UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Daftar Pustaka

Abramo, G., D'Angelo, C. A., Ferretti, M., & Parmentola, A. (2012). An individual-level assessment of the relationship between spin-off activities and research performance in universities. *R&D Management*, *42*(3), 225-242.

Abreu, M., & Grinevich, V. (2013). The nature of academic entrepreneurship in the UK: Widening the focus on entrepreneurial activities. *Research Policy*, *42*(2), 408-422.

Ackermann, F., & Eden, C. (2011). Strategic management of stakeholders: Theory and practice. *Long Range Planning*, *44*(3), 179-196.

Afonso, O., Monteiro, S., Thompson, M. (2012). A growth model for the quadruple helix. *Journal of Business Economics and Management*, 13(5), 849-865.

Agle, B. R., Mitchell, R. K., & Sonnenfeld, J. A. (1999). Who matters to CEOs? An investigation of stakeholder attributes and salience, corporate performance, and CEO values. *Academy of Management Journal*, *42*(5), 507-525.

Ahlstrom D, Bruton GD. 2006. Venture capital in emerging economies: networks and institutional change. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*. 30: 299–320.

Alexander, A. T., Miller, K., & Fielding, S. (2015). Open for business: Universities, entrepreneurial academics and open innovation. *International Journal of Innovation Management*, *19*(06), 1540013.

Alsos, Agnete G., Hytti, U., & Ljunggren, E. (2011). Stakeholder theory approach to technology incubators. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Behavior & Research*, *17*(6), 607-625.

Alvesson, M., & Deetz, S. (2000). *Doing critical management research*. Sage.

Alvesson, M., & Sandberg, J. (2011). Generating research questions through problematization. *Academy of Management Review*, *36*(2), 247-271.

Amaral, A., & Magalhaes, A. (2002). The emergent role of external stakeholders in European higher education governance. In *Governing higher education: National perspectives on institutional governance* (pp. 1-21). Springer Netherlands.

Ambos, T. C., Mäkelä, K., Birkinshaw, J., & D'Este, P. (2008). When does university research get commercialized? Creating ambidexterity in research institutions. *Journal of Management Studies*, *45*(8), 1424-1447.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Amit, R., & Schoemaker, P. J. (1993). Strategic assets and organizational rent. *Strategic Management Journal*, *14*(1), 33-46.

Ashkanasy, N. M. (2016). Why we need theory in the organization sciences. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, *37*(8), 1126-1131.

Armanios, D. E., Eesley, C. E., Li, J., & Eisenhardt, K. M. (2017). How entrepreneurs leverage institutional intermediaries in emerging economies to acquire public resources. *Strategic Management Journal*, *38*(7), 1373-1390.

Åstebro, T., Bazzazian, N., & Braguinsky, S. (2012). Startups by recent university graduates and their faculty: Implications for university entrepreneurship policy. *Research Policy*, *41*(4), 663-677.

Audretsch, D. B., & Feldman, M. P. (1996). R&D spillovers and the geography of innovation and production. *The American Economic Review*, *86*(3), 630-640.

Audretsch, D. B. (2014). From the entrepreneurial university to the university for the entrepreneurial society. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *39*(3), 313-321.

Autio, E., M Kenney, P Mustar, D Siegel, M Wright. (2014). Entrepreneurial innovation: The importance of context. *Research Policy*, 43(7), 1097-1108.

Azagra-Caro, J. M., Barberá-Tomás, D., Edwards-Schachter, M., & Tur, E. M. (2017). Dynamic interactions between university-industry knowledge transfer channels: A case study of the most highly cited academic patent. *Research Policy*, *46*(2), 463-474.

Bacharach, S. B. (1989). Organizational theories: Some criteria for evaluation. *Academy of Management Review*, *14*(4), 496-515.

Balland, P. A. (2012). Proximity and the evolution of collaboration networks: evidence from research and development projects within the global navigation satellite system (GNSS) industry. *Regional Studies*, *46*(6), 741-756.

Balland, P. A., Boschma, R., & Frenken, K. (2015). Proximity and innovation: From statics to dynamics. *Regional Studies*, *49*(6), 907-920.

Balven, R., Fenters, V., Siegel, D. S., & Waldman, D. (2018). Academic Entrepreneurship: The Roles of Identity, Motivation, Championing, Education, Work-Life Balance, and Organizational Justice. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *32*(1), 21-42.

Bamberger, P. (2008). From the editors beyond contextualization: Using context theories to narrow the micro-macro gap in management research. *Academy of Management Journal*, *51*(5), 839-846.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Banks, G. C., O'Boyle Jr, E. H., Pollack, J. M., White, C. D., Batchelor, J. H., Whelpley, C. E., ... & Adkins, C. L. (2016). Questions about questionable research practices in the field of management: A guest commentary. *Journal of Management, 42(1), 5 –20.*

Bathelt, H., Kogler, D. F., & Munro, A. K. (2010). A knowledge-based typology of university spin-offs in the context of regional economic development. *Technovation, 30*(9), 519-532.

Batterink, M. H., Wubben, E. F., Klerkx, L., & Omta, S. W. F. (2010). Orchestrating innovation networks: The case of innovation brokers in the agri-food sector. *Entrepreneurship and Regional Development, 22*(1), 47-76.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. *Journal of Management, 17*(1), 99-120.

Barney, J. B., Ketchen Jr, D. J., & Wright, M. (2011). The future of resource-based theory: revitalization or decline?. *Journal of Management, 37*(5), 1299-1315.

Benner, M., & Sandström, U. (2000). Institutionalizing the triple helix: research funding and norms in the academic system. *Research Policy, 29*(2), 291-301.

Benner, M. J., & Tushman, M. L. (2015). Reflections on the 2013 Decade Award—"Exploitation, exploration, and process management: The productivity dilemma revisited" ten years later. *Academy of Management Review, 40*(4), 497-514.

Benneworth, P., & Jongbloed, B. W. (2010). Who matters to universities? A stakeholder perspective on humanities, arts and social sciences valorisation. *Higher Education, 59*(5), 567-588.

Berbegal-Mirabent, J., Ribeiro-Soriano, D. E., & García, J. L. S. (2015). Can a magic recipe foster university spin-off creation? *Journal of Business Research, 68*(11), 2272-2278.

Bercovitz, J., & Feldman, M. (2008). Academic entrepreneurs: Organizational change at the individual level. *Organization Science, 19*(1), 69-89.

Berman, S. L., Wicks, A. C., Kotha, S., & Jones, T. M. (1999). Does stakeholder orientation matter? The relationship between stakeholder management models and firm financial performance. *Academy of Management Journal, 42*(5), 488-506.

Bigliardi, B., Galati, F., & Verbano, C. (2013). Evaluating performance of university spin-off companies: Lessons from Italy. *Journal of Technology Management & Innovation, 8*(2), 178-188.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Bischoff, K., Volkmann, C. K., & Audretsch, D. B. (2018). Stakeholder collaboration in entrepreneurship education: An analysis of the entrepreneurial ecosystems of European higher educational institutions. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *43*(1), 20-46.

