

CONTENTS

ENDORSEMENT PAGE	ii
STATEMENT	iii
PREFACE	v
NOMENCLATURE AND ABBREVIATION	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
INTISARI	viii
CONTENTS	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
CHAPTER I Introduction	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Research Problem	3
1.3 Research Novelty	3
1.4 Research Objective	9
1.5 Research Benefits	9
1.6 Thesis Outline	9
CHAPTER II Literature Review and Theoretical Basis	10
2.1 Literature Review	10
2.1.1 Multimedia Learning and Eye-tracking	10
2.1.2 Cognitive Style and Eye-tracking	12
2.1.3 Eye-tracking and Machine Learning	15
2.1.4 The Current Study	18
2.2 Theoretical Basis	18
2.2.1 Multimedia learning	18
2.2.2 Visualizer-Verbalizer Cognitive Style	19
2.2.2.1 Felder and Silverman Learning Styles Model	19
2.2.3 The Principle of Eye-tracking	21
2.2.4 Machine Learning	24
2.2.4.1 Feature Selection Methods	24
2.2.4.2 Supervised Learning Classification Algorithms	28
2.2.4.3 Machine Learning Models' Evaluation Metrics	32
2.2.5 Statistical Test	35
2.2.5.1 Statistical Kruskal-Wallis Test	35
2.2.5.2 Dunn's Post Hoc Test	36
2.2.6 Hypothesis	37
CHAPTER III Methodology	39
3.1 Research Flow	39
3.2 Participants	40
3.3 Apparatus and Materials	43
3.4 Experimental Design	43
3.4.1 Experimental Setup	44
3.4.2 Experimental Stimulus	44

3.4.3	Experimental Procedure	46
3.4.4	Informed Consent	47
3.4.5	Data Processing Procedure	47
3.4.6	Performance Metrics	49
CHAPTER IV	Results and Discussion	50
4.1	Results	50
4.1.1	Scaler, Feature Selection, and Algorithm Classification Performance Evaluation	50
4.1.1.1	K-Nearest Neighbor	53
4.1.1.2	Linear Discriminant Analysis	56
4.1.1.3	Logistic Regression	59
4.1.1.4	Multi-layer Perceptron	62
4.1.1.5	Gaussian Naive Bayes	65
4.1.1.6	Random Forest	68
4.1.1.7	Support Vector Machines	71
4.1.1.8	Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's post hoc statistical test	76
4.2	Discussion	92
4.2.1	Best selected features	92
4.2.2	Machine learning algorithms evaluation	94
CHAPTER V	Conclusions and Future Works	103
5.1	Conclusions	103
5.2	Future Works	104
REFERENCES	105

LIST OF FIGURES

Fig. 2.1	Example of Index of Learning Style (ILS) questions	20
Fig. 2.2	Example of Index of Learning Style (ILS) result	21
Fig. 2.3	Pupillary center corneal reflection method	22
Fig. 2.4	An illustration of filter method feature selection	25
Fig. 2.5	An illustration of wrapper method feature selection	26
Fig. 2.6	Stratified K-fold partition for cross-validation	33
Fig. 3.1	Research flow	39
Fig. 3.2	Participants' demographic data of gender	40
Fig. 3.3	Participants' demographic data of age	41
Fig. 3.4	Participants' demographic data of duration of computer usage in one day	41
Fig. 3.5	Participants' demographic data of eye condition	42
Fig. 3.6	Participants' demographic data of faculty background	42
Fig. 3.7	Gazepoint GP 3 standard 9 points calibration	44
Fig. 3.8	"Hydrology Cycle" stimulus	45
Fig. 3.9	Stimulus were displayed on secondary screen for 45 seconds duration	46
Fig. 4.1	Models' average test accuracy using RFE feature selection	50
Fig. 4.2	Models' average test accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	51
Fig. 4.3	K-Nearest Neighbors accuracy using SVM-RFE feature selection	53
Fig. 4.4	K-Nearest Neighbors accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	54
Fig. 4.5	K-Nearest Neighbors' Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest feature selection	55
Fig. 4.6	Linear Discriminant Analysis accuracy using SVM-RFE feature selection	56
Fig. 4.7	Linear Discriminant Analysis accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	57
Fig. 4.8	Linear Discriminant Analysis' Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest feature selection	58
Fig. 4.9	Logistic Regression accuracy using SVM-RFE feature selection	59
Fig. 4.10	Logistic Regression accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	60
Fig. 4.11	Logistic Regression's Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest feature selection	61
Fig. 4.12	Multi-layer Perceptron accuracy using SVM-RFE feature selection	62
Fig. 4.13	Multi-layer Perceptron accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	63
Fig. 4.14	MLP's Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest feature selection	64

