

Daftar Pustaka

- Abramo, G., D'Angelo, C. A., Ferretti, M., & Parmentola, A. (2012). An individual-level assessment of the relationship between spin-off activities and research performance in universities. *R&D Management*, 42(3), 225-242.
- Abreu, M., & Grinevich, V. (2013). The nature of academic entrepreneurship in the UK: Widening the focus on entrepreneurial activities. *Research Policy*, 42(2), 408-422.
- Ackermann, F., & Eden, C. (2011). Strategic management of stakeholders: Theory and practice. *Long Range Planning*, 44(3), 179-196.
- Afonso, O., Monteiro, S., Thompson, M. (2012). [A growth model for the quadruple helix](#). *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 13(5), 849-865.
- Agle, B. R., Mitchell, R. K., & Sonnenfeld, J. A. (1999). Who matters to CEOs? An investigation of stakeholder attributes and salience, corporate performance, and CEO values. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(5), 507-525.
- Ahlstrom D, Bruton GD. 2006. Venture capital in emerging economies: networks and institutional change. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 30: 299–320.
- Alexander, A. T., Miller, K., & Fielding, S. (2015). Open for business: Universities, entrepreneurial academics and open innovation. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, 19(06), 1540013.
- Alsos, Agnete G., Hytti, U., & Ljunggren, E. (2011). Stakeholder theory approach to technology incubators. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, 17(6), 607-625.
- Alvesson, M., & Deetz, S. (2000). *Doing critical management research*. Sage.
- Alvesson, M., & Sandberg, J. (2011). Generating research questions through problematization. *Academy of Management Review*, 36(2), 247-271.
- Amaral, A., & Magalhaes, A. (2002). The emergent role of external stakeholders in European higher education governance. In *Governing higher education: National perspectives on institutional governance* (pp. 1-21). Springer Netherlands.
- Ambos, T. C., Mäkelä, K., Birkinshaw, J., & D'Este, P. (2008). When does university research get commercialized? Creating ambidexterity in research institutions. *Journal of Management Studies*, 45(8), 1424-1447.

- Amit, R., & Schoemaker, P. J. (1993). Strategic assets and organizational rent. *Strategic Management Journal*, 14(1), 33-46.
- Ashkanasy, N. M. (2016). Why we need theory in the organization sciences. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 37(8), 1126-1131.
- Armanios, D. E., Eesley, C. E., Li, J., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (2017). How entrepreneurs leverage institutional intermediaries in emerging economies to acquire public resources. *Strategic Management Journal*, 38(7), 1373-1390.
- Åstebro, T., Bazzazian, N., & Braguinsky, S. (2012). Startups by recent university graduates and their faculty: Implications for university entrepreneurship policy. *Research Policy*, 41(4), 663-677.
- Audretsch, D. B., & Feldman, M. P. (1996). R&D spillovers and the geography of innovation and production. *The American Economic Review*, 86(3), 630-640.
- Audretsch, D. B. (2014). From the entrepreneurial university to the university for the entrepreneurial society. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 39(3), 313-321.
- Autio, E., Kenney, P., Mustar, D., Siegel, M., & Wright, M. (2014). Entrepreneurial innovation: The importance of context. *Research Policy*, 43(7), 1097-1108.
- Azagra-Caro, J. M., Barberá-Tomás, D., Edwards-Schachter, M., & Tur, E. M. (2017). Dynamic interactions between university-industry knowledge transfer channels: A case study of the most highly cited academic patent. *Research Policy*, 46(2), 463-474.
- Bacharach, S. B. (1989). Organizational theories: Some criteria for evaluation. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 496-515.
- Balland, P. A. (2012). Proximity and the evolution of collaboration networks: evidence from research and development projects within the global navigation satellite system (GNSS) industry. *Regional Studies*, 46(6), 741-756.
- Balland, P. A., Boschma, R., & Frenken, K. (2015). Proximity and innovation: From statics to dynamics. *Regional Studies*, 49(6), 907-920.
- Balven, R., Fenters, V., Siegel, D. S., & Waldman, D. (2018). Academic Entrepreneurship: The Roles of Identity, Motivation, Championing, Education, Work-Life Balance, and Organizational Justice. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 32(1), 21-42.
- Bamberger, P. (2008). From the editors beyond contextualization: Using context theories to narrow the micro-macro gap in management research. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(5), 839-846.

- Banks, G. C., O'Boyle Jr, E. H., Pollack, J. M., White, C. D., Batchelor, J. H., Whelpley, C. E., ... & Adkins, C. L. (2016). Questions about questionable research practices in the field of management: A guest commentary. *Journal of Management*, 42(1), 5–20.
- Bathelt, H., Kogler, D. F., & Munro, A. K. (2010). A knowledge-based typology of university spin-offs in the context of regional economic development. *Technovation*, 30(9), 519-532.
- Batterink, M. H., Wubben, E. F., Klerkx, L., & Omta, S. W. F. (2010). Orchestrating innovation networks: The case of innovation brokers in the agri-food sector. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development*, 22(1), 47-76.
- Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99-120.
- Barney, J. B., Ketchen Jr, D. J., & Wright, M. (2011). The future of resource-based theory: revitalization or decline?. *Journal of Management*, 37(5), 1299-1315.
- Benner, M., & Sandström, U. (2000). Institutionalizing the triple helix: research funding and norms in the academic system. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 291-301.
- Benner, M. J., & Tushman, M. L. (2015). Reflections on the 2013 Decade Award—“Exploitation, exploration, and process management: The productivity dilemma revisited” ten years later. *Academy of Management Review*, 40(4), 497-514.
- Benneworth, P., & Jongbloed, B. W. (2010). Who matters to universities? A stakeholder perspective on humanities, arts and social sciences valorisation. *Higher Education*, 59(5), 567-588.
- Berbegal-Mirabent, J., Ribeiro-Soriano, D. E., & García, J. L. S. (2015). Can a magic recipe foster university spin-off creation? *Journal of Business Research*, 68(11), 2272-2278.
- Bercovitz, J., & Feldman, M. (2008). Academic entrepreneurs: Organizational change at the individual level. *Organization Science*, 19(1), 69-89.
- Berman, S. L., Wicks, A. C., Kotha, S., & Jones, T. M. (1999). Does stakeholder orientation matter? The relationship between stakeholder management models and firm financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, 42(5), 488-506.
- Bigliardi, B., Galati, F., & Verbano, C. (2013). Evaluating performance of university spin-off companies: Lessons from Italy. *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation*, 8(2), 178-188.

