

TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE SHEET	i
APPROVAL PAGE	ii
PERNYATAAN BEBAS PLAGIASI	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
INTISARI	xii
ABSTRACT	xiii
CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Research Rationale	1
1.2. Research Objectives	2
1.3. Benefits	2
CHAPTER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	3
2.1. Potential Use of Rice in Thailand	3
2.2. The Advantage of Wine in Cuisine	3
2.3. Comparison of Siam Sato and Takara <i>hon-mirin</i>	3
2.4. Mixture Design of Experiment	4
2.5. Sensory Evaluation in (Cooking) Wine	5
2.6. Cooking Wine (<i>mirin</i>) Made from Thai Rice Wine	6
CHAPTER 3 MATERIALS AND METHOD	7
3.1. Research Time and Location	7
3.2. Materials and Chemical	7
3.3. Instrumentations	7
3.4. Research Outline	8
3.5. Formulation by Minitab® Statistical Software	9
3.5.1. Design of Experiments (DOE)	9
3.5.2. Model of Responses	10
3.5.3. Optimization of Formula	10
3.5.4. Validation of Model	11

3.6. Descriptive Sensory Test	11
3.6.1. Evaluation by Expertise and Data Analysis Method.....	11
3.6.2. Trained Panelists	12
3.7. Quantitative Hedonic Test by Consumer Test	12
3.8. Physical and Chemical Properties Analysis and Market Survey	13
3.8.1. Alcohol Analysis	13
3.8.2. Viscosity Analysis.....	13
3.8.3. Degree of Sweetness Measurement.....	13
3.8.4. pH Measurement	13
3.8.5. Color Analysis.....	14
3.8.6. Market Survey.....	14
CHAPTER 4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	15
4.1. Mixture Design Formula Trial and Response Analysis.....	15
4.1.1. The Alcohol Content (% v/v)	16
4.1.2. The Degree of Sweetness (° Brix)	17
4.1.3. The Viscosity (centipoise)	18
4.1.4. Formula Optimization by Overlaid Contour Plot and Response Optimizer	20
4.1.5. Model Validation.....	23
4.2. Descriptive Sensory Test	24
4.2.1. By Japanese Restaurant Chefs or Expertise.....	24
4.2.2. By Trained Panelists.....	28
4.3. Consumer Test of Prototype and Commercial Sample.....	30
4.4. Physical and Chemical Properties of <i>Mirin</i>	36
4.5. Introductory Market Analysis	37
CHAPTER 5 CONCLUSION.....	42
REFERENCE.....	43
APPENDICES.....	48

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. The Scoring Test of Sensory Evaluation between Sato and <i>Mirin</i>	4
Table 2. The proportion of each ingredient in the three components mixture	9
Table 3. The value requirement as base value for formula optimalization	11
Table 4. The physical and chemical analysis of eight formulas along with the resulting commercial product	15
Table 5. Analysis of variance for alcohol content (component proportions)	16
Table 6. Analysis of variance for sweetness degree (component proportions)	17
Table 7. Analysis of variance for viscosity (component amounts)	19
Table 8. Ingredients differences in formula A2 and A3	22
Table 9. The summary of determined formula with prediction value and experimental value of each response	24
Table 10. The applied sensory attribute of RATA sensory evaluation	24
Table 11. Rating sensory test result of applied attributes in each samples	26
Table 12. The ranking average value of preference test in each attributes	29
Table 13. The subjective analysis of bitterness and sweetness attribute in <i>mirin</i> .	31
Table 14. The overall liking and acceptance of the eel sauce	38
Table 15. The physical and chemical properties of <i>mirin</i> as standard quality parameter	39
Table 16. Formula composition of Formula A3	41

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1. The simplex design visualization.....	5
FIGURE 2. Research Outline	8
FIGURE 3. The illustration of simplex centroid of mixture design with the total of seven type of based formula	10
FIGURE 4. Mixture contour plot of alcohol content	17
FIGURE 5. Mixture contour plot of sweetness degree.....	18
FIGURE 6. Mixture contour plot of viscosity.....	19
FIGURE 7. The overlaid contour plot of viscosity, sweetness, and alcohol	20
FIGURE 8. The response optimizer by Minitab® Statistical Software.....	21
FIGURE 9. Symmetric plot of CA graph between sample and attribute.....	25
FIGURE 10. The PCA plot between sample and each attribute intensity	28
FIGURE 11. The panelist progressive by standard deviation value graph of eight panelists in five session.....	31
FIGURE 12. The 32 panelists demographic.	33
FIGURE 13. The sauce familiarity and Japanese food consumption frequency...	34
FIGURE 14. The intensity of attributes profile of sample 105, 110, and commercial Takara	35
FIGURE 15. The total penalty analysis graph	37
FIGURE 16. The quality aspects from the consumer point of view	40
FIGURE 17. The packaging type in the consumer aspect	41

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1. RATA Attributes Checklist Total Count.....	48
APPENDIX 2. Correspondence Analysis Factor Loadings	48
APPENDIX 3. Principle Component Analysis Factor Loadings	48
APPENDIX 4. Rate-All-That-Apply Sensory Evaluation Questionnaire	49
APPENDIX 5. Training Panelist Questionnaire.....	54
APPENDIX 6. Consumer Test Questionnaire	55
APPENDIX 7. Procedures of <i>Mirin</i> Production.....	62
APPENDIX 8. Ingredients and Procedures of Eel Sauce Making	62
APPENDIX 9. Procedures of Statistical Software Utilization.....	63
APPENDIX 10. Photos of Activity	75