

Kepustakaan

- Berelson, B. R., Lazarsfeld, P. F., McPhee, W. N., & McPhee, W. N. (1954). *Voting: A study of opinion formation in a presidential campaign*. University of Chicago Press.
- Bond, R. M., Fariss, C. J., Jones, J. J., Kramer, A. D., Marlow, C., Settle, J. E., & Fowler, J. H. (2012). A 61-million-person experiment in social influence and political mobilization. *Nature*, 489(7415), 295
- Campbell, A., Converse, P.E., Miller, W.E., & Stokes, D.E. (1980). *The American Voter*. New York: Willey.
- Catellani, P., & Alberici, A. I. (2012). Does the candidate matter? Comparing the voting choice of early and late deciders. *Political Psychology*, 33(5), 619-634.
- Cautrès, B., & Jadot, A. (2007). Electoral (In) Decision and the Temporality of the Vote. *Revue française de science politique*, 57(3), 293-314.
- Chang, C., Wei, R., & Lo, V. H. (2014). Ambivalent versus univalent voters: perceived media influences and third-person perceptions. *Media Psychology*, 17(4), 420-450.
- Dupoirier E and Frognier A-P (2009) Les temporalités de la décision électorale. Approche comparée de la France et de la Belgique (Wallonie). *Revue Française De Science Politique* 59 (3): 507–533.
- Downs, A. (1957). *An economic theory of democracy*. New York: Hrapet Collins.
- Erikson, R. S., & Wlezien, C. (2012). Uncovering Vote Intentions using Trial-Heat Polls. In *The timeline of presidential elections: How campaigns do (and do not) matter (h.17-40)*. London: University of Chicago Press.
- Erikson, R. S., Panagopoulos, C., & Wlezien, C. (2004). Likely (and unlikely) voters and the assessment of campaign dynamics. *Public Opinion Quarterly*, 68(4), 588-601.
- Grehenson, G. (2014). *Politik Indonesia Mengarah pada Populisme*. Retrieved from Universitas Gadjah Mada website: <https://ugm.ac.id/id/berita/8922-politik-indonesia-mengarah-pada-populisme>.
- Huckfeldt, R. R., & Sprague, J. (1995). *Citizens, politics and social communication: Information and influence in an election campaign*. Cambridge University Press.
- Karvonen, L. (2010). *The personalisation of politics: A study of parliamentary democracies*. Ecpr Press.
- Kenny, C., & McBurnett, M. (1992). A dynamic model of the effect of campaign spending on congressional vote choice. *American Journal of Political Science*, 923-937.

- Kenski, K.M. (2007). Gender and Time of Voting Decision: Decision Certainty during the 2000 Presidential Campaign. *Journal of Political Marketing* 6 (1): 1–22.
- Latimer, M. K. (1987). The Floating Voter and the Media. *Journalism Quarterly*, 64(4), 805–819.
- Lavine, H. (2001). The electoral consequences of ambivalence toward presidential candidates. *American Journal of Political Science*, 915-929.
- Lawrence, D.G. (1978). Candidate Orientation, Vote Choice, & the Quality of the American Electorate. *Polity*, 11 (2), h. 229-246. DOI: 10.2307/3234444.
- Lazarsfeld, P.F, Berelson B., & Gaudet H. (1968). *The People's Choice: How the Voter Makes Up His Mind in a Presidential Campaign*, 3rd ed. New York: Columbia University Press.
- McAllister, I. (2007). The personalization of politics. In *The Oxford handbook of political behavior*. Oxford University Press.
- . (2015). The personalization of politics in Australia. *Party politics*, 21(3), 337-345.
- McGregor, R. M. (2012). Voter sincerity and the time-of-voting-decision. *Electoral Studies*, 31(4), 715-725.
- Mujani, S., & Liddle, R. W. (2010). Indonesia: Personalities, Parties, and Voters. *Journal of Democracy*, 21(2), 35-49.
- Mujani, S., Liddle, R. W., & Ambardi, K. (2018). *Voting Behavior in Indonesia Since Democratization: Critical Democrats*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Quattrone, G. A., & Tversky, A. (1988). Contrasting rational and psychological analyses of political choice. *American Political Science Review*, 82(3), 719-736.
- Rahat, G., & Sheafer, T. (2007). The personalization (s) of politics: Israel, 1949–2003. *Political Communication*, 24(1), 65-80.
- Rogers, T., Gerber, A. S., & Fox, C. R. (2013). Rethinking Why People Vote: Voting as Dynamic Social Expression. dalam E Shafir (Ed.) *Behavioral Foundations of Policy*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Rudolph, T. J., & Popp, E. (2007). An information processing theory of ambivalence. *Political Psychology*, 28(5), 563-585.
- Shaw, D.R. (2014). Swing Voting and U.S. Presidential Elections. In W.G. Mayer (Ed.), *The Swing Voter in American Politics* (h. 75-101). Washington DC: The Brooking Institution.

Willocq, S. (2019). Explaining Time of Vote Decision: The Socio-Structural, Attitudinal, and Contextual Determinants of Late Deciding. *Political Studies Review*, 17(1), 53-64.