

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdillah, W., & Hartono, J. (2015). *Partial Least Square (PLS): Alternatif Structural Equation Modelling (SEM) dalam Penelitian Bisnis*. Yogyakarta: CV Andi.
- Akbar, R., Pilcher, A. R., & Perrin, B. (2015). Implementing Performance Measurement Systems: Indonesia Local Government Under Pressure. *Qualitative Research in Accounting and Management*, 12(1), 3–33.
- Akbar, R., Pilcher, R., & Perrin, B. (2012). Performance measurement in Indonesia: the case of local government. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 24(3), 262–291. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01140581211283878>
- Alsharari, N. M. (2019). Accounting changes and beyond budgeting principles (BBP) in the public sector: Institutional isomorphism. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPSM-10-2018-0217>
- Anderson, R. (2004). Intuitive Inquiry: An Epistemology of the Heart for Scientific Inquiry. *The Humanistic Psychologist*, 1–4.
- Berman, E., & Wang, X. (2000). Performance Measurement in U.S. Counties: Capacity for Reform. *Public Administration Review*, 60(5), 409–420.
- Bouckaert, G. (1993). *Measurement and Meaningful Management*. 17(1), 31–43.
- Bourgeois, I. (2016). Performance measurement as precursor to organizational evaluation capacity building. *Evaluation Journal of Australasia*, 16(1), 11–18. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1035719X1601600103>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, (January). <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brignall, S., & Modell, S. (2000). An institutional perspective on performance measurement and management in the “new public sector.” *Management Accounting Research*, 11(3), 281–306. <https://doi.org/10.1006/mare.2000.0136>
- Cavalluzzo, K. S., & Ittner, C. D. (2004). Implementing performance measurement innovations: Evidence from government. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 29(3–4), 243–267. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682\(03\)00013-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0361-3682(03)00013-8)
- Chan, Y. C. L. (2004). Performance measurement and adoption of balanced scorecards: A survey of municipal governments in the USA and Canada. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 17(2–3), 204–221. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550410530144>
- Cohen, J. (1992). Statistical Power Analysis. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 1(3), 98–101. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8721.ep10768783>
- Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2014). *Business research methods* (Twelfth Ed). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Creswell, J. W. (2016). *Research Design Pendekatan Kualitatif, Kuantitatif, dan Campuran*. Pustaka Pelajar.
- Depoers, F., & Jérôme, T. (2019). Coercive, normative, and mimetic

- isomorphisms as drivers of corporate tax disclosure: The case of the tax reconciliation. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-04-2018-0048>
- Dillard, J. F., Rigsby, J. T., & Goodman, C. (2004). The making and remaking of organization context: Duality and the institutionalization process. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 17(4), 506–542.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513570410554542>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The Iron Cage Revisited : Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational Fields Author (s): Paul J . DiMaggio and Walter W . Powell Published by : American Sociological Association Stable URL : <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2095101>.
American Sociological Review, 48(2), 147–160.
- Domanovic, V. (2013). The effectiveness of the performance measurement in terms of contemporary business environment. *Ekonomski Horizons*, 15(1), 31–44. <https://doi.org/10.5937/ekonhor1301031d>
- Eliuz, S., Kapucu, N., Ustun, Y., & Demirhan, C. (2017). Predictors of an Effective Performance Measurement System: Evidence from Municipal Governments in Turkey. *International Journal of Public Administration*, 40(4), 329–341. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01900692.2015.1113547>
- Fernandez, S., & Rainey, H. G. (2006). Managing successful organizational change in the public sector. *Public Administration Review*, 66(2), 168–176.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2006.00570.x>
- Fryer, K., Antony, J., & Ogden, S. (2009). Performance management in the public sector. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 22(6), 478–498.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550910982850>
- Fuentes, S. F., & Borreguero, J. H. (2018). Institutional capacity in the accounting reform process in Spanish local governments. *Cómo Citar Este Artículo:*, 21(2), 188–195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcsar.2018.05.002>
- Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A primer on partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM). In *International Journal of Research & Method in Education* (Second Edi, Vol. 38). <https://doi.org/10.1080/1743727x.2015.1005806>
- Hartono, J. (2011). *Konsep dan Aplikasi: Structural Equation Modeling Berbasis Varian dalam Penelitian Bisnis*. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN Yogyakarta.
- Hennink, M., Hutter, I., & Bailey, A. (2011). *Qualitative Research methods*. Sage Publications.
- Ho, A., & Coates, P. (2004). Citizen-Initiated Performance Assessment : The Initial Iowa Experience. *Public Performance & Management Review*, 27(3), 29–50.
- Ho, A. T. (2005). Accounting for the Value of Performance Measurement from the Perspective of Midwestern Mayors. *Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory*, 16(2), 217–237.
- Ho, A. T. kei. (2003). *Perceptions of Performance Measurement and the Practice of Performance Reporting by Small Cities*. 35(3), 161–173.
- Holzer, M., & de Lancer Julnes, P. (2001). Promoting the utilization of performance measures in public organizations: an empirical study of factors