Fig. 4.15 Gaussian Naive Bayes accuracy using SVM-RFE feature selection	65
Fig. 4.16 Gaussian Naive Bayes accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	66
Fig. 4.17 Gaussian Naive Bayes' Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest feature selection	67
Fig. 4.18 Random Forest accuracy using SVM-RFE feature selection	68
Fig. 4.19 Random Forest accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	69
Fig. 4.20 Random Forest's Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest feature selection	70
Fig. 4.21 SVM-Linear accuracy using SVM-RFE feature selection	71
Fig. 4.22 SVM-Linear accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	72
Fig. 4.23 SVM-Linear's Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest feature selection	73
Fig. 4.24 SVM-RBF accuracy using SVM-RFE feature selection	74
Fig. 4.25 SVM-RBF accuracy using SelectKBest feature selection	75
Fig. 4.26 SVM-RBF's Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest feature selection	76
Fig. 4.27 Kruskal-Wallis test p-value of machine learning evaluation metrics	92
Fig. 4.28 Best model test accuracy comparison between RFE and SelectKBest features	96
Fig. 4.29 Best model precision comparison using SelectKBest features	97
Fig. 4.30 Best model recall comparison using SelectKBest features	98
Fig. 4.31 Best model F1-score comparison using SelectKBest features	99
Fig. 4.32 Best model Matthews Correlation Coefficient comparison using SVM-RFE and SelectKBest	100

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1	Previous and current studies about eye-tracking, multimedia learning and machine learning	5
Table 2.1	Confusion matrix of binary classifications	33
Table 2.2	An example of a Kruskal-Wallis Test result	36
Table 2.3	An example of a Dunn's Post Hoc Test result	37
Table 4.1	Comparison between MinMax, Robust, and Standard-scaled Test Accuracy on RFE and SelectKBest feature selection.....	52
Table 4.2	KNN-RFE best model confusion matrix	54
Table 4.3	KNN-SelectKBest best model confusion matrix	55
Table 4.4	LDA-RFE best model confusion matrix	56
Table 4.5	LDA-SelectKBest best model confusion matrix	57
Table 4.6	LR-RFE best model confusion matrix	59
Table 4.7	LR-SelectKBest best model confusion matrix	60
Table 4.8	MLP-RFE best model confusion matrix	62
Table 4.9	MLP-SelectKBest best model confusion matrix	63
Table 4.10	Gaussian NB-RFE best model confusion matrix	65
Table 4.11	Gaussian NB-SelectKBest best model confusion matrix	66
Table 4.12	Random Forest-RFE best model confusion matrix	68
Table 4.13	Random Forest-SelectKBest best model confusion matrix	69
Table 4.14	SVM-Linear-RFE best model confusion matrix	71
Table 4.15	SVM-Linear-SelectKBest best model confusion matrix	72
Table 4.16	SVM-RBF-RFE best model confusion matrix	74
Table 4.17	SVM-RBF-SelectKBest best model confusion matrix.....	75
Table 4.18	Descriptive statistics of Test Accuracy	77
Table 4.19	Kruskal-Wallis test results of Test Accuracy	77
Table 4.20	Dunn's post hoc test results of Test Accuracy	78
Table 4.21	Descriptive statistics of normalized MCC (nMCC).....	79
Table 4.22	Kruskal-Wallis test results of nMCC	79
Table 4.23	Dunn's post hoc test results of normalized MCC	80
Table 4.24	Descriptive statistics of Visual and Verbal Precision	81
Table 4.25	Kruskal-Wallis test results of Verbal Precision.....	81
Table 4.26	Kruskal-Wallis test results of Visual Precision	81
Table 4.27	Dunn's post hoc test results of Verbal Precision	82
Table 4.28	Dunn's post hoc test results of Visual Precision	83
Table 4.29	Descriptive statistics of Visual and Verbal Recall.....	84
Table 4.30	Kruskal-Wallis test results of Verbal Recall	84
Table 4.31	Dunn's post hoc test results of Verbal Recall.....	85
Table 4.32	Kruskal-Wallis test results of Visual Recall	86
Table 4.33	Dunn's post hoc test results of Visual Recall.....	87
Table 4.34	Descriptive statistics of Visual and Verbal F1-score	88

Table 4.35 Kruskal-Wallis test results of Verbal F1-score	88
Table 4.36 Dunn's post hoc test results of Verbal F1-score	89
Table 4.37 Kruskal-Wallis test results of Visual F1-score	90
Table 4.38 Dunn's post hoc test results of Visual F1-score	91
Table 4.39 Descriptive statistics of selected Area of Interests eye-tracking features	94