- Bischoff, K., Volkmann, C. K., & Audretsch, D. B. (2018). Stakeholder collaboration in entrepreneurship education: An analysis of the entrepreneurial ecosystems of European higher educational institutions. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43(1), 20-46.
- Björk, B. C., & Solomon, D. (2012). Pricing principles used by scholarly open access publishers. *Learned Publishing*, 25(2), 132-137.
- Blohm, I., Leimeister, J. M., & Krcmar, H. (2013). Crowdsourcing: how to benefit from (too) many great ideas. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, 12(4), 199-211.
- Boardman, P. C., & Ponomariov, B. L. (2009). University researchers working with private companies. *Technovation*, 29(2), 142-153.
- Bolton, R. N., Parasuraman, A., Hoefnagels, A., Migchels, N., Kabadayi, S., Gruber, T., ... & Solnet, D. (2013). Understanding Generation Y and their use of social media: a review and research agenda. *Journal of Service Management*, 24(3), 245-267.
- Bolzani, D., Fini, R., Grimaldi, R., Santoni, S., & Sobrero, M. (2014). Fifteen years of academic entrepreneurship in Italy: Evidence from the TASTE Project.
- Boschma, R. (2005). Proximity and innovation: a critical assessment. *Regional Studies*, 39(1), 61-74.
- Bozeman, B. (2000). Technology transfer and public policy: a review of research and theory. *Research Policy*, 29(4), 627-655.
- Brekke, T. (2015). Entrepreneurship and path dependency in regional development. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 27(3-4), 202-218.
- Brereton, P., Kitchenham, B., Budgen, D., & Li, Z. (2008). Using a protocol template for case study planning. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering*. University of Bari, Italy.
- Broekel, T., & Boschma, R. (2011). Knowledge networks in the Dutch aviation industry: the proximity paradox. *Journal of Economic Geography*, 12(2), 409-433.
- Bronstein, J., & Reihlen, M. (2014). Entrepreneurial university archetypes: A meta-synthesis of case study literature. *Industry and Higher Education*, 28(4), 245-262.
- Burrows, J. (1999). Going beyond labels: A framework for profiling institutional stakeholders. *Contemporary Education*, 70(4), 5.
- Burt, R. S. (2017). Structural holes versus network closure as social capital. In *Social Capital* (pp. 31-56). Routledge.

- Capaldo, A., & Petruzzelli, A. M. (2014). Partner geographic and organizational proximity and the innovative performance of knowledge-creating alliances. *European Management Review*, *11*(1), 63-84.
- Carayannis, E. G., Rogers, E. M., Kurihara, K., & Allbritton, M. M. (1998). High-technology spin-offs from government R&D laboratories and research universities. *Technovation*, *18*(1), 1-11.
- Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory*. Sage.
- Chesbrough, H. W. (2007). Why companies should have open business models. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, *48*(2), 22.
- Chiesa, V., & Piccaluga, A. (2000). Exploitation and diffusion of public research: the case of academic spin-off companies in Italy. *R&D Management*, *30*(4), 329-340.
- Choi, N., & Majumdar, S. (2014). Social entrepreneurship as an essentially contested concept: Opening a new avenue for systematic future research. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *29*(3), 363-376.
- Chrisman, J. J., Hynes, T., & Fraser, S. (1995). Faculty entrepreneurship and economic development: The case of the University of Calgary. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *10*(4), 267-281.
- Ciptono, WS. (2014) *Metodologi Riset Manajemen: Paradigmatik vs Pragmatik dalam Filosofi dan Metodologi Penelitian*. BPFE, Yogyakarta.
- Clarkson, M. E. (1995). A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review*, *20*(1), 92-117.
- Clarysse, B., Wright, M., Lockett, A., Van de Velde, E., & Vohora, A. (2005). Spinning out new ventures: a typology of incubation strategies from European research institutions. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *20*(2), 183-216.
- Clarysse, B., Wright, M., & Van de Velde, E. (2011). Entrepreneurial Origin, Technological Knowledge, and the Growth of Spin-Off Companies. *Journal of Management Studies*, *48*(6), 1420-1442.
- Clayton, P., Feldman, M., & Lowe, N. (2018). Behind the scenes: Intermediary organizations that facilitate science commercialization through entrepreneurship. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *32*(1), 104-124.
- Colombo, M. G., & Piva, E. (2012). Firms' genetic characteristics and competence-enlarging strategies: A comparison between academic and non-academic high-tech start-ups. *Research Policy*, *41*(1), 79-92.

- Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2014). *Business Research Methods*. © The McGraw–Hill Companies.
- Conceição, P., Heitor, M. V., & Oliveira, P. (1998). University-based technology licensing in the knowledge based economy. *Technovation*, 18(10), 615-658.
- Crane, A., & Ruebottom, T. (2011). Stakeholder theory and social identity: Rethinking stakeholder identification. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(1), 77-87.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.
- Crotty, M. (2003). *The foundations of Social Research: Meaning and perspective in the research process*. London: Sage.
- Dahlborg, C., Lewensohn, D., Danell, R., & Sundberg, C. J. (2017). To invent and let others innovate: a framework of academic patent transfer modes. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 42(3), 538-563.
- Davenport, S., Carr, A., & Bibby, D. (2002). Leveraging talent: spin-off strategy at industrial research. *R&D Management*, 32(3), 241-254.
- Deem, R., & Lucas, L. (2007). Research and teaching cultures in two contrasting UK policy contexts: Academic life in education departments in five English and Scottish universities. *Higher Education*, 54(1), 115-133.
- D’Este, P., & Patel, P. (2007). University–industry linkages in the UK: What are the factors underlying the variety of interactions with industry?. *Research Policy*, 36(9), 1295-1313.
- De Massis, A., & Kotlar, J. (2014). The case study method in family business research: Guidelines for qualitative scholarship. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, 5(1), 15-29.
- Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 6(2), 80-88.
- Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2011). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research*. Sage, California.
- Desrochers, N., Paul-Hus, A., Haustein, S., Costas, R., Mongeon, P., Quan-Haase, A., ... & Larivière, V. (2018). Authorship, citations, acknowledgments and visibility in social media: Symbolic capital in the multifaceted reward system of science. *Social Science Information*, 57(2), 223-248.
- Di Gregorio, D., & Shane, S. (2003). Why do some universities generate more start-ups than others?. *Research Policy*, 32(2), 209-227.

