process	16
3.3.1. Knowledge Creation	16
3.3.2. Knowledge Storage and Retrieval	18
3.3.3. Knowledge Distribution	18
3.3.4. Knowledge Application	18
3.4. Knowledge Management Strategy	20
3.5. Knowledge Management in Organization	21
3.5.1. Importance of Knowledge Management in Organization	21
3.5.2. Application of Knowledge Management in Organization	22
3.5.3. Impact of Knowledge Management on Organizational Performance	24
<b>CHAPTER IV RESEARCH METHOD</b>	<b>26</b>
4.1. Targeted Population and Sample	26
4.2. Research Tools	26
4.3. Research Framework	27
4.4. Research Stages	28
<b>CHAPTER V RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>31</b>
5.1. Research Instrument Adaptation	31
5.1.1. Testing Normality Distribution of the Data	31
5.1.2. Testing Items Correlation for Instrument Validity	32
5.2. Pilot Study of the Instrument	32
5.3. Cross-sectional Survey	34
5.4. Validity and Reliability of the Instrument	35
5.4.1. Testing Normality Distribution of the Data	36
5.4.2. Testing Items Correlation for Instrument Validity	36
5.4.3. Testing Internal Consistency for Instrument Reliability	37
5.5. Exploratory Factor Analysis (EFA)	39

5.5.1. Factor Analysis (EFA) of Variable X	41
5.5.2. Factor Analysis (EFA) of Variable Y	44
5.5.3. Factor Analysis (EFA) of Variable M <sub>1</sub>	46
5.5.4. Factor Analysis (EFA) of Variable Z	48
5.5.5. Final Classification of Variables	49
5.6. Description of Key Variables	50
5.6.1. Summated Scale : X, X <sub>1</sub> , X <sub>2</sub> , Y, M <sub>1</sub> , and Z	50
5.6.2. Categorization of Moderator Variables : M <sub>1</sub> and M <sub>2</sub>	51
5.6.2.1. Categorization of Project Complexity (M <sub>1</sub> )	51
5.6.2.2. Categorization of Business Strategy (M <sub>2</sub> )	52
5.7. Testing Variables Correlation by Using Pearson Correlation	53
5.8. Testing Assumption of Classical Linear Regression Model	54
5.8.1. Testing Normality Distribution of Residual Data	54
5.8.2. Testing Autocorrelation by Using Durbin-Watson	54
5.8.3. Testing Multicollinearity by Using VIF Value	55
5.8.4. Testing Heteroscedasticity by Using Glejser	56
5.9. Hypothesis Testing by Using Linear Regression Analysis	58
5.9.1. Testing Relationship between X (Knowledge Management Strategy) and Z (Organizational Performance) Mediated by Y (Project Performance)	58
5.9.2. Testing Relationship between X (Knowledge Management Strategy) and Y (Project Performance)	65
5.9.3. Testing Relationship between X (Knowledge Management Strategy) and M <sub>1</sub> (Project Complexity) with Y (Project Performance)	69
5.9.4. Testing Relationship between X (Knowledge Management Strategy) and Y (Project Performance) Moderated by M (Moderator Variable)	72
5.9.5. Subgroup Analysis by Using Moderator Variables	75

5.9.6. Summary of Hypotheses Tests' Results	78
<b>CHAPTER VI CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION</b>	<b>81</b>
6.1. Conclusions	81
6.2. Recommendations	82
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>83</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>89</b>