Björk, B. C., & Solomon, D. (2012). Pricing principles used by scholarly open access publishers. *Learned Publishing*, *25*(2), 132-137.

Blohm, I., Leimeister, J. M., & Krcmar, H. (2013). Crowdsourcing: how to benefit from (too) many great ideas. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, *12*(4), 199-211.

Boardman, P. C., & Ponomariov, B. L. (2009). University researchers working with private companies. *Technovation*, *29*(2), 142-153.

Bolton, R. N., Parasuraman, A., Hoefnagels, A., Migchels, N., Kabadayi, S., Gruber, T., ... & Solnet, D. (2013). Understanding Generation Y and their use of social media: a review and research agenda. *Journal of Service Management*, *24*(3), 245-267.

Bolzani, D., Fini, R., Grimaldi, R., Santoni, S., & Sobrero, M. (2014). Fifteen years of academic entrepreneurship in Italy: Evidence from the TASTE Project.

Boschma, R. (2005). Proximity and innovation: a critical assessment. *Regional Studies*, *39*(1), 61-74.

Bozeman, B. (2000). Technology transfer and public policy: a review of research and theory. *Research Policy*, *29*(4), 627-655.

Brekke, T. (2015). Entrepreneurship and path dependency in regional development. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, *27*(3-4), 202-218.

Brereton, P., Kitchenham, B., Budgen, D., & Li, Z. (2008). Using a protocol template for case study planning. In *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Evaluation and Assessment in Software Engineering. University of Bari, Italy*.

Broekel, T., & Boschma, R. (2011). Knowledge networks in the Dutch aviation industry: the proximity paradox. *Journal of Economic Geography*, *12*(2), 409-433.

Bronstein, J., & Reihlen, M. (2014). Entrepreneurial university archetypes: A meta-synthesis of case study literature. *Industry and Higher Education*, *28*(4), 245-262.

Burrows, J. (1999). Going beyond labels: A framework for profiling institutional stakeholders. *Contemporary Education*, *70*(4), 5.

Burt, R. S. (2017). Structural holes versus network closure as social capital. In *Social Capital* (pp. 31-56). Routledge.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Capaldo, A., & Petruzzelli, A. M. (2014). Partner geographic and organizational proximity and the innovative performance of knowledge-creating alliances. *European Management Review*, *11*(1), 63-84.

Carayannis, E. G., Rogers, E. M., Kurihara, K., & Allbritton, M. M. (1998). High-technology spin-offs from government R&D laboratories and research universities. *Technovation*, *18*(1), 1-11.

Charmaz, K. (2014). *Constructing grounded theory*. Sage.

Chesbrough, H. W. (2007). Why companies should have open business models. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, *48*(2), 22.

Chiesa, V., & Piccaluga, A. (2000). Exploitation and diffusion of public research: the case of academic spin-off companies in Italy. *R&D Management*, *30*(4), 329-340.

Choi, N., & Majumdar, S. (2014). Social entrepreneurship as an essentially contested concept: Opening a new avenue for systematic future research. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *29*(3), 363-376.

Chrisman, J. J., Hynes, T., & Fraser, S. (1995). Faculty entrepreneurship and economic development: The case of the University of Calgary. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *10*(4), 267-281.

Ciptono, WS. (2014) *Metodologi Riset Manajemen: Paradigmatik vs Pragmatik dalam Filosofi dan Metodologi Penelitian.* BPFE, Yogyakarta.

Clarkson, M. E. (1995). A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review*, *20*(1), 92-117.

Clarysse, B., Wright, M., Lockett, A., Van de Velde, E., & Vohora, A. (2005). Spinning out new ventures: a typology of incubation strategies from European research institutions. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *20*(2), 183-216.

Clarysse, B., Wright, M., & Van de Velde, E. (2011). Entrepreneurial Origin, Technological Knowledge, and the Growth of Spin-Off Companies. *Journal of Management Studies*, *48*(6), 1420-1442.

Clayton, P., Feldman, M., & Lowe, N. (2018). Behind the scenes: Intermediary organizations that facilitate science commercialization through entrepreneurship. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *32*(1), 104-124.

Colombo, M. G., & Piva, E. (2012). Firms' genetic characteristics and competence-enlarging strategies: A comparison between academic and non-academic high-tech start-ups. *Research Policy*, *41*(1), 79-92.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2014). Business Research Methods.© The McGraw−Hill Companies.

Conceição, P., Heitor, M. V., & Oliveira, P. (1998). University-based technology licensing in the knowledge based economy. *Technovation*, *18*(10), 615-658.

Crane, A., & Ruebottom, T. (2011). Stakeholder theory and social identity: Rethinking stakeholder identification. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *102*(1), 77-87.

Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches*. Sage publications.

Crotty, M. (2003). *The foundations of Social Research: Meaning and perspective in the research process.* London: Sage.

Dahlborg, C., Lewensohn, D., Danell, R., & Sundberg, C. J. (2017). To invent and let others innovate: a framework of academic patent transfer modes. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *42*(3), 538-563.

Davenport, S., Carr, A., & Bibby, D. (2002). Leveraging talent: spin–off strategy at industrial research. *R&D Management*, *32*(3), 241-254.

Deem, R., & Lucas, L. (2007). Research and teaching cultures in two contrasting UK policy contexts: Academic life in education departments in five English and Scottish universities. *Higher Education*, *54*(1), 115-133.

D'Este, P., & Patel, P. (2007). University–industry linkages in the UK: What are the factors underlying the variety of interactions with industry?. *Research Policy*, *36*(9), 1295-1313.

De Massis, A., & Kotlar, J. (2014). The case study method in family business research: Guidelines for qualitative scholarship. *Journal of Family Business Strategy*, *5*(1), 15-29.

Denzin, N. K. (2012). Triangulation 2.0. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, *6*(2), 80-88.

Denzin, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2011). *The SAGE handbook of qualitative research*. Sage, California.

Desrochers, N., Paul-Hus, A., Haustein, S., Costas, R., Mongeon, P., Quan-Haase, A., ... & Larivière, V. (2018). Authorship, citations, acknowledgments and visibility in social media: Symbolic capital in the multifaceted reward system of science. *Social Science Information*, *57*(2), 223-248.

Di Gregorio, D., & Shane, S. (2003). Why do some universities generate more start-ups than others?. *Research Policy*, *32*(2), 209-227.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Dinç IS. 2005. Politicians and banks: political influences on government-owned banks in emerging markets. *Journal of Financial Economics* 77(2): 453–479.

Djokovic, D., & Souitaris, V. (2008). Spinouts from academic institutions: a literature review with suggestions for further research. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *33*(3), 225-247.

Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. *Academy of Management Review, 20*(1), 65-91.

Driscoll, C., & Starik, M. (2004). The primordial stakeholder: Advancing the conceptual consideration of stakeholder status for the natural environment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *49*(1), 55-73.

Druilhe, C., & Garnsey, E. (2004). Do academic spin-outs differ and does it matter?. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *29*(3-4), 269-285.