- affecting adoption and implementation. *Public Administration Review*, 61, 693+. Retrieved from <http://go.galegroup.com.ez.sun.ac.za/ps/i.do?id=GALE%7CA80776626&v=2.1&u=27uos&it=r&p=AONE&sw=w>
- Hoontis, P., & Kim, T. (2012). Antecedents to municipal performance measurement implementation. *Public Performance and Management Review*, 36(1), 158–173. <https://doi.org/10.2753/PMR1530-9576360108>
- Hopper, T., & Major, M. (2007). Extending institutional analysis through theoretical triangulation: Regulation and activity-based costing in portuguese telecommunications. *European Accounting Review*, 16(1), 59–97. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180701265879>
- Inpres No 7 Tahun 1999. (n.d.). *Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah*. 1–6.
- Johnson, R. B., Onwuegbuzie, A. J., & Turner, L. A. (2007). *Mixed Methods Research*. 112–133.
- Kloot, L. (1999). Performance measurement and accountability in Victorian local government. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 12(7), 565–584. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513559910308039>
- Martin, L. L., & Frahm, K. (2010). The changing nature of accountability in administrative practice. *Journal of Sociology and Social Welfare*, 37(1), 137–148.
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structure as Myth and Ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*, 83(2), 340–363. <https://doi.org/10.1086/226550>
- Palmer, A. J. (1993). Performance measurement in local government. *Public Money and Management*, 13(4), 31–36. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540969309387786>
- Peraturan Pemerintah No. 8 Tahun 2006. (n.d.). *Pelaporan Keuangan dan Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah*. Retrieved from <https://www.ut.ac.id/en/node/515>
- Peraturan Pemerintah No 13 Tahun 2019. (n.d.). *Laporan dan Evaluasi Penyelenggaraan Pemerintahan Daerah (LPPD)*. 1–27.
- Perpres No 29 Tahun 2014. (n.d.). *Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah*. 1–11.
- Phillips, J. R., & Jiao, A. Y. (2016). Institutional isomorphism and the federal consent decree: The case of the Los Angeles Police Department. *Policing*, 39(4), 756–772. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PIJPSM-11-2015-0132>
- Poister, H. (1999). *Performance Measurement in Municipal Government : Adssesng the State of the Practice*. 59(4), 325–335.
- Poister, T. H., Maria P, A., & Jeremy, L. H. (2015). *Managing and Measuring Performance in Public and Nonprofit Organizations*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Pollanen, R. M. (2005). Performance measurement in municipalities: Empirical evidence in Canadian context. *International Journal of Public Sector Management*, 18(1), 4–24. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09513550510576125>
- Primarisanti, H., & Akbar, R. (2015). Factors Influencing the Success of Performance Measurement: Evidence From Local Government. *Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business*, 30(1), 56–71.

- <https://doi.org/10.22146/jieb.7334>
- Sanger, M. B. (2014). From Measurement to Management : Breaking through the Barriers to State and Local Performance. *Public Administration Review*, 68, 570–585.
- Scapens, R. W. (2006). Understanding management accounting practices: A personal journey. *British Accounting Review*, 38(1), 1–30.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bar.2005.10.002>
- Scott, W. R. (2014). Institutions and Organizations. Ideas, Interests and Identities. In *Sage Pub* (Vol. 17). <https://doi.org/10.3917/mana.172.0136>
- Sholihin, M., & Ratmono, D. (2013). *Analisis SEM-PLS dengan WarpPLS 3.0 (untuk Hubungan Nonlinier dalam Penelitian Sosial dan Bisnis)*. Yogyakarta: Penerbit Andi Offset.
- Sinclair, D., & Zairi, M. (1996). Assessing the effectiveness of performance measurement systems: A case study. *Total Quality Management*, 7(4), 367–378. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09544129650034729>
- Sofyani, H., & Akbar, R. (2013). Hubungan Faktor Internal Institusi dan Implementasi Sistem Akuntabilitas Kinerja Instansi Pemerintah (SAKIP) di Pemerintah Daerah. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia*, 10(2), 184–205.
- Stehr, N. (1992). *Practical Knowledge : Applying the Social Sciences*. London: Sage Publications.
- Syachbrani, W., & Akbar, R. (2013). Faktor-Faktor Teknis dan Keorganisasian yang Memengaruhi Pengembangan Sistem Pengukuran Kinerja Pemerintah Daerah W arka Syachbrani Rusdi Akbar Faktor-Faktor. *Jurnal Reviu Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 3(2), 447–464.
- Taylor, J. (2011). Factors influencing the use of performance information for decision making in Australian state agencies. *Public Administration*, 89(4), 1316–1334. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2011.02008.x>
- Tung, A., Baird, K., & Schoch, H. P. (2011). Factors influencing the effectiveness of performance measurement systems. *International Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 31(12), 1287–1310.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571111187457>
- Van De Walle, S. (2008). Comparing the performance of national public sectors: Conceptual problems. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 57(4), 329–338.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/17410400810867535>
- Wang, X. (2001). *Assessing Public Participation in U.S. Cities*. 24(4), 322–336.
- Wang, X. (2010). *Performance analysis for public and nonprofit organizations*. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.
- Woolum, J. (2016). *Citizen Involvement in Performance Measurement and Reporting A Comparative Case Study from Local Government*. 9576(April).
<https://doi.org/10.2753/PMR1530-9576350104>
- Yang, K., & Holzer, M. (2006). The performance-trust link: Implications for performance measurement. *Public Administration Review*, 66(1), 114–126.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2006.00560.x>
- Yang, K., & Hsieh, J. Y. (2007). Managerial effectiveness of government

performance measurement: Testing a middle-range model. *Public Administration Review*, 67(5), 861–879. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6210.2007.00774.x>

Zineldin, M. (2006). The quality of health care and patient satisfaction: An exploratory investigation of the 5Qs model at some Egyptian and Jordanian medical clinics. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 19(1), 60–92. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09526860610642609>