- Dinç IS. 2005. Politicians and banks: political influences on government-owned banks in emerging markets. *Journal of Financial Economics* 77(2): 453–479.
- Djokovic, D., & Souitaris, V. (2008). Spinouts from academic institutions: a literature review with suggestions for further research. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 33(3), 225-247.
- Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 65-91.
- Driscoll, C., & Starik, M. (2004). The primordial stakeholder: Advancing the conceptual consideration of stakeholder status for the natural environment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 49(1), 55-73.
- Druilhe, C., & Garnsey, E. (2004). Do academic spin-outs differ and does it matter?. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 29(3-4), 269-285.
- Dunham, L., Freeman, R. E., & Liedtka, J. (2006). Enhancing stakeholder practice: A particularized exploration of community. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 16(1), 23-42.
- Dunne, D. D., & Dougherty, D. (2016). Abductive reasoning: How innovators navigate in the labyrinth of complex product innovation. *Organization Studies*, 37(2), 131-159.
- Dutt N, Hawn O, Vidal E, Chatterji A, McGahan A, Mitchell W. 2016. How open system intermediaries fill voids in market-based institutions: the case of business incubators in emerging markets. *Academy of Management Journal* 59(3): 818–840.
- Edvardsson, B., Tronvoll, B., & Gruber, T. (2011). Expanding understanding of service exchange and value co-creation: a social construction approach. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 39(2), 327-339.
- Eesley, C., & Lenox, M. J. (2006). Firm responses to secondary stakeholder action. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27(8), 765-781.
- Eesley CE, Li JB, Yang D. 2016. Does Institutional change in universities influence high-tech entrepreneurship?: Evidence from China's Project 985. *Organization Science* 27(2): 446–461.
- Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(4), 532-550.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, 50(1), 25-32.

- Elias, A. A., Cavana, R. Y., & Jackson, L. S. (2002). Stakeholder analysis for R&D project management. *R&D Management*, 32(4), 301-310.
- Etzkowitz, H. (2013). Anatomy of the entrepreneurial university. *Social Science Information*, 52(3), 486-511.
- Etzkowitz, H. (2014). The entrepreneurial university wave: from ivory tower to global economic engine. *Industry and Higher Education*, 28(4), 223-232.
- Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: from National Systems and “Mode 2” to a Triple Helix of university–industry–government relations. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 109-123.
- Etzkowitz, H., Webster, A., Gebhardt, C., & Terra, B. R. C. (2000). The future of the university and the university of the future: evolution of ivory tower to entrepreneurial paradigm. *Research Policy*, 29(2), 313-330.
- Eun, J. H., Lee, K., & Wu, G. (2006). Explaining the “University-run enterprises” in China: A theoretical framework for university–industry relationship in developing countries and its application to China. *Research Policy*, 35(9), 1329-1346.
- Fajrih, Kuncoro, M. (2018). *Pengaruh Keuangan Inklusif (Financial Inclusion), Variabel Fundamental, Dan Variabel Kebijakan Terhadap Kesenjangan Di Indonesia 2007-2015* (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Gadjah Mada).
- Fassin, Y. (2009). The stakeholder model refined. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 84(1), 113-135.
- Fernández-Pérez, V., Alonso-Galicia, P. E., Rodríguez-Ariza, L., & del Mar Fuentes-Fuentes, M. (2015). Professional and personal social networks: A bridge to entrepreneurship for academics?. *European Management Journal*, 33(1), 37-47.
- Fini, R., Lacetera, N., & Shane, S. (2010). Inside or outside the IP system? Business creation in academia. *Research Policy*, 39(8), 1060-1069.
- Fitjar, R. D., & Timmermans, B. (2017). Regional skill relatedness: towards a new measure of regional related diversification. *European Planning Studies*, 25(3), 516-538.
- Fischer, B. B., Schaeffer, P. R., Vonortas, N. S., & Queiroz, S. (2018). Quality comes first: university-industry collaboration as a source of academic entrepreneurship in a developing country. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 43(2), 263-284.
- Foss, N. J. (1996). Knowledge-based approaches to the theory of the firm: Some critical comments. *Organization Science*, 7(5), 470-476.

- Freeman, R. E., & Reed, D. L. (1983). Stockholders and stakeholders: A new perspective on corporate governance. *California Management Review*, 25(3), 88-106.
- Freeman, R. E. (1999). Divergent stakeholder theory. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 233-236.
- Freeman, R. E., Wicks, A. C., & Parmar, B. (2004). Stakeholder theory and “the corporate objective revisited”. *Organization Science*, 15(3), 364-369.
- Freudenreich, B., Lüdeke-Freund, F., & Schaltegger, S. (2019). A stakeholder theory perspective on business models: Value creation for sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1-16.
- Friedman, J., & Silberman, J. (2003). University technology transfer: do incentives, management, and location matter?. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 28(1), 17-30.
- Friedman, M. T., & Mason, D. S. (2004). A stakeholder approach to understanding economic development decision making: Public subsidies for professional sport facilities. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 18(3), 236-254.
- Frooman, J. (1999). Stakeholder influence strategies. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 191-205.
- Fryges, H., & Wright, M. (2014). The origin of spin-offs: a typology of corporate and academic spin-offs. *Small Business Economics*, 43(2), 245-259.
- George G, Prabhu GN. 2000. Developmental financial institutions as catalysts of entrepreneurship in emerging economies. *Academy of Management Review* 25(3): 620-629.
- Geuna, A., & Muscio, A. (2009). The governance of university knowledge transfer: A critical review of the literature. *Minerva*, 47(1), 93-114.
- Gioia, D. A., Corley, K. G., & Hamilton, A. L. (2013). Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive research: Notes on the Gioia methodology. *Organizational Research Methods*, 16(1), 15-31.
- Giuliani, E., Morrison, A., Pietrobelli, C., & Rabellotti, R. (2010). Who are the researchers that are collaborating with industry? An analysis of the wine sectors in Chile, South Africa and Italy. *Research Policy*, 39(6), 748-761.
- Goes, P. B. (2014). Editor's comments: big data and IS research. *MIS Quarterly*, 38(3), iii-viii.