Dunham, L., Freeman, R. E., & Liedtka, J. (2006). Enhancing stakeholder practice: A particularized exploration of community. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, *16*(1), 23-42.

Dunne, D. D., & Dougherty, D. (2016). Abductive reasoning: How innovators navigate in the labyrinth of complex product innovation. *Organization Studies*, *37*(2), 131-159.

Dutt N, Hawn O, Vidal E, Chatterji A, McGahan A, Mitchell W. 2016. How open system intermediaries ill voids in market-based institutions: the case of business incubators in emerging markets. *Academy of Management Journal* 59(3): 818–840.

Edvardsson, B., Tronvoll, B., & Gruber, T. (2011). Expanding understanding of service exchange and value co-creation: a social construction approach. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, *39*(2), 327-339.

Eesley, C., & Lenox, M. J. (2006). Firm responses to secondary stakeholder action. *Strategic Management Journal*, *27*(8), 765-781.

Eesley CE, Li JB, Yang D. 2016. Does Institutional change in universities influence high-tech entrepreneurship?: Evidence from China's Project 985. *Organization Science* 27(2): 446–461.

Eisenhardt, K. M. (1989). Building theories from case study research. *Academy of Management Review*, *14*(4), 532-550.

Eisenhardt, K. M., & Graebner, M. E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges. *Academy of Management Journal*, *50*(1), 25-32.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Elias, A. A., Cavana, R. Y., & Jackson, L. S. (2002). Stakeholder analysis for R&D project management. *R&D Management*, *32*(4), 301-310.

Etzkowitz, H. (2013). Anatomy of the entrepreneurial university. *Social Science Information*, *52*(3), 486-511.

Etzkowitz, H. (2014). The entrepreneurial university wave: from ivory tower to global economic engine. *Industry and Higher Education*, *28*(4), 223-232.

Etzkowitz, H., & Leydesdorff, L. (2000). The dynamics of innovation: from National Systems and "Mode 2" to a Triple Helix of university–industry–government relations. *Research Policy*, *29*(2), 109-123.

Etzkowitz, H., Webster, A., Gebhardt, C., & Terra, B. R. C. (2000). The future of the university and the university of the future: evolution of ivory tower to entrepreneurial paradigm. *Research Policy*, *29*(2), 313-330.

Eun, J. H., Lee, K., & Wu, G. (2006). Explaining the "University-run enterprises" in China: A theoretical framework for university–industry relationship in developing countries and its application to China. *Research Policy*, *35*(9), 1329-1346.

Fajrih, Kuncoro, M. (2018). *Pengaruh Keuangan Inklusif (Financial Inclusion), Variabel Fundamental, Dan Variabel Kebijakan Terhadap Kesenjangan Di Indonesia 2007-2015* (Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Gadjah Mada).

Fassin, Y. (2009). The stakeholder model refined. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *84*(1), 113-135.

Fernández-Pérez, V., Alonso-Galicia, P. E., Rodríquez-Ariza, L., & del Mar Fuentes-Fuentes, M. (2015). Professional and personal social networks: A bridge to entrepreneurship for academics?. *European Management Journal*, *33*(1), 37-47.

Fini, R., Lacetera, N., & Shane, S. (2010). Inside or outside the IP system? Business creation in academia. *Research Policy*, *39*(8), 1060-1069.

Fitjar, R. D., & Timmermans, B. (2017). Regional skill relatedness: towards a new measure of regional related diversification. *European Planning Studies*, *25*(3), 516-538.

Fischer, B. B., Schaeffer, P. R., Vonortas, N. S., & Queiroz, S. (2018). Quality comes first: university-industry collaboration as a source of academic entrepreneurship in a developing country. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *43*(2), 263-284.

Foss, N. J. (1996). Knowledge-based approaches to the theory of the firm: Some critical comments. *Organization Science*, *7*(5), 470-476.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Freeman, R. E., & Reed, D. L. (1983). Stockholders and stakeholders: A new perspective on corporate governance. *California Management Review*, *25*(3), 88-106.

Freeman, R. E. (1999). Divergent stakeholder theory. *Academy of Management Review*, *24*(2), 233-236.

Freeman, R. E., Wicks, A. C., & Parmar, B. (2004). Stakeholder theory and "the corporate objective revisited". *Organization Science*, *15*(3), 364-369.

Freudenreich, B., Lüdeke-Freund, F., & Schaltegger, S. (2019). A stakeholder theory perspective on business models: Value creation for sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 1-16.

Friedman, J., & Silberman, J. (2003). University technology transfer: do incentives, management, and location matter?. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *28*(1), 17-30.

Friedman, M. T., & Mason, D. S. (2004). A stakeholder approach to understanding economic development decision making: Public subsidies for professional sport facilities. *Economic Development Quarterly*, *18*(3), 236-254.

Frooman, J. (1999). Stakeholder influence strategies. *Academy of Management Review*, *24*(2), 191-205.

Fryges, H., & Wright, M. (2014). The origin of spin-offs: a typology of corporate and academic spin-offs. *Small Business Economics*, *43*(2), 245-259.

George G, Prabhu GN. 2000. Developmental financial institutions as catalysts of entrepreneurship in emerging economies. *Academy of Management Review* 25(3): 620–629.

Geuna, A., & Muscio, A. (2009). The governance of university knowledge transfer: A critical review of the literature. *Minerva*, *47*(1), 93-114.

Gioia, D. A., Corley, K. G., & Hamilton, A. L. (2013). Seeking qualitative rigor in inductive research: Notes on the Gioia methodology. *Organizational Research Methods*, *16*(1), 15-31.

Giuliani, E., Morrison, A., Pietrobelli, C., & Rabellotti, R. (2010). Who are the researchers that are collaborating with industry? An analysis of the wine sectors in Chile, South Africa and Italy. *Research Policy*, *39*(6), 748-761.

Goes, P. B. (2014). Editor's comments: big data and IS research. *MIS Quarterly*, *38*(3), iii-viii.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Grant, R. M. (1991). The resource-based theory of competitive advantage: implications for strategy formulation. *California Management Review*, *33*(3), 114-135.

Grant, R. M. (1996). Toward a knowledge-based theory of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, *17*(S2), 109-122.

Gredel, D., Kramer, M., & Bend, B. (2012). Patent-based investment funds as innovation intermediaries for SMEs: In-depth analysis of reciprocal interactions, motives and fallacies. *Technovation*, *32*(9-10), 536-549.

Grimaldi, R., Kenney, M., Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2011). 30 years after Bayh–Dole: Reassessing academic entrepreneurship. *Research Policy*, *40*(8), 1045-1057.

Guan, J., Yan, Y., & Zhang, J. J. (2017). The impact of collaboration and knowledge networks on citations. *Journal of Informetrics*, *11*(2), 407-422.

Guerrero, M., & Urbano, D. (2012). The development of an entrepreneurial university. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *37*(1), 43-74.

Guerrero, M., Urbano, D., Cunningham, J., & Organ, D. (2014). Entrepreneurial universities in two European regions: A case study comparison. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *39*(3), 415-434.

