



# Contents

<b>Title</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Approval</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>Declaration</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>Preface</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Questions . . . . .	3
1.2 Research Methodology . . . . .	3
1.3 Thesis Outline . . . . .	4
<b>2 Literature review</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Decision Making in Operating Management . . . . .	5
2.2 Operating Room Planning and Scheduling . . . . .	6
2.2.1 Time Allocation for Urgent Surgeries . . . . .	7
2.2.2 Scheduling Urgent Surgeries . . . . .	8
<b>3 Dedicating capacity for urgent surgeries</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1 Assumptions . . . . .	10
3.2 Queueing models to dedicate capacity for urgent patients . . . . .	11
3.2.1 $M/M/1/K$ queueing model . . . . .	11
3.2.2 $M/M/1$ with priority rule queueing model . . . . .	13
3.3 Case study of queueing models to dedicate capacity for urgent patients	14
3.3.1 Case study on $M/M/1/4s$ queueing model . . . . .	15
3.3.2 Case study on $M/M/1$ with priority rule queueing model . . . . .	18
<b>4 Model for scheduling urgent surgeries independent of elective surgeries</b>	<b>22</b>
4.1 Markov decision processes (MDP) . . . . .	22



4.2	Assumptions . . . . .	23
4.3	Assigning a number of urgent patients in each shift (Model 1) . . . . .	24
4.3.1	Enable deferring the 24-hour patients to another resource (Model 1b) . . . . .	33
4.4	Assigning urgent patients to the appropriate shift (Model 2) . . . . .	38
<b>5</b>	<b>Numerical experiments and results</b>	<b>48</b>
5.1	Numerical experiment of Model 1 . . . . .	48
5.2	Numerical experiment of Model 1b . . . . .	53
5.3	Numerical experiment of Model 2 . . . . .	56
5.4	Fraction of time patients are rejected . . . . .	60
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusions and recommendations</b>	<b>62</b>
6.1	Conclusions . . . . .	62
6.2	Recommendations . . . . .	64
	<b>References</b>	<b>65</b>
	<b>Appendices</b>	
<b>A</b>	<b>Sensitivity analysis on the <math>M/M/1</math> with priority queueing model</b>	<b>68</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Cost of rejecting 24-hour patients</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>C</b>	<b>Optimal policy of Model 1</b>	<b>75</b>
<b>D</b>	<b>Optimal policy of Model 1b</b>	<b>80</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>Optimal policy of Model 2</b>	<b>82</b>
<b>F</b>	<b>Limiting distribution of the optimal policy</b>	<b>85</b>
F.1	Limiting distribution Model 1 . . . . .	85
F.2	Limiting distribution Model 1b . . . . .	87
F.3	Limiting distribution Model 2 . . . . .	89