- Grant, R. M. (1991). The resource-based theory of competitive advantage: implications for strategy formulation. *California Management Review*, 33(3), 114-135.
- Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 17(S2), 109-122.
- Gredel, D., Kramer, M., & Bend, B. (2012). Patent-based investment funds as innovation intermediaries for SMEs: In-depth analysis of reciprocal interactions, motives and fallacies. *Technovation*, 32(9-10), 536-549.
- Grimaldi, R., Kenney, M., Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2011). 30 years after Bayh-Dole: Reassessing academic entrepreneurship. *Research Policy*, 40(8), 1045-1057.
- Guan, J., Yan, Y., & Zhang, J. J. (2017). The impact of collaboration and knowledge networks on citations. *Journal of Informetrics*, 11(2), 407-422.
- Guerrero, M., & Urbano, D. (2012). The development of an entrepreneurial university. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 37(1), 43-74.
- Guerrero, M., Urbano, D., Cunningham, J., & Organ, D. (2014). Entrepreneurial universities in two European regions: A case study comparison. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 39(3), 415-434.
- Haas, M. R., & Park, S. (2010). To share or not to share? Professional norms, reference groups, and information withholding among life scientists. *Organization Science*, 21(4), 873-891.
- Haeussler, C., & Colyvas, J. A. (2011). Breaking the ivory tower: Academic entrepreneurship in the life sciences in UK and Germany. *Research Policy*, 40(1), 41-54.
- Hansen, G. S., & Wernerfelt, B. (1989). Determinants of firm performance: The relative importance of economic and organizational factors. *Strategic Management Journal*, 10(5), 399-411.
- Harvey, B., & Schaefer, A. (2001). Managing relationships with environmental stakeholders: a study of UK water and electricity utilities. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 30(3), 243-260.
- Harrison, R. T., & Leitch, C. (2010). Voodoo institution or entrepreneurial university? Spin-off companies, the entrepreneurial system and regional development in the UK. *Regional Studies*, 44(9), 1241-1262.
- Harrison, J. S., & Wicks, A. C. (2013). Stakeholder theory, value, and firm performance. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 23(1), 97-124.

- Hayter, C. S. (2015). Public or private entrepreneurship? Revisiting motivations and definitions of success among academic entrepreneurs. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 40(6), 1003-1015.
- Hayter, C. S. (2016). Constraining entrepreneurial development: A knowledge-based view of social networks among academic entrepreneurs. *Research Policy*, 45(2), 475-490.
- Hayter, C. S., Lubynsky, R., & Maroulis, S. (2017). Who is the academic entrepreneur? The role of graduate students in the development of university spinoffs. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 42(6), 1237-1254.
- Heath, J., & Norman, W. (2004). Stakeholder theory, corporate governance and public management: what can the history of state-run enterprises teach us in the post-Enron era? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 53(3), 247-265.
- Heirman, A., & Clarysse, B. (2004). How and why do research-based start-ups differ at founding? A resource-based configurational perspective. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 29(3-4), 247-268.
- Heringa, P. W., Horlings, E., van der Zouwen, M., van den Besselaar, P., & van Vierssen, W. (2014). How do dimensions of proximity relate to the outcomes of collaboration? A survey of knowledge-intensive networks in the Dutch water sector. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 23(7), 689-716.
- Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2003). The dynamic resource-based view: Capability lifecycles. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(10), 997-1010.
- Helm, R., & Mauroner, O. (2007). Success of research-based spin-offs. State-of-the-art and guidelines for further research. *Review of Managerial Science*, 1(3), 237-270.
- Hill, C. W., & Jones, T. M. (1992). Stakeholder-agency theory. *Journal of Management Studies*, 29(2), 131-154.
- Hill, R. P., & Stamey, M. (1990). The homeless in America: An examination of possessions and consumption behaviors. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 17(3), 303-321.
- Hill, H., & Wie, T. K. (2012). Indonesian universities in transition: catching up and opening up. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, 48(2), 229-251.
- Hindle, K., & Yencken, J. (2004). Public research commercialisation, entrepreneurship and new technology based firms: an integrated model. *Technovation*, 24(10), 793-803.

- Hmieleski, K. M., & Powell, E. E. (2018). The psychological foundations of university science commercialization: A review of the literature and directions for future research. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 32(1), 43-77.
- Hoskisson RE, Eden L, Lau CM, Wright M. 2000. Strategy in emerging economies. *Academy of Management Journal* 43(3): 249–267.
- Hsieh, H. F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, 15(9), 1277-1288.
- Hsu, D. W., Shen, Y. C., Yuan, B. J., & Chou, C. J. (2015). Toward successful commercialization of university technology: Performance drivers of university technology transfer in Taiwan. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 92, 25-39.
- Huber, F. (2012). On the role and interrelationship of spatial, social and cognitive proximity: personal knowledge relationships of R&D workers in the Cambridge information technology cluster. *Regional studies*, 46(9), 1169-1182.
- Hughes, A., & Kitson, M. (2012). Pathways to impact and the strategic role of universities: new evidence on the breadth and depth of university knowledge exchange in the UK and the factors constraining its development. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, 36(3), 723-750.
- Indarti, N., & Dyahjatmayanti, D. (2014). *Manajemen Pengetahuan: Teori dan Praktik*. BPFE, Yogyakarta.
- Indarti, N., & Paramita, Widya. (2014). *Kajian Literatur Pemetaan Riset Terkini dan Identifikasi Peluang dalam Filosofi dan Metodologi Penelitian*. BPFE, Yogyakarta.
- Jasimuddin, S. M., Klein, J. H., & Connell, C. (2005). The paradox of using tacit and explicit knowledge: strategies to face dilemmas. *Management decision*, 43(1), 102-112.
- Johannessen, J. A., Olsen, B., & Olaisen, J. (2005). Intellectual capital as a holistic management philosophy: a theoretical perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 25(2), 151-171.
- Johns, G. (2017). Reflections on the 2016 decade award: incorporating context in organizational research. *Academy of Management Review*, 42(4), 577-595.
- Jongbloed, B., Enders, J., & Salerno, C. (2008). Higher education and its communities: Interconnections, interdependencies and a research agenda. *Higher Education*, 56(3), 303-324.

- Jones, T. M. (1995). Instrumental stakeholder theory: A synthesis of ethics and economics. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(2), 404-437.
- Jones, T. M., & Wicks, A. C. (1999). Convergent stakeholder theory. *Academy of Management Review*, 24(2), 206-221.
- Jones-Evans, D., Klofsten, M., Andersson, E., & Pandya, D. (1999). Creating a bridge between university and industry in small European countries: the role of the Industrial Liaison Office. *R&D Management*, 29(1), 47-56.
- Kalar, B., & Antoncic, B. (2015). The entrepreneurial university, academic activities and technology and knowledge transfer in four European countries. *Technovation*, 36, 1-11.
- Kamann, D. J. F. (2007). Organizational design in public procurement: a stakeholder approach. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 13(2), 127-136.
- Kieser, A., & Leiner, L. (2009). Why the rigour–relevance gap in management research is unbridgeable. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(3), 516-533.
- Kenney, M., & Patton, D. (2011). Does inventor ownership encourage university research-derived entrepreneurship? A six university comparison. *Research Policy*, 40(8), 1100-1112.
- Kirby, D. A. (2006). Creating entrepreneurial universities in the UK: Applying entrepreneurship theory to practice. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 31(5), 599-603.
- Kirchberger, M. A., & Pohl, L. (2016). Technology commercialization: a literature review of success factors and antecedents across different contexts. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 41(5), 1077-1112.
- Kochenkova, A., Grimaldi, R., & Munari, F. (2016). Public policy measures in support of knowledge transfer activities: a review of academic literature. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 41(3), 407-429.
- Kodcharat, Y., & Chaikew, A. (2012). University and industrial sector collaboration: the key factors affecting knowledge transfer. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 3(23).
- Kozlowski, S. W., & Klein, K. J. (2000). A multilevel approach to theory and research in organizations: Contextual, temporal, and emergent processes. In K. J. Klein & S. W. J. Kozlowski (Eds.), *Multilevel theory, research, and methods in organizations* (pp. 3–90). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Knockaert, M., Ucbasaran, D., Wright, M., & Clarysse, B. (2011). The relationship between knowledge transfer, top management team composition, and