Haas, M. R., & Park, S. (2010). To share or not to share? Professional norms, reference groups, and information withholding among life scientists. *Organization Science*, *21*(4), 873-891.

Haeussler, C., & Colyvas, J. A. (2011). Breaking the ivory tower: Academic entrepreneurship in the life sciences in UK and Germany. *Research Policy*, *40*(1), 41-54.

Hansen, G. S., & Wernerfelt, B. (1989). Determinants of firm performance: The relative importance of economic and organizational factors. *Strategic Management Journal*, *10*(5), 399-411.

Harvey, B., & Schaefer, A. (2001). Managing relationships with environmental stakeholders: a study of UK water and electricity utilities. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *30*(3), 243-260.

Harrison, R. T., & Leitch, C. (2010). Voodoo institution or entrepreneurial university? Spin-off companies, the entrepreneurial system and regional development in the UK. *Regional Studies*, *44*(9), 1241-1262.

Harrison, J. S., & Wicks, A. C. (2013). Stakeholder theory, value, and firm performance. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, *23*(1), 97-124.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Hayter, C. S. (2015). Public or private entrepreneurship? Revisiting motivations and definitions of success among academic entrepreneurs. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *40*(6), 1003-1015.

Hayter, C. S. (2016). Constraining entrepreneurial development: A knowledge-based view of social networks among academic entrepreneurs. *Research Policy*, *45*(2), 475-490.

Hayter, C. S., Lubynsky, R., & Maroulis, S. (2017). Who is the academic entrepreneur? The role of graduate students in the development of university spinoffs. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *42*(6), 1237-1254.

Heath, J., & Norman, W. (2004). Stakeholder theory, corporate governance and public management: what can the history of state-run enterprises teach us in the post-Enron era? *Journal of Business Ethics*, *53*(3), 247-265.

Heirman, A., & Clarysse, B. (2004). How and why do research-based start-ups differ at founding? A resource-based configurational perspective. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *29*(3-4), 247-268.

Heringa, P. W., Horlings, E., van der Zouwen, M., van den Besselaar, P., & van Vierssen, W. (2014). How do dimensions of proximity relate to the outcomes of collaboration? A survey of knowledge-intensive networks in the Dutch water sector. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, *23*(7), 689-716.

Helfat, C. E., & Peteraf, M. A. (2003). The dynamic resource-based view: Capability lifecycles. *Strategic Management Journal*, *24*(10), 997-1010.

Helm, R., & Mauroner, O. (2007). Success of research-based spin-offs. State-of-the-art and guidelines for further research. *Review of Managerial Science*, *1*(3), 237-270.

Hill, C. W., & Jones, T. M. (1992). Stakeholder-agency theory. *Journal of Management Studies*, *29*(2), 131-154.

Hill, R. P., & Stamey, M. (1990). The homeless in America: An examination of possessions and consumption behaviors. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *17*(3), 303-321.

Hill, H., & Wie, T. K. (2012). Indonesian universities in transition: catching up and opening up. *Bulletin of Indonesian Economic Studies*, *48*(2), 229-251.

Hindle, K., & Yencken, J. (2004). Public research commercialisation, entrepreneurship and new technology based firms: an integrated model. *Technovation*, *24*(10), 793-803.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Hmieleski, K. M., & Powell, E. E. (2018). The psychological foundations of university science commercialization: A review of the literature and directions for future research. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *32*(1), 43-77.

Hoskisson RE, Eden L, Lau CM, Wright M. 2000. Strategy in emerging economies. *Academy of Management Journal* 43(3): 249–267.

Hsieh, H. F., & Shannon, S. E. (2005). Three approaches to qualitative content analysis. *Qualitative Health Research*, *15*(9), 1277-1288.

Hsu, D. W., Shen, Y. C., Yuan, B. J., & Chou, C. J. (2015). Toward successful commercialization of university technology: Performance drivers of university technology transfer in Taiwan. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *92*, 25-39.

Huber, F. (2012). On the role and interrelationship of spatial, social and cognitive proximity: personal knowledge relationships of R&D workers in the Cambridge information technology cluster. *Regional studies*, *46*(9), 1169-1182.

Hughes, A., & Kitson, M. (2012). Pathways to impact and the strategic role of universities: new evidence on the breadth and depth of university knowledge exchange in the UK and the factors constraining its development. *Cambridge Journal of Economics*, *36*(3), 723-750.

Indarti, N., & Dyahjatmayanti, D. (2014). *Manajemen Pengetahuan: Teori dan Praktik.* BPFE, Yogyakarta.

Indarti, N., & Paramita, Widya. (2014). *Kajian Literatur Pemetaan Riset Terkini dan Identifikasi Peluang dalam Filosofi dan Metodologi Penelitian.* BPFE, Yogyakarta.

Jasimuddin, S. M., Klein, J. H., & Connell, C. (2005). The paradox of using tacit and explicit knowledge: strategies to face dilemmas. *Management decision*, *43*(1), 102-112.

Johannessen, J. A., Olsen, B., & Olaisen, J. (2005). Intellectual capital as a holistic management philosophy: a theoretical perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, *25*(2), 151-171.

Johns, G. (2017). Reflections on the 2016 decade award: incorporating context in organizational research. *Academy of Management Review*, *42*(4), 577-595.

Jongbloed, B., Enders, J., & Salerno, C. (2008). Higher education and its communities: Interconnections, interdependencies and a research agenda. *Higher Education*, *56*(3), 303-324.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Jones, T. M. (1995). Instrumental stakeholder theory: A synthesis of ethics and economics. *Academy of Management Review*, *20*(2), 404-437.

Jones, T. M., & Wicks, A. C. (1999). Convergent stakeholder theory. *Academy of Management Review*, *24*(2), 206-221.

Jones-Evans, D., Klofsten, M., Andersson, E., & Pandya, D. (1999). Creating a bridge between university and industry in small European countries: the role of the Industrial Liaison Office. *R&D Management*, *29*(1), 47-56.

Kalar, B., & Antoncic, B. (2015). The entrepreneurial university, academic activities and technology and knowledge transfer in four European countries. *Technovation*, *36*, 1-11.

Kamann, D. J. F. (2007). Organizational design in public procurement: a stakeholder approach. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, *13*(2), 127-136.

Kieser, A., & Leiner, L. (2009). Why the rigour–relevance gap in management research is unbridgeable. *Journal of Management Studies*, *46*(3), 516-533.

Kenney, M., & Patton, D. (2011). Does inventor ownership encourage university research-derived entrepreneurship? A six university comparison. *Research Policy*, *40*(8), 1100-1112.

Kirby, D. A. (2006). Creating entrepreneurial universities in the UK: Applying entrepreneurship theory to practice. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *31*(5), 599-603.

Kirchberger, M. A., & Pohl, L. (2016). Technology commercialization: a literature review of success factors and antecedents across different contexts. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *41*(5), 1077-1112.

Kochenkova, A., Grimaldi, R., & Munari, F. (2016). Public policy measures in support of knowledge transfer activities: a review of academic literature. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *41*(3), 407-429.