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. GDP of Information and Communication Sector (BPS, 2016)	1
Figure 3.1. Knowledge Hierarchy (Source: Von Krogh et al., 2000)	14
Figure 3.2. Theory of Knowledge Creation (Nonaka & Takeuchi, 1995)	17
Figure 3.3. Integral Knowledge Management Model (Beijerse, 2000)	22
Figure 3.4. Knowledge as an Organizational Asset (Beijerse, 2000)	23
Figure 4.1. Research Framework	27
Figure 4.2. Research Stages	30
Figure 5.1. Description of Mediated Linear Regression Relationship	59
Figure 5.2. Plot of Simple Linear Regression between Y and Z	60
Figure 5.3. Plot of Simple Linear Regression between X and Z	61
Figure 5.4. Plot of Multiple Linear Regression between X and Y with Z	62
Figure 5.5. Description of Mediated Linear Regression Relationship	64
Figure 5.6. Plot of Simple Linear Regression between $X_1$ and Y	66
Figure 5.7. Plot of Simple Linear Regression between $X_2$ and Y	67
Figure 5.8. Plot of Multiple Linear Regression between $X_1$ and $X_2$ with Y	68
Figure 5.9. Project Performance vs Project Complexity (Vidal et al. 2007)	69
Figure 5.10. Plot of Multiple Linear Regression between X and $M_1$ with Y	70
Figure 5.11. Plot of Multiple Linear Regression between $X_1$ , $X_2$ , and $M_1$ with Y	71
Figure 5.12. Plot of Multiple Linear Regression between X, Y and $M_1$	73

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Feature of System and Human Strategies (Choi and Lee, 2002)	6
Table 2.2. KM Strategies Classification	6
Table 2.3. KM Strategies and Objectives (Greiner et al, 2007)	8
Table 2.4. Non-Complementary KM Strategy and Organizational Performance (Choi, 2008)	9
Table 2.5. Complementary KM Strategy and Organizational Performance (Choi, 2008)	11
Table 2.6. Research Mapping	13
Table 3.1. Knowledge Definitions and Their Implications (Alavi, 1999)	15
Table 3.2. Codification and Personalization (Nicolas and Cherdan, 2011)	21
Table 4.1. Questionnaire Translation	28
Table 5.1. Questionnaire Adaptation Details	31
Table 5.2. Status of Pilot Study's Respondents	33
Table 5.3. Pilot Study Processes (Appendix 4)	34
Table 5.4. Response Rate	34
Table 5.5. Types of ICT Companies	34
Table 5.6. Respondents' Current Position in Companies	35
Table 5.7. Years of Working in the Company	35
Table 5.8. Normality Test Result of Y1 with $\alpha = 0,05$	36
Table 5.9. Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Test of Knowledge Management Strategy (X)	37
Table 5.10. Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Test of Project Performance (Y)	38
Table 5.11. Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Test of Organizational Performance (Z)	38
Table 5.12. Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Test for Project Complexity (M1)	38

Table 5.13. Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Test Details for Unreliable Items of Project Complexity	39
Table 5.14. MSA, Bartlett's Test, and Anti-image Correlation of Variable X	41
Table 5.15. Factor Extraction of Variable X	41
Table 5.16. Factor Rotation of Dimension X <sub>1</sub>	42
Table 5.17. Factor Rotation of Dimension X <sub>2</sub>	43
Table 5.18. Comparison of Theoretical Model and Empirical Model of Variable X <sub>1</sub>	43
Table 5.19. Comparison of Theoretical Model and Empirical Model of Variable X <sub>2</sub>	44
Table 5.20. MSA, Bartlett's Test, and Anti-image Correlation of Variable Y	44
Table 5.21. Factor Extraction of Variable Y	44
Table 5.22. Factor Rotation of Variable Y	45
Table 5.23. Comparison of Theoretical Model and Empirical Model of Variable Y	46
Table 5.24. MSA, Bartlett's Test, and Anti-image Correlation of Variable M <sub>1</sub>	46
Table 5.25. Factor Extraction of Variable M <sub>1</sub>	47
Table 5.26. Factor Rotation of Variable M <sub>1</sub>	47
Table 5.27. Comparison of Theoretical and Empirical Model of Variable M <sub>1</sub>	48
Table 5.28. MSA, Bartlett's Test, and Anti-image Correlation of Variable Z	48
Table 5.29. Factor Extraction of Variable Z	49
Table 5.30. Factor Rotation of Variable Z	49
Table 5.31. Comparison of Theoretical Model and Empirical Model of Variable Z	49
Table 5.32. Final Classification of Variables	50
Table 5.33. Project Complexity's Categorization based on Median Threshold	51
Table 5.34. Decision Rules of Business Strategy based on Conant et al. (1990)	52
Table 5.35. Respondents' Business Strategy	53