- performance: the case of science-based entrepreneurial firms. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 35(4), 777-803.
- Knox, S., & Gruar, C. (2007). The application of stakeholder theory to relationship marketing strategy development in a non-profit organization. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 75(2), 115-135.
- Krabel, S., & Mueller, P. (2009). What drives scientists to start their own company?: An empirical investigation of Max Planck Society scientists. *Research Policy*, 38(6), 947-956.
- Kroll, H., & Liefner, I. (2008). Spin-off enterprises as a means of technology commercialisation in a transforming economy—Evidence from three universities in China. *Technovation*, 28(5), 298-313.
- Kurniasih, N., Hasyim, C., Wulandari, A., Setiawan, M. I., & Ahmar, A. S. (2018). Comparative Case Studies on Indonesian Higher Education Rankings. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 954, No. 1, p. 012021). IOP Publishing.
- Lach, S., & Schankerman, M. (2004). Royalty sharing and technology licensing in universities. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, 2(2-3), 252-264.
- Legendijk A. And Lorentzen A. (2007) Proximity, Knowledge And innovation in peripheral regions. On the intersection between geographical and organizational. *European Planning Studies* 15:457-466.
- Laine, M. (2010). The nature of nature as a stakeholder. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 96(1), 73.
- Lam, A. (2011). What motivates academic scientists to engage in research commercialization: 'Gold', 'ribbon' or 'puzzle'? *Research Policy*, 40(10), 1354-1368.
- Lamberton, C., & Stephen, A. T. (2016). A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing: Research evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future inquiry. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 146-172.
- Landry, R., Amara, N., & Rherrad, I. (2006). Why are some university researchers more likely to create spin-offs than others? Evidence from Canadian universities. *Research Policy*, 35(10), 1599-1615.
- Landry, R., Amara, N., & Saihi, M. (2007). Patenting and spin-off creation by Canadian researchers in engineering and life sciences. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 32(3), 217-249.

- Laplume, A. O., Sonpar, K., & Litz, R. A. (2008). Stakeholder theory: Reviewing a theory that moves us. *Journal of Management*, 34(6), 1152-1189.
- Lépineux, F. (2005). Stakeholder theory, society and social cohesion. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 5(2), 99-110.
- Leyden, D. P., Link, A. N., & Siegel, D. S. (2014). A theoretical analysis of the role of social networks in entrepreneurship. *Research Policy*, 43(7), 1157-1163.
- Li, J., Xia, J., & Zajac, E. J. (2018). On the duality of political and economic stakeholder influence on firm innovation performance: Theory and evidence from Chinese firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, 39(1), 193-216.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry* (Vol. 75). Sage.
- Lindelöf, P., & Löfsten, H. (2004). Proximity as a resource base for competitive advantage: University–industry links for technology transfer. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 29(3-4), 311-326.
- Lin, N. (2017). Building a network theory of social capital. In *Social Capital* (pp. 3-28). Routledge.
- Link, A. N., & Scott, J. T. (2005). Opening the ivory tower's door: An analysis of the determinants of the formation of US university spin-off companies. *Research Policy*, 34(7), 1106-1112.
- Link, A. N., & Siegel, D. S. (2005). University-based technology initiatives: Quantitative and qualitative evidence. *Research Policy*, 34(3), 253-257.
- Lockett, A., Siegel, D., Wright, M., & Ensley, M. D. (2005). The creation of spin-off firms at public research institutions: Managerial and policy implications. *Research Policy*, 34(7), 981-993.
- Lockett, A., & Wright, M. (2005). Resources, capabilities, risk capital and the creation of university spin-out companies. *Research Policy*, 34(7), 1043-1057.
- Logli, C. (2016). Higher education in Indonesia: Contemporary challenges in governance, access, and quality. In *The Palgrave handbook of Asia Pacific higher education* (pp. 561-581). Palgrave Macmillan, New York.
- Lu, L., & Etzkowitz, H. (2008). Strategic challenges for creating knowledge-based innovation in China: Transforming triple helix university-government-industry relations. *Journal of Technology Management in China*, 3(1), 5-11.
- Maassen, P. (2000). [The changing roles of stakeholders in Dutch university governance](#). *European Journal of Education*, 35(4), 449-464.

- Magness, V. (2008). Who are the stakeholders now? An empirical examination of the Mitchell, Agle, and Wood theory of stakeholder salience. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83(2), 177-192.
- Mahoney, J. T., & Pandian, J. R. (1992). The resource-based view within the conversation of strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13(5), 363-380.
- Mainardes, E., Alves, H., & Raposo, M. (2011). Stakeholder theory: issues to resolve. *Management Decision*, 49(2), 226-252.
- Mainardes, E., Alves, H., & Raposo, M. (2012). A model for stakeholder classification and stakeholder relationships. *Management Decision*, 50(10), 1861-1879.
- Mair J, Marti I, Ventresca MJ. 2012. Building inclusive markets in rural Bangladesh: how intermediaries work institutional voids. *Academy of Management Journal* 55(4): 819–850.
- Mansfield, E. (1998). Academic research and industrial innovation: An update of empirical findings. *Research Policy*, 26(7-8), 773-776.
- Marin, A., Mitchell, R. K., & Lee, J. H. (2015). The vulnerability and strength duality in ethnic business: A model of stakeholder salience and social capital. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 130(2), 271-289.
- Marrocu, E., Paci, R., & Usai, S. (2013). Proximity, networking and knowledge production in Europe: What lessons for innovation policy?. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 80(8), 1484-1498.
- Mars, M. M., & Rios-Aguilar, C. (2010). Academic entrepreneurship (re) defined: significance and implications for the scholarship of higher education. *Higher Education*, 59(4), 441-460.
- Maryani, E., & Arifin, H. S. (2012). Konstruksi Identitas Melalui Media Sosial. *Journal of Communication Studies*, 1(1).
- Mathieu, J. E., & Chen, G. (2011). The etiology of the multilevel paradigm in management research. *Journal of Management*, 37(2), 610-641.
- McAdam, R., Miller, K., McAdam, M., & Teague, S. (2012). The development of University Technology Transfer stakeholder relationships at a regional level: Lessons for the future. *Technovation*, 32(1), 57-67.
- McAdam, M., Miller, K., & McAdam, R. (2015). Situated regional university incubation: A multi-level stakeholder perspective. *Technovation*, 50, 69-78.