Kodcharat, Y., & Chaikeaw, A. (2012). University and industrial sector collaboration: the key factors affecting knowledge transfer. *International Journal of Business and Social Science*, *3*(23).

Kozlowski, S. W., & Klein, K. J. (2000). A multilevel approach to theory and research in organizations: Contextual, temporal, and emergent processes. In K. J. Klein & S. W. J. Kozlowski (Eds.), *Multilevel theory, research, and methods in organizations* (pp. 3–90). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Knockaert, M., Ucbasaran, D., Wright, M., & Clarysse, B. (2011). The relationship between knowledge transfer, top management team composition, and

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

performance: the case of science-based entrepreneurial firms. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, *35*(4), 777-803.

Knox, S., & Gruar, C. (2007). The application of stakeholder theory to relationship marketing strategy development in a non-profit organization. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *75*(2), 115-135.

Krabel, S., & Mueller, P. (2009). What drives scientists to start their own company?: An empirical investigation of Max Planck Society scientists. *Research Policy*, *38*(6), 947-956.

Kroll, H., & Liefner, I. (2008). Spin-off enterprises as a means of technology commercialisation in a transforming economy—Evidence from three universities in China. *Technovation*, *28*(5), 298-313.

Kurniasih, N., Hasyim, C., Wulandari, A., Setiawan, M. I., & Ahmar, A. S. (2018). Comparative Case Studies on Indonesian Higher Education Rankings. In *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* (Vol. 954, No. 1, p. 012021). IOP Publishing.

Lach, S., & Schankerman, M. (2004). Royalty sharing and technology licensing in universities. *Journal of the European Economic Association*, *2*(2-3), 252-264.

Lagendijk A. And Lorentzen A. (2007) Proximity, Knowledge And innovation in peripheral regions. On the intersection between geographical and organizational. *European Planning Studies* 15:457-466.

Laine, M. (2010). The nature of nature as a stakeholder. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *96*(1), 73.

Lam, A. (2011). What motivates academic scientists to engage in research commercialization: 'Gold', 'ribbon'or 'puzzle'? *Research Policy*, *40*(10), 1354-1368.

Lamberton, C., & Stephen, A. T. (2016). A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing: Research evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future inquiry. *Journal of Marketing*, *80*(6), 146-172.

Landry, R., Amara, N., & Rherrad, I. (2006). Why are some university researchers more likely to create spin-offs than others? Evidence from Canadian universities. *Research Policy*, *35*(10), 1599-1615.

Landry, R., Amara, N., & Saïhi, M. (2007). Patenting and spin-off creation by Canadian researchers in engineering and life sciences. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *32*(3), 217-249.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Laplume, A. O., Sonpar, K., & Litz, R. A. (2008). Stakeholder theory: Reviewing a theory that moves us. *Journal of Management*, *34*(6), 1152-1189.

Lépineux, F. (2005). Stakeholder theory, society and social cohesion. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, *5*(2), 99-110.

Leyden, D. P., Link, A. N., & Siegel, D. S. (2014). A theoretical analysis of the role of social networks in entrepreneurship. *Research Policy*, *43*(7), 1157-1163.

Li, J., Xia, J., & Zajac, E. J. (2018). On the duality of political and economic stakeholder influence on firm innovation performance: Theory and evidence from C hinese firms. *Strategic Management Journal*, *39*(1), 193-216.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry* (Vol. 75). Sage.

Lindelöf, P., & Löfsten, H. (2004). Proximity as a resource base for competitive advantage: University–industry links for technology transfer. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *29*(3-4), 311-326.

Lin, N. (2017). Building a network theory of social capital. In *Social Capital* (pp. 3-28). Routledge.

Link, A. N., & Scott, J. T. (2005). Opening the ivory tower's door: An analysis of the determinants of the formation of US university spin-off companies. *Research Policy*, *34*(7), 1106-1112.

Link, A. N., & Siegel, D. S. (2005). University-based technology initiatives: Quantitative and qualitative evidence. *Research Policy*, *34*(3), 253-257.

Lockett, A., Siegel, D., Wright, M., & Ensley, M. D. (2005). The creation of spin-off firms at public research institutions: Managerial and policy implications. *Research Policy*, *34*(7), 981-993.

Lockett, A., & Wright, M. (2005). Resources, capabilities, risk capital and the creation of university spin-out companies. *Research Policy*, *34*(7), 1043-1057.

Logli, C. (2016). Higher education in Indonesia: Contemporary challenges in governance, access, and quality. In *The Palgrave handbook of Asia Pacific higher education* (pp. 561-581). Palgrave Macmillan, New York.

Lu, L., & Etzkowitz, H. (2008). Strategic challenges for creating knowledge-based innovation in China: Transforming triple helix university-government-industry relations. *Journal of Technology Management in China*, *3*(1), 5-11.

Maassen, P. (2000). The changing roles of stakeholders in Dutch university governance. *European Journal of Education, 35(4),* 449-464.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Magness, V. (2008). Who are the stakeholders now? An empirical examination of the Mitchell, Agle, and Wood theory of stakeholder salience. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *83*(2), 177-192.

Mahoney, J. T., & Pandian, J. R. (1992). The resource-based view within the conversation of strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, *13*(5), 363-380.

Mainardes, E., Alves, H., & Raposo, M. (2011). Stakeholder theory: issues to resolve. *Management Decision*, *49*(2), 226-252.

Mainardes, E., Alves, H., & Raposo, M. (2012). A model for stakeholder classification and stakeholder relationships. *Management Decision*, *50*(10), 1861-1879.

Mair J, Marti I, Ventresca MJ. 2012. Building inclusive markets in rural Bangladesh: how intermediaries work institutional voids. *Academy of Management Journal* 55(4): 819–850.

Mansfield, E. (1998). Academic research and industrial innovation: An update of empirical findings. *Research Policy*, *26*(7-8), 773-776.

Marin, A., Mitchell, R. K., & Lee, J. H. (2015). The vulnerability and strength duality in ethnic business: A model of stakeholder salience and social capital. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *130*(2), 271-289.

Marrocu, E., Paci, R., & Usai, S. (2013). Proximity, networking and knowledge production in Europe: What lessons for innovation policy?. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *80*(8), 1484-1498.

Mars, M. M., & Rios-Aguilar, C. (2010). Academic entrepreneurship (re) defined: significance and implications for the scholarship of higher education. *Higher Education*, *59*(4), 441-460.

Maryani, E., & Arifin, H. S. (2012). Konstruksi Identitas Melalui Media Sosial. *Journal of Communication Studies*, *1*(1).

Mathieu, J. E., & Chen, G. (2011). The etiology of the multilevel paradigm in management research. *Journal of Management*, *37*(2), 610-641.

McAdam, R., Miller, K., McAdam, M., & Teague, S. (2012). The development of University Technology Transfer stakeholder relationships at a regional level: Lessons for the future. *Technovation*, *32*(1), 57-67.