Table 5.36. Results of Testing Assumption of Classical Linear Regression	
Model	57
Table 5.37. Four Step Approach in Mediated Linear Regression	59
Table 5.38. Result of Simple Linear Regression between X and Y	59
Table 5.39. Result of Simple Linear Regression between Y and Z	60
Table 5.40. Result of Simple Linear Regression between X and Z	61
Table 5.41. Result of Multiple Linear Regression between X and Y with Z	63
Table 5.42. Total Effect of X on Z	64
Table 5.43. Result of Simple Linear Regression between $X_1$ and Y	66
Table 5.44. Result of Simple Linear Regression between $X_2$ and Y	67
Table 5.45. Result of Multiple Linear Regression between $X_1$ and $X_2$ with Y	68
Table 5.46. Result of Contribution between $X_1$ and $X_2$ with Y	69
Table 5.47. Result of Multiple Linear Regression between X and $M_1$ with Y	71
Table 5.48. Result of Multiple Linear Regression between $X_1$ , $X_2$ , and $M_1$ with Y	72
Table 5.49. Result of Moderated Regression Analysis between X, Y and $M_1$	73
Table 5.50. Result of Moderated Regression Analysis between X, Y and $M_2$	74
Table 5.51. Result of Subgroup Analyses by Using Project Complexity ( $M_1$ ) and Business Strategy ( $M_2$ )	76
Table 5.52. Result of Subgroup Analysis by Using the Combination of Project Complexity ( $M_1$ ) and Business Strategy ( $M_2$ )	77
Table 5.53. Summary of Hypotheses Tests' Results	79

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix 1. Description of Research Instrument	89
Appendix 2. Research Instrument	92
Appendix 3. Adapting Instrument by Back Translation Method	100
Appendix 4. Pilot Study Processes	107
Appendix 5. Sample Size Calculation by Using G*Power Software with $\alpha = 0,05$ and effect size = 0,2	112
Appendix 6. Normality Test Results with $\alpha = 0,05$ (n = 86)	113
Appendix 7. Validity Test by Using Spearman's Correlation Coefficient Matrix with $\alpha = 0,05$ (n = 86)	114
Appendix 8. Pearson Correlation Coefficient Matrix for Independent, Moderator and Dependent Variables	118
Appendix 9. Anti-image Correlation	119
Appendix 10. Final Score of Summated Scale	121
Appendix 11. Categorical Determination of Moderator Variable : Project Complexity (M1)	124
Appendix 12. Categorical Determination of Moderator Variable : Business Strategy (M2)	125
Appendix 13. Histogram of Data Distribution for Descriptive Statistic	126
Appendix 14. Image Results of Regression Assumptions	127

## LIST OF NOTATIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS

Asyim. Sig.	: Asymptotic significance (p-value)
B	: Regression coefficient
CA	: Cronbach's Alpha
d	: Durbin-Watson value
GDP	: Gross Domestic Product
ICT	: Information and Communication Technology
KM	: Knowledge Management
KMS	: Knowledge Management Strategy
KMO	: Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin
LLCI	: Lower Level Confidence Interval
MSA	: Measure of Sampling Adequacy
M <sub>1</sub>	: Project complexity variable
M <sub>1HC</sub>	: Project complexity category : high complexity
M <sub>1LC</sub>	: Project complexity category : low complexity
M <sub>2</sub>	: Business strategy variable
M <sub>2A</sub>	: Business strategy category : Analyzer
M <sub>2D</sub>	: Business strategy category : Defender
M <sub>2P</sub>	: Business strategy category : Prospector
M <sub>2R</sub>	: Business strategy category : Reactor
n	: Total number of samples
r	: Correlation coefficient
R-Square	: Determination coefficient of regression
ROI	: Return on Investment
Sig.	: Statistical significance value
SE	: Standard error of the estimate
ULCI	: Upper Level Confidence Interval

VIF	: Variance Inflation Factor
X	: Knowledge management strategy variable
XM <sub>1</sub>	: Interaction of X and M1
XM <sub>2</sub>	: Interaction of X and M2
X <sub>1</sub>	: Knowledge management strategy dimension: personalization
X <sub>2</sub>	: Knowledge management strategy dimension: codification
Y	: Project performance variable
Y <sub>1</sub>	: Project performance dimension: project
Y <sub>2</sub>	: Project performance dimension: client
Z	: Organizational performance variable