



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>PERNYATAAN.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>STATEMENT.....</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLE .....</b>	<b>ix</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURE .....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF ANNEX.....</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>xiv</b>
<b>INTISARI .....</b>	<b>xv</b>
<b>CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.1. Research Background .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1.2. Problem Statement .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1.3. Research Objectives .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>1.4. Research Questions .....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1.5. The Significances of the Research.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.6. Research Limitation .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>1.7. Research Originality .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW AND THEORETICAL BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>2.1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1.1. Managing Wastewater in Yogyakarta Metropolitan Area .....	14
2.1.2. Problems in Managing Wastewater in Yogyakarta Metropolitan Area (YMA).....	16
<b>2.2. Carrot and Stick (Incentives and Disincentives) Policy .....</b>	<b>17</b>
2.2.1. Carrot (Incentives) Policy .....	18
2.2.2. Stick (Disincentives) Policy .....	20
<b>2.3. Wastewater Treatment Levy or Retribution Fee .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>2.4. Policy Implementation .....</b>	<b>26</b>
2.4.1. Implementation of Local Policy .....	26



2.4.2. Influencing Variable Evaluation.....	28
2.4.2.1. Communication and Coordination .....	31
2.4.2.2. Resources .....	32
2.4.2.3. Disposition of the Implementer.....	33
2.4.2.4. Bureaucratic Structure.....	34
2.4.3. Evaluating Influence Factors of Local Policy.....	35
<b>CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>3.1. Research Area.....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>3.2. Research Approach .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>3.3. Definition of Variables and Indicators .....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>3.4. Sample Size and Selection.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>3.5. Data Collection Methods.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>3.6. Data Analysis Methods.....</b>	<b>48</b>
<b>3.7. Research Framework .....</b>	<b>50</b>
<b>CHAPTER IV RESEARCH RESULT AND ANALYSIS.....</b>	<b>51</b>
<b>4.1. Characteristics of the Respondents.....</b>	<b>51</b>
4.1.1. Age.....	52
4.1.2. Education Background.....	54
4.1.3. Occupation.....	58
4.1.4. Income .....	59
4.1.5. Food Expenditure.....	61
4.1.6. Clothing Expenditure .....	62
4.1.7. Transportation Expenditure .....	63
4.1.8. Retribution Expenditure.....	64
4.1.9. Recreation Expenditure.....	65
4.1.10. Savings.....	66
4.1.11. Other Expenditure.....	66
4.1.12. House Ownership.....	67
4.1.13. The Year of House Construction .....	68
4.1.14. Length of Stay.....	68
4.1.15. Respondent Connection Status .....	69



UNIVERSITAS  
GADJAH MADA

**COMMUNITY PERCEPTION ON INTEGRATED WASTEWATER TREATMENT FACILITY (The Case of Kartamantul Regional Cooperation Area)**

HERU EDHI WIBOWO, Prof. Dr. R. Rijanta, M.Sc.

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2016 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

<b>4.2. Analysis.....</b>	<b>71</b>
4.2.1. Public Perception Regarding Willingness to pay.....	71
4.2.2. Public Perception Regarding Incentives (Carrot Policy).....	75
4.2.3. Public Perception Regarding Disincentives (Stick Policy).....	80
4.2.4. Public Perception Regarding Implementation Influence Factor.....	85
4.2.4.1. Communication and Coordination .....	86
4.2.4.2. Resources .....	97
4.2.4.3. Disposition of the Implementer.....	111
4.2.4.4. Bureaucratic Structure.....	121
<b>CHAPTER V CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>5.1. Conclusion.....</b>	<b>131</b>
<b>5.2. Recommendation.....</b>	<b>136</b>
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>138</b>
<b>ANNEXES.....</b>	<b>143</b>