McAdam, M., Miller, K., & McAdam, R. (2015). Situated regional university incubation: A multi-level stakeholder perspective. *Technovation*, *50*, 69-78.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

McDermott GA, Corredoira RA, Kruse G. 2009. Public-private institutions as catalysts of upgrading in emerging market societies. *Academy of Management Journal* 52(6): 1270–1296.

Medaglia, R. (2012). Engaged Scholarship in Research on Information Technology in Government: Stuck in the Ivory Tower?. *Information, Communication & Society*, *15*(2), 246-259.

Meoli, M., & Vismara, S. (2016). University support and the creation of technology and non-technology academic spin-offs. *Small Business Economics*, 1-18.

Miles, S. (2012). Stakeholder: Essentially contested or just confused? *Journal of* Business *Ethics*, *108*(3), 285-298.

Miles, S. (2017). Stakeholder theory classification: A theoretical and empirical evaluation of definitions. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *142*(3), 437-459.

Miller, K., McAdam, M., & McAdam, R. (2014). The changing university business model: a stakeholder perspective. *R&D Management*, *44*(3), 265-287.

Miller, K., McAdam, R., & McAdam, M. (2018). A systematic literature review of university technology transfer from a quadruple helix perspective: toward a research agenda. *R&D Management*, *48*(1), 7-24.

Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, *22*(4), 853-886.

Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., Chrisman, J. J., & Spence, L. J. (2011). Toward a theory of stakeholder salience in family firms. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, *21*(2), 235-255.

Mitchell, R. K., Robinson, R. E., Marin, A., Lee, J. H., & Randolph, A. F. (2013). Spiritual identity, stakeholder attributes, and family business workplace spirituality stakeholder salience. *Journal of Management, Spirituality & Religion*, *10*(3), 215-252.

Mitchell, R. K., Lee, J. H., & Agle, B. R. (2017). Stakeholder prioritization work: The role of stakeholder salience in stakeholder research. In *Stakeholder Management* (pp. 123-157). Emerald Publishing Limited.

Miranda, F. J., Chamorro-Mera, A., & Rubio, S. (2017). Academic entrepreneurship in Spanish universities: An analysis of the determinants of entrepreneurial intention. *European Research on Management and Business Economics*, *23*(2), 113-122.

UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Moeliodihardjo, B.Y., Soemardi, B.W., Brodjonegoro, S.S., Hatakenaka, S. (2013). *Developing strategies for university, industry, and government partnership.* ACDP.

Moher, David, Alessandro Liberati, Jennifer Tetzlaff, and Douglas G. Altman. (2009). Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6 (7): 264–269.

Moray, N., & Clarysse, B. (2005). Institutional change and resource endowments to science-based entrepreneurial firms. *Research Policy*, *34*(7), 1010-1027.

Mowery, D. C., & Ziedonis, A. A. (2015). Markets versus spillovers in outflows of university research. *Research Policy*, *44*(1), 50-66.

Mudde, H., Widhiani, A. P., & Fauzi, A. M. (2018). Entrepreneurial University Transformation in Indonesia: A Comprehensive Assessment of IPB. *GSTF Journal on Business Review (GBR)*, *5*(1).

Munari, F., & Toschi, L. (2015). Assessing the impact of public venture capital programmes in the United Kingdom: Do regional characteristics matter?. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *30*(2), 205-226.

Muscio, A. (2010). What drives the university use of technology transfer offices? Evidence from Italy. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *35*(2), 181-202.

Mustar, P. (1997). How French academics create hi-tech companies: the conditions for success or failure. *Science and Public Policy*, *24*(1), 37-43.

Mustar, P., Renault, M., Colombo, M. G., Piva, E., Fontes, M., Lockett, A., ... & Moray, N. (2006). Conceptualising the heterogeneity of research-based spin-offs: A multi-dimensional taxonomy. *Research Policy*, *35*(2), 289-308.

Mustar, P., Wright, M., & Clarysse, B. (2008). University spin-off firms: lessons from ten years of experience in Europe. *Science and Public Policy*, *35*(2), 67-80.

Mustar, P., & Wright, M. (2010). Convergence or path dependency in policies to foster the creation of university spin-off firms? A comparison of France and the United Kingdom. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *35*(1), 42-65.

Nahapiet, J., & S. Ghoshal, (1998), Social capital, intellectual capital, and the organizational advantage. *Academy of Management Review*, 23(2): 242-266.

Ndonzuau, F. N., Pirnay, F., & Surlemont, B. (2002). A stage model of academic spin-off creation. *Technovation*, *22*(5), 281-289.

Neville, B. A., Bell, S. J., & Whitwell, G. J. (2011). Stakeholder salience revisited: Refining, redefining, and refueling an underdeveloped conceptual tool. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *102*(3), 357-378.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Nicolaou, N., & Birley, S. (2003). Academic networks in a trichotomous categorisation of university spinouts. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *18*(3), 333-359.

Nonaka, I. (1994). A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organization Science*, *5*(1), 14-37.

O'Connor, G. C., & McDermott, C. M. (2004). The human side of radical innovation. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, *21*(1-2), 11-30.

Omar Sharifuddin Syed-Ikhsan, S., & Rowland, F. (2004). Knowledge management in a public organization: a study on the relationship between organizational elements and the performance of knowledge transfer. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *8*(2), 95-111.

O'Shea, R., Allen, T. J., O'Gorman, C., & Roche, F. (2004). Universities and technology transfer: A review of academic entrepreneurship literature. *Irish Journal of Management*, *25*(2), 11.

O'Shea, R. P., Allen, T. J., Morse, K. P., O'Gorman, C., & Roche, F. (2007). Delineating the anatomy of an entrepreneurial university: the Massachusetts Institute of Technology experience. *R&D Management*, *37*(1), 1-16.

O'Shea, R. P., Chugh, H., & Allen, T. J. (2008). Determinants and consequences of university spinoff activity: a conceptual framework. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *33*(6), 653-666.

Orts, EW., & Strudler, A. (2009). Putting a stake in stakeholder theory. *Journal of Business Ethics.* 88(4), 605-615.

Parent, M. M., & Deephouse, D. L. (2007). A case study of stakeholder identification and prioritization by managers. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *75*(1), 1-23.

Parmar, B. L., Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., Wicks, A. C., Purnell, L., & De Colle, S. (2010). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. *The Academy of Management Annals*, *4*(1), 403-445.

Payne, G., & Williams, M. (2005). Generalization in qualitative research. *Sociology*, *39*(2), 295-314.

Payumo, J. G., Arasu, P., Fauzi, A. M., Siregar, I. Z., & Noviana, D. (2014). An entrepreneurial, research-based university model focused on intellectual property management for economic development in emerging economies: The case of Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia. *World Patent Information*, *36*, 22-31.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Perkmann, M., Tartari, V., McKelvey, M., Autio, E., Broström, A., D'Este, P., ... & Krabel, S. (2013). Academic engagement and commercialisation: A review of the literature on university–industry relations. *Research Policy*, *42*(2), 423-442.

Phan, PH ., Siegel, DS., Wright, M. (2005). Science parks and incubators: observations, synthesis and future research. *Journal of Business Venturing.* 20(2), 165-12.