- McDermott GA, Corredoira RA, Kruse G. 2009. Public-private institutions as catalysts of upgrading in emerging market societies. *Academy of Management Journal* 52(6): 1270–1296.
- Medaglia, R. (2012). Engaged Scholarship in Research on Information Technology in Government: Stuck in the Ivory Tower?. *Information, Communication & Society*, 15(2), 246-259.
- Meoli, M., & Vismara, S. (2016). University support and the creation of technology and non-technology academic spin-offs. *Small Business Economics*, 1-18.
- Miles, S. (2012). Stakeholder: Essentially contested or just confused? *Journal of Business Ethics*, 108(3), 285-298.
- Miles, S. (2017). Stakeholder theory classification: A theoretical and empirical evaluation of definitions. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 142(3), 437-459.
- Miller, K., McAdam, M., & McAdam, R. (2014). The changing university business model: a stakeholder perspective. *R&D Management*, 44(3), 265-287.
- Miller, K., McAdam, R., & McAdam, M. (2018). A systematic literature review of university technology transfer from a quadruple helix perspective: toward a research agenda. *R&D Management*, 48(1), 7-24.
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853-886.
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., Chrisman, J. J., & Spence, L. J. (2011). Toward a theory of stakeholder salience in family firms. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 21(2), 235-255.
- Mitchell, R. K., Robinson, R. E., Marin, A., Lee, J. H., & Randolph, A. F. (2013). Spiritual identity, stakeholder attributes, and family business workplace spirituality stakeholder salience. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, 10(3), 215-252.
- Mitchell, R. K., Lee, J. H., & Agle, B. R. (2017). Stakeholder prioritization work: The role of stakeholder salience in stakeholder research. In *Stakeholder Management* (pp. 123-157). Emerald Publishing Limited.
- Miranda, F. J., Chamorro-Mera, A., & Rubio, S. (2017). Academic entrepreneurship in Spanish universities: An analysis of the determinants of entrepreneurial intention. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, 23(2), 113-122.

- Moeliোধihardjo, B.Y., Soemardi, B.W., Brodjonegoro, S.S., Hatakenaka, S. (2013). *Developing strategies for university, industry, and government partnership*. ACDP.
- Moher, David, Alessandro Liberati, Jennifer Tetzlaff, and Douglas G. Altman. (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6 (7): 264–269.
- Moray, N., & Clarysse, B. (2005). Institutional change and resource endowments to science-based entrepreneurial firms. *Research Policy*, 34(7), 1010-1027.
- Mowery, D. C., & Ziedonis, A. A. (2015). Markets versus spillovers in outflows of university research. *Research Policy*, 44(1), 50-66.
- Mudde, H., Widhiani, A. P., & Fauzi, A. M. (2018). Entrepreneurial University Transformation in Indonesia: A Comprehensive Assessment of IPB. *GSTF Journal on Business Review (GBR)*, 5(1).
- Munari, F., & Toschi, L. (2015). Assessing the impact of public venture capital programmes in the United Kingdom: Do regional characteristics matter?. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 30(2), 205-226.
- Muscio, A. (2010). What drives the university use of technology transfer offices? Evidence from Italy. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 35(2), 181-202.
- Mustar, P. (1997). How French academics create hi-tech companies: the conditions for success or failure. *Science and Public Policy*, 24(1), 37-43.
- Mustar, P., Renault, M., Colombo, M. G., Piva, E., Fontes, M., Lockett, A., ... & Moray, N. (2006). Conceptualising the heterogeneity of research-based spin-offs: A multi-dimensional taxonomy. *Research Policy*, 35(2), 289-308.
- Mustar, P., Wright, M., & Clarysse, B. (2008). University spin-off firms: lessons from ten years of experience in Europe. *Science and Public Policy*, 35(2), 67-80.
- Mustar, P., & Wright, M. (2010). Convergence or path dependency in policies to foster the creation of university spin-off firms? A comparison of France and the United Kingdom. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 35(1), 42-65.
- Nahapiet, J., & S. Ghoshal, (1998), Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2): 242-266.
- Ndonzuau, F. N., Pirnay, F., & Surlemont, B. (2002). A stage model of academic spin-off creation. *Technovation*, 22(5), 281-289.
- Neville, B. A., Bell, S. J., & Whitwell, G. J. (2011). Stakeholder salience revisited: Refining, redefining, and refueling an underdeveloped conceptual tool. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(3), 357-378.

- Nicolaou, N., & Birley, S. (2003). Academic networks in a trichotomous categorisation of university spinouts. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(3), 333-359.
- Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organization Science*, 5(1), 14-37.
- O'Connor, G. C., & McDermott, C. M. (2004). The human side of radical innovation. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 21(1-2), 11-30.
- Omar Sharifuddin Syed-Ikhsan, S., & Rowland, F. (2004). Knowledge management in a public organization: a study on the relationship between organizational elements and the performance of knowledge transfer. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 8(2), 95-111.
- O'Shea, R., Allen, T. J., O'Gorman, C., & Roche, F. (2004). Universities and technology transfer: A review of academic entrepreneurship literature. *Irish Journal of Management*, 25(2), 11.
- O'Shea, R. P., Allen, T. J., Morse, K. P., O'Gorman, C., & Roche, F. (2007). Delineating the anatomy of an entrepreneurial university: the Massachusetts Institute of Technology experience. *R&D Management*, 37(1), 1-16.
- O'Shea, R. P., Chugh, H., & Allen, T. J. (2008). Determinants and consequences of university spinoff activity: a conceptual framework. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 33(6), 653-666.
- Orts, E.W., & Strudler, A. (2009). [Putting a stake in stakeholder theory](#). *Journal of Business Ethics*, 88(4), 605-615.
- Parent, M. M., & Deephouse, D. L. (2007). A case study of stakeholder identification and prioritization by managers. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 75(1), 1-23.
- Parmar, B. L., Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., Wicks, A. C., Purnell, L., & De Colle, S. (2010). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. *The Academy of Management Annals*, 4(1), 403-445.
- Payne, G., & Williams, M. (2005). Generalization in qualitative research. *Sociology*, 39(2), 295-314.
- Payumo, J. G., Arasu, P., Fauzi, A. M., Siregar, I. Z., & Noviana, D. (2014). An entrepreneurial, research-based university model focused on intellectual property management for economic development in emerging economies: The case of Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia. *World Patent Information*, 36, 22-31.

