

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdel-rahim, H. Y., & Stevens, D. E. (2017). Information System Precision and Honesty in Managerial Reporting: A Re-examination of Information Asymmetry Effects. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 64(January 2018), 31–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2017.12.004>
- Adams, J. S. (1964). *Inequity in Social Exchange*.
- Anthony, R. N., & Govindarajan, V. (2007). *Management Control Systems* (12th ed.). Mc Graw Hill.
- Babbie, E. (2007). *The Basics of Social Research* (4th ed.). Thomson Wadsworth.
- Baiman, S., & Rajan, M. V. (1995). The Informational Advantages Discretionary Bonus Schemes of. *American Accounting Association*, 70(4), 557–579.
- Baker, G., Gibbons, R., & Murphy, K. J. (1994). Subjective Performance Measures in Optimal Incentive Contracts. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 109(4), 1125–1156.
- Bates, S. (2003). Forced Ranging. *HR Magazine*, 62–68. <https://www.shrm.org/hr-today/news/hr-magazine/pages/0603bates.aspx>
- Belogolovsky, E., & Bamberger, P. A. (2012). Signaling in Secret: Pay for Performance and the Incentive and Sorting Effects of Pay Secrecy. *Academy of Management Journal*.
- Berger, J., Harbring, C., & Sliwka, D. (2013). Performance Appraisals and the Impact of Forced Distribution — An Experimental Investigation. *Management Science*, 59(1), 54–68.
- Blume, B. D., Baldwin, T. T., & Rubin, R. S. (2009). Reactions to Different Types of Forced Distribution Performance Evaluation Systems. *Journal of Business and Psychology*, 24, 77–91. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10869-009-9093-5>
- Blume, B. D., Rubin, R. S., & Baldwin, T. T. (2013). Who is attracted to an organisation using a forced distribution performance management system? *Human Resource Management Journal*, 23(4), 360–378. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1748-8583.12016>
- Bol, J. C. (2011). The Determinants and Performance Effects of Managers' Performance Evaluation Biases. *The Accounting Review*, 86(5), 1549–1575. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-10099>
- Bol, J. C., Kramer, S., & Maas, V. S. (2016). How Control System Design Affects Performance Evaluation Compression: The Role of Information Accuracy and Outcome Transparency. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 51, 64–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2016.01.001>
- Bretz, R. D., Milkovich, G. T., & Read, W. (1992). The Current State of

- Performance Appraisal Research and Practice: Concerns, Directions, and Implications. *Journal of Management*, 18(2), 321–352.
- Chattopadhyay, R., & Ghosh, A. K. (2012). Performance Appraisal based on A Forced Distribution System : Its Drawbacks and Remedies. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17410401211277138>
- Chattopadhyay, R. (2017). Impact of Forced Distribution System of Performance Evaluation on Organizational Citizenship Behaviour. *Global Business Review*, 1(1988). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150917721819>
- Christian, S. (2018). How Relative Performance Information Affects Employee Performance: A Systematic Review of Empirical Research. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. https://doi.org/doi.10.2139_ssrn.3327238
- Collins, J. (2001). *Why Some Companies Make the Leap and Others Don't*.
- Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2014). *Business Research Methods* (Twelfth Ed). McGraw-Hill.
- Dijk, D. Van, & Schodl, M. M. (2015). Performance Appraisal and Evaluation. In *International Encyclopedia of Social & Behavioral Sciences* (Second Edi, Vol. 17). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.22034-5>
- Du, Y., Deloof, M., & Jorissen, A. (2013). Headquarters-Subsidiary Interdependencies and the Design of Performance Evaluation and Reward Systems in Multinational Enterprises. *European Accounting Review*, 22, 391–424. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09638180.2012.739824>
- Duffy, K. E., & Webber, R. E. (1974). On "Relative" Rating Systems. *Personnel Psychology*, 27, 307–311.
- Evans, J. H., Moser, D. V., Newman, A. H., & Stikeleather, B. R. (2012). *Honor Among Thieves : Open Internal Reporting and Managerial Collusion*.
- Festinger, L. (1954). A Theory of Social Comparison Processes. *Human Relations*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001872675400700202>
- Frederiksen, A., Lange, F., & Kriechel, B. (2017). Subjective performance evaluations and employee careers. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 134, 408–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2016.12.016>
- Gibbs, M., Merchant, K. A., Stede, W. A. Van Der, & Vargus, M. E. (2003). Determinants and Effects of Subjectivity in Incentives. *The Accounting Review*, 79(2), 409–436.
- Giebe, T., & Gürtler, O. (2012). Journal of Economic Behavior & Organization Optimal Contracts for Lenient supervisors. *Journal of Economic Behavior and Organization*, 81(2), 403–420. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2011.11.003>
- Giumetti, G. W., Schroeder, A. N., & Switzer, F. S. (2015). Forced Distribution

