

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Akaslan, D., & Law, E. L.-C. (2011). Measuring teachers' readiness for e-learning in higher education institutions associated with the subject of electricity in Turkey. *IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)*.
- Aldhafeeri, F. M., & Khan, B. H. (2016). Teachers' and Students' Views on E-Learning Readiness in Kuwait's Secondary Public Schools. *Journal of Educational Technology System*, Vol 45, Issue 2.
- Alhomod, S., & Shafi, M. M. (2013). Success Factors Of E-Learning Projects: A Technical. *12*(2).
- Ali, S., Uppal, M., & Gulliver, S. (2018). A conceptual framework highlighting e-learning implementation barriers. *Information Technology & People*. *31*(1)(156-180. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/ITP-10-2016-0246>).
- Alraimi, K. M., Zo, H., & Ciganek, A. P. (2015). Understanding the MOOCs continuance: The role of openness and reputation. *80*, Pages 28-38(<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2014.08.006>).
- APJII . (2018). *Laporan Survei Penetrasi dan Profil Perilaku Pengguna Internet Indonesia*. Jakarta: APJII-Asosiasi Penyelenggara Jasa Internet Indonesia.
- Arnavut, A., & Bicen, H. (2018). Determination of teachers' perspectives and level of readiness towards MOOCs for tolerance education. *Quality & Quantity*, Volume 52, Supplement 2, pp 929–943.
- Azwar, S. (2012). *Metode Penelitian*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Baran, E. (2011). *Tracing Successful Online Teaching in Higher Education: Voices of Exemplary Online Teachers*. Ames: Iowa State University.
- Baron, R. M. (1986). The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: Conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *51*(6), 1173-1182.
- Batson C.D., N. A. (2002). Four motives for community involvement. *3*(58 pp. 429-445).

- Berecz, A. (2017). Proposal for classifying e-learning models. 4(XII).
- Bezuidenhout, A. (2018). Analysing the Importance-Competence Gap of Distance Educators With the Increased Utilisation of Online Learning Strategies in a Developing World Context. *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning*, Volume 19, Number 3.
- Bhat, M. (2016). Modern trends in education different. . 6(1)(571–573).
- Chea, C. (2016). Benefits and challenges. *Asean J. Open Distance Learn.* 8(1)(16–23).
- Cherry, & Brianx, D. (2017). Analysis of academic administrators' attitudes: annual evaluations and factors that improve teaching. *Journal of higher education policy and management*, 296-306.
- Chiappe-Laverde, A., Hine, N., & Martínez-Silva, J. (2015). Literature and practice: A critical review of moocs. 22(44) 9-18(DOI: 10.3916/C44-2015-01).
- Chin, W. W., & Newsted, P. R. (1999). Structural Equation Modeling Analysis with Small Samples Using Partial Least Squares. *Stat. Strateg. Small Sample*, no. March, pp. 307–341.
- Creswell, J. W. (2016). *Research Design Pendekatan Kualitatif, Kuantitatif, dan*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Creswell, J., Plano , C. V., & Gutmann, M. (2003). Advanced mixed methods research designs. In: A. Tashakkori & C. Teddlie (Eds.), *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioral research*. 209-240 .
- Dias, S. B., & Diniz, J. A. (2014). Towards an Enhanced Learning Management System for Blended Learning in Higher Education Incorporating Distinct Learners' Profiles. *Educational Technology & Society*, 307–319.
- Fetters, M., Curry, L., & Creswell, J. (2013). Achieving Integration in Mixed Methods Design - Principle and Practices.
- Ghozali, I. (2006). *Structural Equation Modelling Metode Alternatif dengan Partial Least Square (PLS)*. Semarang: Badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.
- Ghozali, I. (2008). *Structural Equation Modeling Metode Alternatif dengan PLS* (Vol. Edisi II). Semarang: badan Penerbit Universitas Diponegoro.

