

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ādel, A. (2006). *Metadiscourse in L1 and L2 English*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- ANSI/NISO Z39.14. (2010). *Guidelines for abstracts*. The National Standards Organization: USA.
- Anderson, K. & Maclean, J. (1997). A genre analysis study of 80 medical abstracts. *Edinburgh Working Papers in Applied Linguistics* 8, 1-23.
- Bhatia, V. (1993). *Analysing genre: Language use in professional settings*. New York: Longman.
- Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Reppen, P. (1998). *Corpus linguistics: Investigating language structure and use*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Biber, D., Conrad, S., & Leech, G. (2002). *Student grammar of spoken and written English*. Edinburgh: Pearson Education Limited.
- Biber, D., & Jones, J. (2009). Quantitative methods in corpus linguistics. Dalam Anke Lüdeling dan Merja Kytö (Ed.), *Corpus Linguistics: An International Handbook*. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1286-1304.
- Can, S., Karabacak, E., & Qin, J. (2016). Structure of moves in research article abstracts in applied linguistics. *Publications*, 4(23), 1-16.
- Cleveland, D. (1983). *Introduction to indexing and abstracting*. UK: Libraries Unlimited Inc.
- Cresswell, J. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (4th ed.). Boston: Pearson Education.
- DeCapua, A. (2008). *Grammar for teachers: A guide to American English for native and non-native speakers*. New York: Springer Science+Business Media, LLC.
- Doro, K. (2013). The rhetoric structure of research article abstracts in English studies journals. *Prague Journal of English Studies*, 2(1), 119-139.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2007). *Research methods in applied linguistics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Farnia, M., & Barati, S. (2017). Writing introduction sections of research articles in applied linguistics, cross-linguistic study of native and non-native writers. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 7(2), 486-494.
- Fazilatfar, A., & Naseri, Z. (2014). Rhetorical moves in applied linguistics articles and their corresponding Iranian writer identity. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 9, 489-498.

- Fredrickson, K., & Swales, J. (1994). Competition and discourse community: introductions from Nysvenka studier. Dalam B. Gunnarson, B. Nordberg, & P. Linell (Eds.), *Text and talk in professional context*, 103–136. Berlin: De Gruyter.
- Gillaerts, P., & Van de Velde, F. (2010). Interactional metadiscourse in research article abstracts. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 9(2), 128-139.
- Gómez, P. (2002). Do we need statistics when we have linguistics?. *D.E.L.T.A.*, 18(2), 233-271.
- Graetz, N. (1985). Teaching EFL students to extract structural information from abstracts. Dalam A.K. Pugh & J.M. Ulijin (Ed.). *Reading for professional purposes: Studies and practices in native and foreign languages*. London: Heinemann Educational Books.
- Hanidar, S. (2016). Rhetorical patterns, verb tense, and voice in cross disciplinary research article abstract. *Humaniora*, 28(1), 12-27.
- Hardjanto, T. (1997). Struktur wacana intisari artikel penelitian dalam jurnal ilmiah berbahasa Inggris. *Humaniora*, V, 114-24.
- Hardjanto, T. (2017). Common discourse patterns of cross-disciplinary research article abstracts in English. *Humaniora*, 29(1), 72-84.
- Hartley, J., & Cabanac, G. (2017). Thirteen ways to write an abstract. *Publications*, 5(11), 1-7.
- Hemais, B. (2001). The discourse of research and practice in marketing journals. *English for Specific Purposes*, 20(1), 39–59.
- Hirano, E. (2009). Research article introductions in English for specific purposes: A comparison between Brazilian Portuguese and English. *English for Specific Purposes*, 28(4), 240-250.
- Houghton, B. (1975). *Scientific periodicals: Their historical development, characters and controls*. Hamden, CT: Shoe String Press.
- Huckin, T. (2001). Abstracting from Abstracts. Dalam Martin Hewings (Ed.), *Academic Writing in Context: Implications and Applications*, 93-103. Birmingham: The University of Birmingham Press.
- Hyland, K. (1996). Talking to the academy: Forms of hedging in science research article. *Written Communication*, 13(2), 251-281.
- Hyland, K. (1998). *Hedging in scientific research articles*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.
- Hyland, K. (1999). Academic attribution: Citation and the construction of disciplinary knowledge. *Applied Linguistics*, 20(3). 341-367.
- Hyland, K. (2000). *Disciplinary discourse: Social interactions in academic writing*. Harlow: Pearson Education.