- Rating Systems: When Does “Rank and Yank” Lead to Adverse Impact? *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 100(1), 180–193. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0037191>
- Golman, R., & Bhatia, S. (2012). Performance Evaluation Inflation and Compression. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 37, 534–543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2012.09.001>
- Greenberg, J. (2008). *Organizational Justice: The Dynamics of Fairness in the Workplace*.
- Grund, C., & Przemek, J. (2012). Subjective Performance Appraisal and Inequality Aversion. *Applied Economics*, 44, 2149–2155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2011.560109>
- Guralnik, O., Rozmarin, E., & So, A. (2004). Forced distribution: Is it right for you? *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 15(3), 339–345. <https://doi.org/10.1002/hrdq.1107>
- Harris, M. M. (1994). Rater Motivation in the Performance Appraisal Context : A Theoretical Framework. *Journal of Management*, 20(4), 737–756.
- Indriantoro, N., & Bambang, S. (2002). *Metodologi Penelitian Bisnis* (Edisi Pert). BPFE-UGM.
- Laporan Tahunan PT Telkom Akses*. (2018).
- Maas, V. S., & Van Rinsum, M. (2013). How Control System Design Influences Performance Misreporting. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 51(5), 1159–1186. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1475-679X.12025>
- Maas, V. S., Van Rinsum, M., & Towry, K. L. (2012). In Search of Informed Discretion: An Experimental Investigation of Fairness and Trust Reciprocity. *The Accounting Review*, 87(2), 617–644. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-10205>
- Malhotra, G., & Mukherjee, A. (2013). Comparative study of forced distribution and absolute rating performance management systems. *International Journal of Business Excellence*, 6(2), 121–130. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBEX.2013.052475>
- Meisler, A. (2003). *Dead Man’s Curve*. <https://www.workforce.com/news/dead-mans-curve>
- Merchant, K. A., Stringer, C., & Theivananthampillai, P. (2010). *Relationships between Objective and Subjective Performance Ratings* (Issue 17).
- Moers, F. (2005). Discretion and Bias in Performance Evaluation : The Impact of Diversity and Subjectivity. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 30, 67–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aos.2003.11.001>
- Moon, S. H., Scullen, S. E., & Latham, G. P. (2016). Precarious Curve Ahead: The Effects of Forced Distribution Rating Systems on Job Performance. *Human*

- Resource Management Review*, 26(2), 166–179.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2015.12.002>
- Mulligan, J. R., & Bull Schaefer, R. A. (2011). A New Hope for Rank and Yank. *Journal of Leadership and Organizational Studies*, 385–396.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/1548051811405206>
- Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. (1995). *Understanding Performance Appraisal: Social, Organizational, and Goal-Based Perspectives*.
https://books.google.co.id/books?id=b0kPAQAAMAAJ&hl=id&source=gbs%0A_book_other_versions_r&cad=4%0A
- Nahartyo, E. (2013). *Desain dan Implementasi Riset Riset Eksperimen* (2nd ed.). UPP STIM YKPN.
- Nahartyo, E., & Utami, I. (2016). *Panduan Praktis Riset Eksperimen* (B. Sarwiji (Ed.); 1st ed.). Indeks.
- Prendergast, C. (1993). Discretion and Bias in Performance Evaluation *. *European Accounting Review*, 37, 355–365.
- Reips, U.-D. (2000). The Web Experiment Method: Advantages, Disadvantages, and Solutions. In *Psychology experiments on the Internet*.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012099980-4/50005-8>
- Roch, S. G., Sternburgh, A. M., & Caputo, P. M. (2007). Absolute vs Relative performance rating formats: Implications for fairness and organizational justice. *International Journal of Selection and Assessment*, 15(3), 302–316.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2389.2007.00390.x>
- Saiyadain, M. S. (2009). *Human Resources Management*.
<https://books.google.co.id/books?id=r4luzrhwUjMC&pg=PR7&lpg=PR7&dq=Saiyadain+human+resource+management&source=bl&ots=HPGDnb0Nyh&sig=ACfU3U2I6ZkIfh0pcuaoNwr4xqwFvVzMFA&hl=id&sa=X&ved=2ahUKEwjRm8qorbnlAhUTfSsKHSXNAGAQ6AEwEnoECAoQAQ#v=onepage&q=Saiyadain>
- Schleicher, D. J., Bull, R. A., & Green, S. G. (2009). Rater Reactions to Forced Distribution Rating Systems. *Journal of Management*, 35(4), 899–927.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206307312514>
- Scullen, S. E., Bergey, P. K., & Aiman-Smith, L. (2005). Forced Distribution Rating Systems and the Improvement of Workforce Potential: A Baseline Simulation. *Personnel Psychology*, 58(1), 1–32.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-6570.2005.00361.x>
- Stewart, S. M., Gruys, M. L., & Storm, M. (2010). Forced Distribution Performance Evaluation Systems: Advantages, Disadvantages and Keys to Implementation. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 16(1), 168–179.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/s1833367200002340>

Supriyono, R. A. (2016). *Akuntansi Keperilakuan*. Gadjah Mada University Press.

Zimmerman, J. L. (2000). *Accounting for Decision Making and Control* (S. Mattson (Ed.); 7th ed.). McGraw-Hill.