Phillips, R., Freeman, R. E., & Wicks, A. C. (2003). What stakeholder theory is not. *Business Ethics Quarterly*, *13*(04), 479-502.

Phillips, R. A., & Reichart, J. (2000). The environment as a stakeholder? A fairness-based approach. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *23*(2), 185-197.

Pirnay, F., & Surlemont, B. (2003). Toward a typology of university spin-offs. *Small Business Economics*, *21*(4), 355-369.

Powers, J. B., & McDougall, P. P. (2005). University start-up formation and technology licensing with firms that go public: a resource-based view of academic entrepreneurship. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *20*(3), 291-311.

Prodan, I., & Drnovsek, M. (2010). Conceptualizing academic-entrepreneurial intentions: An empirical test. *Technovation*, *30*(5-6), 332-347.

Pucci, T. (2015). New venture creation in academia: preconditions and drivers for the emergence of academic spin-offs. *Sinergie Italian Journal of Management*, 161-180.

Purwaningtyas, N. D. (2013). *Pengaruh Jaringan Sosial, Pendanaan dan Energi Organisasi Produktif Terhadap Eksplorasi Pengetahuan, Eksploitasi Pengetahuan dan Kemampuan Organizational Ambidexterity dalam Institusi Riset*. Doctoral dissertation, Universitas Gadjah Mada.

Quintelier, K. J., Stoelhorst, J. W., & Bridoux, F. (2016). The Bright, Dark and Far Side of Stakeholder Reciprocity: Moral Psychology and Value Creation. In *Academy of Management Proceedings* (Vol. 2016, No. 1, p. 14537). Briarcliff Manor, NY 10510: Academy of Management.

Ramos-Vielba, I., & Fernández-Esquinas, M. (2012). Beneath the tip of the iceberg: exploring the multiple forms of university–industry linkages. *Higher Education*, *64*(2), 237-265.

Rasmussen, E., & Borch, O. J. (2010). University capabilities in facilitating entrepreneurship: A longitudinal study of spin-off ventures at mid-range universities. *Research Policy*, 39(5), 602-612.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Rasmussen, E., Mosey, S., & Wright, M. (2011). The evolution of entrepreneurial competencies: A longitudinal study of university spin-off venture emergence. *Journal of Management Studies, 48*(6), 1314-1345.

Rasmussen, E., Mosey, S., & Wright, M. (2014). The influence of university departments on the evolution of entrepreneurial competencies in spin-off ventures. *Research Policy*, 43(1), 92-106.

Rasmussen, E., Mosey, S., & Wright, M. (2015). The transformation of network ties to develop entrepreneurial competencies for university spin-offs. *Entrepreneurship & Regional Development*, *27*(7-8), 430-457.

Rasmussen, E., & Sørheim, R. (2012). How governments seek to bridge the financing gap for university spin-offs: Proof-of-concept, pre-seed, and seed funding. *Technology Analysis & Strategic Management*, *24*(7), 663-678.

Ramaciotti, L., & Rizzo, U. (2015). The determinants of academic spin-off creation by Italian universities. *R&D Management, 45*(5), 501-514.

Rogers, E. M., Takegami, S., & Yin, J. (2001). Lessons learned about technology transfer. *Technovation, 21*(4), 253-261.

Rothaermel, F. T., Agung, S. D., & Jiang, L. (2007). University entrepreneurship: a taxonomy of the literature. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, *16*(4), 691-791.

Roper, S., & Hewitt-Dundas, N. (2015). Knowledge stocks, knowledge flows and innovation: Evidence from matched patents and innovation panel data. *Research Policy*, *44*(7), 1327-1340.

Rousseau, D. M., & Fried, Y. (2001). Location, location, location: Contextualizing organizational research. *Journal of Organizational Behavior, 22*(1), 1-13.

Rowley, TJ . 1997. Moving beyond dyadic ties: A network theory of stakeholder influences. *Academy of Management Review, 22*(4), 887-910.

Rowley, T. I., & Moldoveanu, M. (2003). When will stakeholder groups act? An interest-and identity-based model of stakeholder group mobilization. *Academy of Management Review, 28*(2), 204-219.

Russell, S. J., & Norvig, P. (2016). *Artificial intelligence: a modern approach*. Malaysia; Pearson Education Limited.

Santana, A. (2012). Three elements of stakeholder legitimacy. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *105*(2), 257-265.

Sanusi, M., Kuncoro, H. F. T., & Herianingrum, S. (2019). Makroekonomi dan Penanaman Modal Asing di Indonesia: Bukti Empiris di Pulau Jawa. *Jurnal Ekonomi*, *24*(2), 288-303.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Saunders, M., Lewis, P. & Thronhill, A. (2012). *Research Methods for Bussiness Students (4th ed.).* Harlow: Pearson Education Ltd.

Schaeffer, V., Öcalan-Özel, S., & Pénin, J. (2018). The complementarities between formal and informal channels of university–industry knowledge transfer: A longitudinal approach. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, 1-25.

Seidman, I. E. (2013). *Interviewing as qualitative research: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences* (4th ed.). Teachers College Press, New York.

Shah, S. K., & Pahnke, E. C. (2014). Parting the ivory curtain: understanding how universities support a diverse set of startups. *The Journal of Technology Transfer*, *39*(5), 780-792.

Shane, S. (2001). Technological opportunities and new firm creation. *Management Science*, *47*(2), 205-220.

Shane, S. (2002). Selling university technology: patterns from MIT. *Management Science*, *48*(1), 122-137.

Shane, S. A. (2004). *Academic entrepreneurship: University spinoffs and wealth creation*. Edward Elgar Publishing, Massachusetts.

Shane, S., & Khurana, R. (2003). Bringing individuals back in: the effects of career experience on new firm founding. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, *12*(3), 519-543.

Shirokova, G., Osiyevskyy, O., & Bogatyreva, K. (2016). Exploring the intention–behavior link in student entrepreneurship: Moderating effects of individual and environmental characteristics. *European Management Journal*, *34*(4), 386-399.

Siegel, D. S., Waldman, D., & Link, A. (2003). Assessing the impact of organizational practices on the relative productivity of university technology transfer offices: an exploratory study. *Research Policy*, *32*(1), 27-48.

Siegel, D. S., Wright, M., & Lockett, A. (2007). The rise of entrepreneurial activity at universities: organizational and societal implications. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, *16*(4), 489-504.

Siegel, D. S., & Wright, M. (2015). Academic entrepreneurship: time for a rethink?. *British Journal of Management*, *26*(4), 582-595.

Smilor, R. W., Gibson, D. V., & Dietrich, G. B. (1990). University spin-out companies: technology start-ups from UT-Austin. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *5*(1), 63-76.

Smith, E. A. (2001). The role of tacit and explicit knowledge in the workplace. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, *5*(4), 311-321.pu

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Smith, H. L., & Ho, K. (2006). Measuring the performance of Oxford University, Oxford Brookes University and the government laboratories' spin-off companies. *Research Policy*, *35*(10), 1554-1568.