- Hyland, K. (2003). Self-citation and self-reference: Credibility and promotion in academic publication. *Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 54(3), 251–9.
- Hyland, K. (2004). *Disciplinary discourses: Social interactions in academic writing*. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
- Hyland, K. (2005). Stance and engagement: A model of interaction in academic discourse. *Discourse Studies*, 7(2), 173-192.
- Jernudd, B., & Baldauf, Jr. (1987). Planning science communication for human resource development. Dalam B.K. Das (Ed.), *Languange education in human resource development*. Singapore: RELC.
- Kanoksilapatham, B. (2005). Rhetorical structure of biochemistry research articles. *English for Specific Purposes*, 24, 269-292.
- Kesuma, T. *Pengantar (metode) penelitian bahasa*. Yogyakarta: Carasvatibooks.
- Kridalaksana, H. (2003). *Kamus linguistik* (3rd ed.). Jakarta: PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Larson-Hall, J. (2010). *A guide to doing statistics in second language research using SPSS*. New York: Routledge.
- Loi, C. (2010). Research article introductions in Chinese and English: A comparative genre-based study. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 9, 267-279.
- Lorés, R. (2004). On RA abstracts: From rhetorical structure to thematic organisation. *English for Specific Purposes*, 23, 280-302.
- MacEney, T., & Wilson, A. (2001). *Corpus linguistics* (2nd Ed.). Edinburgh: Edinburgh University Press.
- MacDonald, S. (1994). *Professional academic writing in the humanities and social sciences*. Carbondale and Edwardsville: Southern Illinois University Press.
- Mahsun. (2007). *Metode penelitian bahasa*. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Marefat, H., & Mohammadzadeh, S. (2013). Genre analysis of literature research article abstracts: A cross-linguistic, cross-cultural study. *Applied Research on English Language*, 2(2), 37-50.
- Martin, J. (1985). Process and text: Two aspects of human semiosis. Dalam Benson & Greaves (eds.), 248-274.
- Martín, P. (2003). A genre analysis of English and Spanish research paper abstracts in experimental social sciences. *English for Spesific Purposes*, 22(1), 25-43.

- Martínez, I. (2003). Aspects of theme in the method and discussion sections of biology journal articles in English. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, 2(2), 17–37.
- McGirr, C. (1973). Guidelines for abstracting. *Tech. Commun.*, 25(2), 2-5.
- Meyer, C. (2004). *English corpus linguistics: An introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Mizuta, Y., Mullen, T. & Collier, N. (2004). ‘Annotation of biomedical texts for zone analysis’. *National Institute of Informatics Technical Report NII-2004-007 E*.
- Ozturk, I. (2007). The textual organisation of research article introductions in applied linguistics: Variability within a single discipline. *English for Specific Purposes*, 26(1), 25-38.
- Peraturan Menteri Riset, Teknologi, dan Pendidikan Tinggi Republik Indonesia Nomor 20 Tahun 2017 tentang Pemberian Tunjangan Profesi Dosen dan Tunjangan Kehormatan Professor. (2017). Diakses pada 15 Juni 2019 di <https://kelembagaan.ristekdikti.go.id/wp-content/uploads/2017/02/PERMEN-NOMOR-20-TAHUN-2017-TENTANG-TUNJANGAN-PROFESI-DAN-TUNJANGAN-KEHORMATAN-SA.pdf>
- Pho, P. (2008). Research article abstracts in applied linguistics and educational technology: A study of linguistic realizations of rethorical structure and authorial stance. *Discourse Studies*, 10(2), 231-250.
- Pho, P. (2013). *Authorial stance in research articles examples from applied linguistics and educational technology*. UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Quirk, R., & Greenbaum, S. (1973). *A university grammar of English*. London: Longman Group Limited London.
- Rustono., Mujiyanto, J., Hartono, S., Wagiran., Syaifudin, A., & Surahmat. (2018). *Panduan penulisan karya ilmiah*. Semarang: UNNES PRESS.
- Rofi’uddin, A., Saukah, A., Basthomi, Y., Basuki, A., Effendy., Hidayah, N., ... Suyono. (2017). *Pedoman penulisan karya ilmiah tugas akhir, skripsi, tesis, disertasi, artikel, makalah, dan laporan penelitian*. Malang: UM PRESS.
- Salager-Meyer, F. (1990). Discoursal flaws in medical english abstracts: A genre analysis per research and text-type. *Text*, 10(4), 365-384.
- Samraj, B. (2005). An exploration of a genre set: research article abstracts and introductions in two disciplines. *English for Specific Purposes* 24, 141-156.
- Santos, M. (1996). The textual organization of research paper abstracts in applied linguistics. *Text*, 16(4), 481-499.

- Schreiber, J., & Asner-Self, K. (2011). *Educational research: The interrelationship of questions, sampling, design, and analysis*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Stoller, F., & Robinson, M. (2013). Chemistry journal articles: An interdisciplinary approach to move analysis with pedagogical aims. *English for Specific Purposes*, 32(1), 45-57.
- Sudaryanto. (1993). *Metode dan aneka tehnik analisis bahasa: Pengantar penelitian wahana kebudayaan secara linguistik*. Yogyakarta: Duta Wacana University Press.
- SPSS for Windows, Version 16.0. (2007). Chicago: SPSS Inc.
- Swales, J. (1990). *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Swales, J., & Feak, C. (2000). *English in today's research world*. United States: University of Michigan.
- Taylor, G., & Chen, T. (1991). Linguistic, cultural, and subcultural issues in contrastive discourse analysis: Anglo-American and Chinese scientific texts. *Applied Linguistics*, 12(3), 319-336.
- Trask, R., & Stockwell. (Eds.). (2007). *Language and linguistics: The key concepts* (2nd ed.). New York: Routledge.
- Varttala, T. (2001). *Hedging in scientifically oriented discourse: Exploring variation according to discipline and intended audience*. Tampere, Finlandia: Universitas Tampere. Disertasi Doktor. ISBN 951-44-5195-3.
- Vassileva, I. (2000). *Who is the author? A contrastive analysis of authorial presence in English, German, French, Russian and Bulgarian academic discourse*. Sankt Augustin: Asgard.
- Vassileva, I. (2001). Commitment and detachment in English and Bulgarian academic writing. *English for Specific Purposes*, 20(1), 83-102.