

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title	i
Endorsement	ii
DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY	iii
DEDICATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
ABSTRACT	x
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
A. Background of the Study	1
B. Research Problems	5
C. Research Questions	6
D. Research Objectives	6
E. Benefits of the study	7
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	8
A. Biomass	8
B. <i>P. canescens</i> Jack	11
C. Relevant Studies	14
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODS	16
A. Research Site	16
B. Time Allocation	18
C. Field and Research Instruments	18
1. Field instruments	18
2. Research instruments	19
D. Research Method	19
1. Stem	22
2. Branch	23
3. Leaves	24
4. Above ground biomass of the tree	25
5. Allometric Model	26
6. Validation	27
E. Flow chart of method	28
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	29
A. Moisture content of tree components	29
B. Basic wood density of each single tree	32

C. Above-ground biomass.....	35
D. Allometric Model Development.....	38
1. Best Allometric Model of AGB.....	38
2. Validation of the best allometric model.....	40
3. Comparison of best model with previous study.....	42
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....	45
A. Conclusion.....	45
B. Recommendations	45
REFERENCES.....	47

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Taxonomy of <i>P canescens</i> Jack	12
Table 2. Diameter of selected sample	20
Table 3. Statistical summary of moisture content (%).....	31
Table 4. Statistical summary of Basic wood density (kg/m ³)	33
Table 5. Summary of each tree	37
Table 6. Statistical summary of each tree	37
Table 7. Ratio of tree component to AGB	38
Table 8. Best Allometric model for AGB	40
Table 9. Validation of first model (Dbh)	41
Table 10. Validation of second model (Dbh ² H).....	42
Table 11. Comparison of the best models.....	43

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Map of research site.....	17
Figure 2. The flow chart of the research method	28
Figure 3. Stem, branches and leaves Moisture content in %	31
Figure 4. Basic wood density (kg/m^3) of six trees	34
Figure 5. Flow pattern of model comparison	44