

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ENDORSEMENT</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT PAGE</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>DISCLAIMER</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENT</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>x</b>
<b>INTISARI</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
A. Underlying Background	1
B. Problem Statement	5
C. Obejectives	5
D. Originality of the Research	6
E. Benefit of the Study	7
<b>CHAPTER II: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK</b>	<b>8</b>
A. <i>Ad Hoc</i> Declaration	8
B. ICC Exercise Jurisdiction	14
1. Temporal Jurisdiction	15
2. Personal Jurisdiction	17
3. Subject Matter Jurisdiction	21

4. Territorial Jurisdiction	22
C. Territorial Threshold	23
1. Sovereignty	24
2. Effective Control	28
<b>CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD</b>	<b>31</b>
A. Type of Research	31
B. Source of Data	31
C. Data Collection Method	33
D. Data Analysis	33
<b>CHAPTER IV: RESEARCH FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS</b>	<b>37</b>
A. Requirements of ad hoc declaration under 12 (3) of the Rome Statute with regards the ‘territorial threshold’	37
1. Interpretation of Article 12(3) of RS with VCLT 1969: contextual and teleological interpretation	40
2. Supplementary means of interpretation Article 12(3) by <i>travaux preparatoire</i> of the Rome Statute	45
3. Interpretation of term ‘State’ in Article 12 (3) of the Rome Statute with regards of the ‘territorial threshold’	49
B. The applications of territorial threshold in Article 12 (3) of Rome Statute	58
1. Case Study : Ad Hoc Declaration by Palestine	58
2. Case Study : Ad Hoc declaration by Uganda	74
<b>CHAPTER V: CLOSURE</b>	<b>78</b>
A. Conclusions	78
B. Recommendation	82



UNIVERSITAS  
GADJAH MADA

**THE IMPLICATIONS OF ARTICLE 12 (3) OF THE ROME STATUTE WITH REGARDS TO THE  
TERRITORIAL THRESHOLD**

AGAM SUBARKAH, Prof. Dr. Sigit Riyanto, S.H., LL.M

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2017 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

**83**