Perkmann, M., Tartari, V., McKelvey, M., Autio, E., Broström, A., D'Este, P., ... & Krabel, S. (2013). Academic engagement and commercialisation: A review of the literature on university–industry relations. *Research Policy*, 42(2), 423-442.

[Phan, PH ., Siegel, DS., Wright, M. \(2005\). Science parks and incubators: observations, synthesis and future research. *Journal of Business Venturing*. 20\(2\), 165-12.](#)

Phillips, R., Freeman, R. E., & Wicks, A. C. (2003). What stakeholder theory is not. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, 13(04), 479-502.

Phillips, R. A., & Reichart, J. (2000). The environment as a stakeholder? A fairness-based approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 23(2), 185-197.

Pirnay, F., & Surlemont, B. (2003). Toward a typology of university spin-offs. *Small Business Economics*, 21(4), 355-369.

Powers, J. B., & McDougall, P. P. (2005). University start-up formation and technology licensing with firms that go public: a resource-based view of academic entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 20(3), 291-311.

Prodan, I., & Drnovsek, M. (2010). Conceptualizing academic-entrepreneurial intentions: An empirical test. *Technovation*, 30(5-6), 332-347.

Pucci, T. (2015). New venture creation in academia: preconditions and drivers for the emergence of academic spin-offs. *Sinergie Italian Journal of Management*, 161-180.

Purwaningtyas, N. D. (2013). *Pengaruh Jaringan Sosial, Pendanaan dan Energi Organisasi Produktif Terhadap Eksplorasi Pengetahuan, Eksploitasi Pengetahuan dan Kemampuan Organizational Ambidexterity dalam Institusi Riset*. Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Gadjah Mada.

Quintelier, K. J., Stoelhorst, J. W., & Bridoux, F. (2016). The Bright, Dark and Far Side of Stakeholder Reciprocity: Moral Psychology and Value Creation. In *Academy of Management Proceedings* (Vol. 2016, No. 1, p. 14537). Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510: Academy of Management.

Ramos-Vielba, I., & Fernández-Esquinas, M. (2012). Beneath the tip of the iceberg: exploring the multiple forms of university–industry linkages. *Higher Education*, 64(2), 237-265.

[Rasmussen, E., & Borch, O. J. \(2010\). University capabilities in facilitating entrepreneurship: A longitudinal study of spin-off ventures at mid-range universities. *Research Policy*, 39\(5\), 602-612.](#)

- Rasmussen, E., Mosey, S., & Wright, M. (2011). The evolution of entrepreneurial competencies: A longitudinal study of university spin-off venture emergence. *Journal of Management Studies*, 48(6), 1314-1345.
- Rasmussen, E., Mosey, S., & Wright, M. (2014). The influence of university departments on the evolution of entrepreneurial competencies in spin-off ventures. *Research Policy*, 43(1), 92-106.
- Rasmussen, E., Mosey, S., & Wright, M. (2015). The transformation of network ties to develop entrepreneurial competencies for university spin-offs. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, 27(7-8), 430-457.
- Rasmussen, E., & Sørheim, R. (2012). How governments seek to bridge the financing gap for university spin-offs: Proof-of-concept, pre-seed, and seed funding. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, 24(7), 663-678.
- Ramaciotti, L., & Rizzo, U. (2015). The determinants of academic spin-off creation by Italian universities. *R&D Management*, 45(5), 501-514.
- Rogers, E. M., Takegami, S., & Yin, J. (2001). Lessons learned about technology transfer. *Technovation*, 21(4), 253-261.
- Rothaermel, F. T., Agung, S. D., & Jiang, L. (2007). University entrepreneurship: a taxonomy of the literature. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 16(4), 691-791.
- Roper, S., & Hewitt-Dundas, N. (2015). Knowledge stocks, knowledge flows and innovation: Evidence from matched patents and innovation panel data. *Research Policy*, 44(7), 1327-1340.
- Rousseau, D. M., & Fried, Y. (2001). Location, location, location: Contextualizing organizational research. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 22(1), 1-13.
- Rowley, T. J. (1997). Moving beyond dyadic ties: A network theory of stakeholder influences. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 887-910.
- Rowley, T. I., & Moldoveanu, M. (2003). When will stakeholder groups act? An interest-and identity-based model of stakeholder group mobilization. *Academy of Management Review*, 28(2), 204-219.
- Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2016). *Artificial intelligence: a modern approach*. Malaysia; Pearson Education Limited.
- Santana, A. (2012). Three elements of stakeholder legitimacy. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 105(2), 257-265.
- Sanusi, M., Kuncoro, H. F. T., & Herianingrum, S. (2019). Makroekonomi dan Penanaman Modal Asing di Indonesia: Bukti Empiris di Pulau Jawa. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, 24(2), 288-303.

- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thronhill, A. (2012). *Research Methods for Bussiness Students (4th ed.)*. Harlow: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Schaeffer, V., Öcalan-Özel, S., & Pénin, J. (2018). The complementarities between formal and informal channels of university–industry knowledge transfer: A longitudinal approach. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 1-25.
- Seidman, I. E. (2013). *Interviewing as qualitative research: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences (4th ed.)*. Teachers College Press, New York.
- Shah, S. K., & Pahnke, E. C. (2014). Parting the ivory curtain: understanding how universities support a diverse set of startups. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 39(5), 780-792.
- Shane, S. (2001). Technological opportunities and new firm creation. *Management Science*, 47(2), 205-220.
- Shane, S. (2002). Selling university technology: patterns from MIT. *Management Science*, 48(1), 122-137.
- Shane, S. A. (2004). *Academic entrepreneurship: University spinoffs and wealth creation*. Edward Elgar Publishing, Massachusetts.
- Shane, S., & Khurana, R. (2003). Bringing individuals back in: the effects of career experience on new firm founding. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 12(3), 519-543.
- Shirokova, G., Osiyevskyy, O., & Bogatyreva, K. (2016). Exploring the intention–behavior link in student entrepreneurship: Moderating effects of individual and environmental characteristics. *European Management Journal*, 34(4), 386-399.
- Siegel, D. S., Waldman, D., & Link, A. (2003). Assessing the impact of organizational practices on the relative productivity of university technology transfer offices: an exploratory study. *Research Policy*, 32(1), 27-48.
- Siegel, D. S., Wright, M., & Lockett, A. (2007). The rise of entrepreneurial activity at universities: organizational and societal implications. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 16(4), 489-504.
- Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2015). Academic entrepreneurship: time for a rethink?. *British Journal of Management*, 26(4), 582-595.
- Smilor, R. W., Gibson, D. V., & Dietrich, G. B. (1990). University spin-out companies: technology start-ups from UT-Austin. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 5(1), 63-76.
- Smith, E. A. (2001). The role of tacit and explicit knowledge in the workplace. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 5(4), 311-321.pu

