

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE	i
ENDORSEMENT	ii
APPROVAL	iii
STATEMENT OF ANTI-PLAGIARISM	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
ABSTRACT	viii
INTISARI	ix
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background	1
B. Research Questions	10
C. Research Goal.....	10
D. Originality of Research	11
E. Benefit of Study	14
CHAPTER II: THEORETICAL REVIEW	16
A. Corporate Crime	16
B. Corporate Criminal Liability	18
1. Criminal Liability.....	18
2. Corporate Criminal Liability.....	20
C. Criminal Justice System	23
D. Deferred Prosecution Agreement	30
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHOD	36
A. Type of Research.....	36
B. Type of Data.....	37
C. Data Collection Method	39
D. Data Analysis	40
E. Research Limitation.....	40
CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS	41
A. United States and United Kingdom legal framework on Deferred Prosecution Agreement and its implementation	41
A.1. United States	41

1.a. Background of DPA	41
1.b. Legal Basis	43
1.c. Type of Crimes	46
1.d. Development of DOJ Memos	47
1.e. The Implementation of DPA in the United States on several cases	57
i. United States vs Fokker Services B.V.	57
ii. The Massey Upper Big Branch Mine Case	59
A.2. United Kingdom	62
2.a. Background of DPA	62
2.b. Legal Basis	64
2.c. Type of Crimes	65
2.d. Contents of Deferred Prosecution Agreement	66
2.e. Two Stage Test	68
2.f. Procedures of Deferred Prosecution Agreement	69
2.g. The Implementation of DPA in United Kingdom on several cases	73
i. Standard Bank Case	73
ii. Rolls Royce Case	75
A.3. Highlights of DPA in US and UK	78
3.a. Significant Features of DPA in US and UK	78
3.b. Comparison Table of DPA in US and UK	79
B. Deferred Prosecution Agreement in Indonesia	80
1. Common Law Concept Should Not Be a Barrier	81
2. Indonesia Discretionary Prosecution System	82
3. <i>Afdoening Buiten Process</i> as the Principle of An Out-of-Court Settlement	83
4. Possible Position of DPA in Indonesian Law	88
5. Prosecutor Guideline on DPA	90
6. Proposal of DPA in Indonesia	92
CHAPTER V: CLOSURE	95
A. Conclusion	95
B. Recommendation	96
BIBLIOGRAPHY	97