Smith, B. (2018). Generalizability in qualitative research: Misunderstandings, opportunities and recommendations for the sport and exercise sciences. *Qualitative research in sport, exercise and health*, *10*(1), 137-149.

Sørensen, J. B. (2007). Bureaucracy and entrepreneurship: Workplace effects on entrepreneurial entry. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, *52*(3), 387-412.

Stake, R. E. (2010). *Qualitative research: Studying how things work*. Guilford Press, New York.

Starik, M. (1995). Should trees have managerial standing? Toward stakeholder status for non-human nature. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *14*(3), 207-217.

Stead, J. G., & Stead, E. (2000). Eco-enterprise strategy: standing for sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, *24*(4), 313-329.

Steffensen, M., Rogers, E. M., & Speakman, K. (2000). Spin-offs from research centers at a research university. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *15*(1), 93-111.

Steinmetz, K. F. (2012). Message received: Virtual ethnography in online message boards. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *11*(1), 26-39.

Stephan, A. (2014). Are public research spin-offs more innovative? *Small Business Economics*, *43*(2), 353-368.

Sternberg, R. (2014). Success factors of university-spin-offs: Regional government support programs versus regional environment. *Technovation*, *34*(3), 137-148.

Stoney, C., & Winstanley, D. (2001). Stakeholding: confusion or utopia? Mapping the conceptual terrain. *Journal of Management Studies*, *38*(5), 603-626.

Susanti, D. (2011). Privatisation and marketisation of higher education in Indonesia: the challenge for equal access and academic values. *Higher Education*, *61*(2), 209-218.

Tantalo, C., & Priem, R. L. (2016). Value creation through stakeholder synergy. *Strategic Management Journal*, *37*(2), 314-329.

Tarres, Serarols, C., Urbano, D., Vaillant, Y., & Bikfalvi, A. (2009). Research commercialisation via spin-off: the case of a non-elite university. *International Journal of Technology Transfer and Commercialisation*, *8*(4), 356-378.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Teece, D. J. (2014). The foundations of enterprise performance: Dynamic and ordinary capabilities in an (economic) theory of firms. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, *28*(4), 328-352.

Tsai, W. (2002). Social structure of "coopetition" within a multiunit organization: Coordination, competition, and intraorganizational knowledge sharing. *Organization Science*, *13*(2), 179-190.

Umami, Z. (2015). *Social Strategy* pada Media Sosial untuk Promosi Pariwisata Daerah Istimewa Yogyakarta. *Interaksi: Jurnal Ilmu Komunikasi*, *4*(2), 195-201.

Urbano, D., & Guerrero, M. (2013). Entrepreneurial universities: Socioeconomic impacts of academic entrepreneurship in a european region. *Economic Development Quarterly*, *27*(1), 40-55.

Valdez, M. E., & Richardson, J. (2013). Institutional determinants of macro–level entrepreneurship. *Entrepreneurship Theory and Practice*, *37*(5), 1149-1175.

van Hoek, R., Aronsson, H., Kovács, G., & Spens, K. M. (2005). Abductive reasoning in logistics research. *International Journal Of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*.

VanWynsberghe, R., & Khan, S. (2007). Redefining case study. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, *6*(2), 80-94.

Vasilescu, R., Barna, C., Epure, M., & Baicu, C. (2010). Developing university social responsibility: A model for the challenges of the new civil society. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *2*(2), 4177-4182.

Villani, E., Rasmussen, E., & Grimaldi, R. (2017). How intermediary organizations facilitate university–industry technology transfer: A proximity approach. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *114*, 86-102.

Visintin, F., & Pittino, D. (2014). Founding team composition and early performance of university-based spin-off companies. *Technovation*, *34*(1), 31-43.

Vohora, A., Wright, M., & Lockett, A. (2004). Critical junctures in the development of university high-tech spinout companies. *Research Policy*, *33*(1), 147-175.

Wahyudi, A. (2016). *Identitas Virtual dan Penggunaan Tagar pada Pengguna Media Sosial Instagram Salatiga*. Doctoral Dissertation, Program Studi Komunikasi FISKOM-UKSW.

Wang, X., Liu, C., Mao, W., & Fang, Z. (2015). The open access advantage considering citation, article usage and social media attention. *Scientometrics*, *103*(2), 555-564.

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Ward, K., & Wolf-Wendel, L. (2004). Academic motherhood: Managing complex roles in research universities. *The Review of Higher Education, 27*(2), 233-257.

Washington, M., & Ventresca, M. J. (2004). How organizations change: The role of institutional support mechanisms in the incorporation of higher education visibility strategies, 1874–1995. *Organization Science, 15*(1), 82-97.

Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal, 5*(2), 171-180.

Wood, M. S. (2011). A process model of academic entrepreneurship. *Business Horizons, 54*(2), 153-161.

Wright, M. (2014). Academic entrepreneurship, technology transfer and society: where next? *The Journal of Technology Transfer, 39*(3), 322-334.

Wu, W. (2010). Managing and incentivizing research commercialization in Chinese Universities. *The Journal of Technology Transfer, 35*(2), 203-224.

Xia, J., Liu, W., Tsai, S. B., Li, G., Chu, C. C., & Wang, K. (2018). A System Dynamics Framework for Academic Entrepreneurship. *Sustainability, 10*(7), 2430.

Yan, E., Wu, C., & Song, M. (2018). The funding factor: a cross-disciplinary examination of the association between research funding and citation impact. *Scientometrics, 115*(1), 369-384.

Yin, R. K. (2013). *Case Study Research: Design and Methods.* (5th Ed.). Thousand Oaks, California.

Ynalvez, M. A., & Shrum, W. M. (2011). Professional networks, scientific collaboration, and publication productivity in resource-constrained research institutions in a developing country. *Research Policy, 40*(2), 204-216.

Zhao, S. X., Lou, W., Tan, A. M., & Yu, S. (2018). Do funded papers attract more usage? *Scientometrics, 115*(1), 153-168.

Zahra, S. A., Wright, M., & Abdelgawad, S. G. (2014). Contextualization and the advancement of entrepreneurship research. *International Small Business Journal*, 32(5),479-500.

Zhang, J. (2009). The performance of university spin-offs: an exploratory analysis using venture capital data. *The Journal of Technology Transfer, 34*(3), 255-285.

Zhou, K. Z., Gao, G. Y., & Zhao, H. (2017). State ownership and firm innovation in China: An integrated view of institutional and efficiency logics. *Administrative Science Quarterly, 62*(2), 375-404.

UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA
INTERAKSI PEMANGKU KEPENTINGAN DALAM PROSES PENGHILIRAN HASIL RISET DAN INOVASI PERGURUAN TINGGI DI INDONESIA
VITRADESIE NOEKENT, Nurul Indarti, Sivilekonom., Cand., Merc., Ph.D.; Sari Sitalaksmi, M.Mgt., Ph.D.; Hargo Utc
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2020 | Diunduh dari http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/

Zucker, L. G., & Darby, M. R. (1998). Entrepreneurs, star scientists, and biotechnology. *NBER Reporter Online,* (Fall 1998), 7-10.