- Ghozali, I. (2015). *Partial Least Squares Konsep, Teknik, dan Aplikasi Menggunakan Program SmartPLS3.0*. Semarang: Universitas Diponegoro.
- Hair, Hair, J., Hult, G., Ringle, C., & Sarstedt. (2016). A Primer on Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM).
- Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2014). Students' and instructors' use of massive open online courses (MOOCs): Motivations and challenges. *Educational Research Review*, 45-58.
- Hew, K. F., Hu, X., Qiao, C., & Tang, Y. (2019). What predicts student satisfaction with MOOCs: A gradient boosting trees supervised machine learning and sentiment analysis approach. *Computers & Education*, Volume 145, 103724.
- Hew, K., & Brush, T. (2007). Integrating technology into K-12 teaching: Current knowledge gaps and recommendations for future research. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 55 (3) (2007), pp. 223-252.
- Hew, K., & Sum, W. C. (2014). Students' and instructors' use of massive open online courses (MOOCs): Motivations and challenges. *12*(45-48).
- Hu, H. (2013, 4 1). *diverse education*. Dipetik 11 13, 2019, dari diverseeducation.com: <https://diverseeducation.com/article/52317/>
- Hung, M.-L. (2016). Teacher readiness for online learning: Scale development and teacher perceptions. *Computers & Education*, Volume 94, page 120-133.
- Islamnejad, T., & Masood, M. (2010, 2 15). Assessment of instructors' readiness for implementing e-learning in continuing medical education in Iran. *Medical Teacher*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 407-412, 2010.
- Jung, E., Kim, D., Yoon, M., Park, S., & Oakley, B. (2018). The influence of instructional design on learner control, sense of achievement, and perceived effectiveness in a supersize MOOC course. *128*(377-388).
- Jung, Y., & Lee, J. (2018). Learning Engagement and Persistence in Massive Open Online. *Computers & Education*, 122 (2018) 9-22.
- Kenn, S., Ragan, L., & Bigatel, M. P. (2012). The Identification of Competencies for Online Teaching Success. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, Volume 16: Issue 1.

- Khrysdianto, Y. E. (2019). *Analisis Faktor Penentu Kesiapan Sumber Daya Manusia pada Implementasi Massive Open Online Course (Studi Kasus: eLOK)*. Yogyakarta: Universitas Gadjah Mada.
- Kikkas, K., Laanpere, M., & Põldoja, H. (2011). Kikkas, K., Laanpere, M., & Põldoja, Open Courses: The Next big Thing in eLearning. In A. Rospigliosi (Ed.). *Proceedings of the 10th European Conference on e-Learning* (hal. (pp. 370–376)). Reading: Academic Publishing Limited.
- Kolowich, S. (2013). The professors who make the MOOCs. 28(59).
- Kop, R. (2011). The challenges to connectivist learning on open online networks: Learning experiences during a massive open online. *IRRODL*.
- Koutropoulos, A., Gallagher, M., Abajian, S., deWaard, I., Hogue, R., Keskin, N., et al. (2012). Emotive Vocabulary in MOOCs: Context & Participant Retention. *EURODL*.
- Kpolovie, P., & Iderima, E. (2016). Learners readiness for xMOOCs: Inequity in Nigeria. 4(3)(16-46).
- Leibowitz, B., Bozalek, V., Van Schalkwyk, S., & Winberg, C. (2015). Institutional context matters: The professional development of academics as teachers in South Africa. *Higher Education*, 69, 315-330. doi: 10.1007/s10734-014-9777-2.
- Lin , J., & Cantoni, L. (2018). Decision, Implementation, and Confirmation: Experiences of Instructors behind Tourism and Hospitality MOOCs. 19(1).
- Liu, M., Kang, J., & McKelroy, E. (2015). Examining learners' perspective of taking a MOOC: reasons, excitement, and perception of usefulness. 52(2 p129-146).
- McAuley, A., Bonnie, S., Siemen, G., & Cormier, D. (2010). *MASSIVE OPEN ONLINE COURSES Digital ways of knowing and learning*. Charlottetown, Canada: Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council ' s, the University of Prince Edward.
- McAuley, A., Stewart, B., Siemens, G., & Cormier, D. (2010). *MASSIVE OPEN ONLINE COURSES Digital ways of knowing and learning THE MOOC MODEL FOR DIGITAL PRACTICE*. Canada.