- Smith, H. L., & Ho, K. (2006). Measuring the performance of Oxford University, Oxford Brookes University and the government laboratories' spin-off companies. *Research Policy*, 35(10), 1554-1568.
- Smith, B. (2018). Generalizability in qualitative research: Misunderstandings, opportunities and recommendations for the sport and exercise sciences. *Qualitative research in sport, exercise and health*, 10(1), 137-149.
- Sørensen, J. B. (2007). Bureaucracy and entrepreneurship: Workplace effects on entrepreneurial entry. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 52(3), 387-412.
- Stake, R. E. (2010). *Qualitative research: Studying how things work*. Guilford Press, New York.
- Starik, M. (1995). Should trees have managerial standing? Toward stakeholder status for non-human nature. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 14(3), 207-217.
- Stead, J. G., & Stead, E. (2000). Eco-enterprise strategy: standing for sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 24(4), 313-329.
- Steffensen, M., Rogers, E. M., & Speakman, K. (2000). Spin-offs from research centers at a research university. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 15(1), 93-111.
- Steinmetz, K. F. (2012). Message received: Virtual ethnography in online message boards. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 11(1), 26-39.
- Stephan, A. (2014). Are public research spin-offs more innovative? *Small Business Economics*, 43(2), 353-368.
- Sternberg, R. (2014). Success factors of university-spin-offs: Regional government support programs versus regional environment. *Technovation*, 34(3), 137-148.
- Stoney, C., & Winstanley, D. (2001). Stakeholding: confusion or utopia? Mapping the conceptual terrain. *Journal of Management Studies*, 38(5), 603-626.
- Susanti, D. (2011). Privatisation and marketisation of higher education in Indonesia: the challenge for equal access and academic values. *Higher Education*, 61(2), 209-218.
- Tantalo, C., & Priem, R. L. (2016). Value creation through stakeholder synergy. *Strategic Management Journal*, 37(2), 314-329.
- Tarres, Serarols, C., Urbano, D., Vaillant, Y., & Bikfalvi, A. (2009). Research commercialisation via spin-off: the case of a non-elite university. *International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialisation*, 8(4), 356-378.

- Teece, D. J. (2014). The foundations of enterprise performance: Dynamic and ordinary capabilities in an (economic) theory of firms. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 28(4), 328-352.
- Tsai, W. (2002). Social structure of “coopetition” within a multiunit organization: Coordination, competition, and intraorganizational knowledge sharing. *Organization Science*, 13(2), 179-190.
- Umami, Z. (2015). *Social Strategy* pada Media Sosial untuk Promosi Pariwisata Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. *Interaksi: Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi*, 4(2), 195-201.
- Urbano, D., & Guerrero, M. (2013). Entrepreneurial universities: Socioeconomic impacts of academic entrepreneurship in a european region. *Economic Development Quarterly*, 27(1), 40-55.
- Valdez, M. E., & Richardson, J. (2013). Institutional determinants of macro-level entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, 37(5), 1149-1175.
- van Hoek, R., Aronsson, H., Kovács, G., & Spens, K. M. (2005). Abductive reasoning in logistics research. *International Journal Of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*.
- VanWynsberghe, R., & Khan, S. (2007). Redefining case study. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 6(2), 80-94.
- Vasilescu, R., Barna, C., Epure, M., & Baicu, C. (2010). Developing university social responsibility: A model for the challenges of the new civil society. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 2(2), 4177-4182.
- Villani, E., Rasmussen, E., & Grimaldi, R. (2017). How intermediary organizations facilitate university-industry technology transfer: A proximity approach. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 114, 86-102.
- Visintin, F., & Pittino, D. (2014). Founding team composition and early performance of university-based spin-off companies. *Technovation*, 34(1), 31-43.
- Vohora, A., Wright, M., & Lockett, A. (2004). Critical junctures in the development of university high-tech spinout companies. *Research Policy*, 33(1), 147-175.
- Wahyudi, A. (2016). *Identitas Virtual dan Penggunaan Tagar pada Pengguna Media Sosial Instagram Salatiga*. Doctoral Dissertation, Program Studi Komunikasi FISKOM-UKSW.
- Wang, X., Liu, C., Mao, W., & Fang, Z. (2015). The open access advantage considering citation, article usage and social media attention. *Scientometrics*, 103(2), 555-564.

- Ward, K., & Wolf-Wendel, L. (2004). Academic motherhood: Managing complex roles in research universities. *The Review of Higher Education*, 27(2), 233-257.
- Washington, M., & Ventresca, M. J. (2004). How organizations change: The role of institutional support mechanisms in the incorporation of higher education visibility strategies, 1874–1995. *Organization Science*, 15(1), 82-97.
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(2), 171-180.
- Wood, M. S. (2011). A process model of academic entrepreneurship. *Business Horizons*, 54(2), 153-161.
- Wright, M. (2014). Academic entrepreneurship, technology transfer and society: where next? *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 39(3), 322-334.
- Wu, W. (2010). Managing and incentivizing research commercialization in Chinese Universities. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 35(2), 203-224.
- Xia, J., Liu, W., Tsai, S. B., Li, G., Chu, C. C., & Wang, K. (2018). A System Dynamics Framework for Academic Entrepreneurship. *Sustainability*, 10(7), 2430.
- Yan, E., Wu, C., & Song, M. (2018). The funding factor: a cross-disciplinary examination of the association between research funding and citation impact. *Scientometrics*, 115(1), 369-384.
- Yin, R. K. (2013). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. (5thEd.). Thousand Oaks, California.
- Ynalvez, M. A., & Shrum, W. M. (2011). Professional networks, scientific collaboration, and publication productivity in resource-constrained research institutions in a developing country. *Research Policy*, 40(2), 204-216.
- Zhao, S. X., Lou, W., Tan, A. M., & Yu, S. (2018). Do funded papers attract more usage? *Scientometrics*, 115(1), 153-168.
- Zahra, S. A., Wright, M., & Abdelgawad, S. G. (2014). Contextualization and the advancement of entrepreneurship research. *International Small Business Journal*, 32(5), 479-500.
- Zhang, J. (2009). The performance of university spin-offs: an exploratory analysis using venture capital data. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 34(3), 255-285.
- Zhou, K. Z., Gao, G. Y., & Zhao, H. (2017). State ownership and firm innovation in China: An integrated view of institutional and efficiency logics. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 62(2), 375-404.

Zucker, L. G., & Darby, M. R. (1998). Entrepreneurs, star scientists, and biotechnology. *NBER Reporter Online*, (Fall 1998), 7-10.