- Mowen, J. C., & Minor, M. (2002). *C. Mowen, John. Michael Minor. 2002. Perilaku Konsumen*. Jakarta: Erlangga Dharmmesta, Basu Swastha dan T. Hani Handoko.
- OECD. (2007). www.oecd.org/education/ceeri/38654317.pdf. Dipetik 11 7, 2019, dari www.oecd.org/ <http://www.oecd.org/education/ceeri/38654317.pdf>
- Perpres. (2016). *Perpres nomor 10 Tentang Dosen dan Tenaga Kependidikan Perguruan Tinggi Baru*. Jakarta: Presiden RI.
- PIKA UGM. (2019). *eLOK e-Learning: Open fot Knowledge Sharing*. Dipetik October 17, 2019, dari elok.ugm.ac.id: <https://elok.ugm.ac.id>
- PIKA Universitas Gadjah Mada. (2017, 5 13). *eLOK*. Dipetik 10 31, 2019, dari elok.ugm.ac.id: <https://elok.ugm.ac.id/mod/page/view.php?id=18>
- Ragan, L. (2012). *Faculty Development in Distance education: Issues, Trends, and Tips*. Wisconsin 53704, USA: Magna Publications, Inc.
- Reed, P. (2014). Staff experience and attitudes towards technology-enhanced learning. 22.
- Robbins, S. P., & Judge, T. A. (2017). *Perilaku Organisasi*. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Rogers, E. M. (2003). *Diffusion of innovations*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Roscoe, J. (1995). *Fundamental Research Statistics fot The Behavioral Sciences* .
- Rothmann, I., & Cooper, C. (2015). *Work and organizational psychology*. London: Routledge.
- Saekow, A., & Dolly, S. (2011). A study of e-learning readiness of Thailand's higher education comparing to the United States of America (USA)'s case.
- Saekow, A., & Samson, D. (2011, 3). *A study of e-learning readiness of Thailand's higher education comparing to the United States of America (USA)'s case*. Dipetik 11 22, 2019, dari <https://www.researchgate.net>: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/251999414_A_study_of_e-learning_readiness_of_Thailand's_higher_education_comparing_to_the_United_States_of_America_USA's_case

- Santoso, & Harry, B. (2018). Indonesian Perspective on Massive Open Online Courses: Opportunities and Challenges. *15*(1).
- Sari, A. R. (2019). MOOC instructor designs and challenges: what can be learned from existing MOOCs in Indonesia and Malaysia? (*Asia Pacific Education Review*).
- Sekaran, U. (2003). *Research Methods for Business, A Skill Building Approach*,. California: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, San Yose.
- Seok, S. (2008). The aspect of elearning. *7*(4)(725-741).
- Shea, P., Pickett, A. M., & Pelz, W. (2003). A follow-up investigation of teaching presence in the SUNY Networks. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, *7*(2).
- Shih, Y.-S., Lee, T.-T., Liu, C.-Y., & Mills, M. (2013). " Evaluation of an online orientation program for new healthcare employees ". *Computers, Informatics, Nursing* , Vol. 31 No. 7, pp. 343 - 350 .
- Statista. (2019, 3 29). <https://www.statista.com/chart/17518/internet-use-one-minute/>. (Statista) Dipetik 12 17, 2019, dari www.statista.com: <https://www.statista.com/chart/17518/internet-use-one-minute/>
- Subramaniam, T., Suhaimi, N. A., Latif, L. A., Kassim, Z. A., & Fadzil , M. (2019). MOOCs Readiness: The Scenario in Malaysia . *International Review of Research in Open and Distributed Learning* , Volume 20, Number 3 .
- Sugiyono. (2015). *Metode Penelitian Kombinasi (Mix Methods)*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Teo, T., & Zhou, M. (2014). Explaining the intention to use technology among university students: A structural equation modeling approach. *26*(2).
- Uys, P. (2007). Enterprise-wide technological transformation in higher education: the LASO model. *21 No. 3*(pp. 238-253).
- Vinagre, M. (2017). Developing teachers' telecollaborative competences in online experiential learning. *System*, Volume 64 Pages 34-45.
- Wagner , J. I., & Hollenbeck, J. (2010). *Organization Behavior, Securing Competitive Advantage (revised edition)*. New York & Oxon: Routledge.

- Yin, R. K. (2015). *Studi Kasus Desain dan Metode*. Jakarta: PT. Grafindo Persada.
- You, H. W. (2019). Students' Perception about Learning using MOOC. *14*(18).
- Yuan , L., & Powell, S. (2013, 3). *MOOCs and Open Education: Implications for Higher Education*. Dipetik 11 22, 2019, dari https://www.researchgate.net:https://www.researchgate.net/publication/265297666_MOOCs_and_Open_Education_Implications_for_Higher_Education