

Table of Contents

Approval Sheet	iii
Admission Sheet	iv
Dedication Sheet.....	v
Motto	vi
Acknowledgements.....	vii
List of Table	xi
List of Abbreviations	xiii
Abstract.....	xiv
Intisarixv
Chapter I: Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background of Choosingthe Subject.....	1
1.2 Research Questions	2
1.3 Objectives ofthe Study.....	3
1.4 Focus and Scope of Study.....	3
1.5 Literature Review.....	3
1.6 Presentation.....	7

Chapter II: Theoretical Framework and Method of Research	8
2.1 Theoretical Framework.....	8
2.1.1 Context.....	9
2.1.2 Speech Act.....	10
2.1.3 Request.....	12
2.1.4 Gender	14
2.2 Method of Research.....	15
2.2.1 Data and Data Source.....	15
2.2.2 Method of Data Collection.....	16
2.2.3 Method of Data Analysis.....	16
Chapter III: The Directness Level of Request Strategies.....	18
3.1 Direct Strategy.....	20
3.1.1 Mood Derivable.....	21
3.1.2 Explicit Performatives.....	24
3.1.3 Hedge Performatives	24
3.1.4 Locution Derivable.....	26
3.1.5 Scope Stating.....	27
3.2 Conventional Indirect Strategy.....	29

3.2.1 Language Specific Suggestory Formulae.....	30
3.2.2 Reference to Preparatory Condition.....	33
3.3 Nonconventional Indirect Strategy.....	36
3.3.1 Strong Hint	37
3.3.2 Mild Hint	41
Chapter IV: Conclusion.....	44
Bibliography	45
Appendix	45



List of Tables

Table 1. Total Frequencies and Distribution of Directness Level

Directness Level	Number	Strategies	Total
Direct Strategy	1	Mood Derivable (MD)	20 (36.3%)
	2	Explicit Performatives (EP)	-
	3	Hedge Performatives (HP)	3 (5.45%)
	4	Locution derivable (LD)	1 (1.8%)
	5	Scope stating (SS)	2 (3.6%)
Conventional Indirect Strategy	6	Language specific suggestory formulae (SF)	7 (12.72%)
	7	Reference to preparatory condition (PC)	10 (18.1%)
Nonconventional Indirect Strategy	8	Strong hint (SH)	10 (18.1%)
	9	Mild hint (MH)	2 (3.6%)
Total			55 (100%)



Table 2. Frequencies and Distribution of Directness Level by Gender

Directness Level	Number	Strategies	Male	Female
Direct Strategy	1	Mood Derivable (MD)	9 (30%)	11 (44%)
	2	Explicit Performatives (EP)	-	-
	3	Hedge Performatives (HP)	1 (3.34%)	2 (8%)
	4	Locution derivable (LD)	1 (3.34%)	-
	5	Scope stating (SS)	1 (3.34%)	1 (4%)
Conventional Indirect Strategy	6	Language specific suggestory formulae (SF)	5 (16.67%)	2 (8%)
	7	Reference to preparatory condition (PC)	7 (23.34%)	3 (12%)
Nonconventional Indirect Strategy	8	Strong hint (SH)	4 (13.34%)	6 (24%)
	9	Mild hint (MH)	2	-
Total			30	25

List of Abbreviations

I. Strategies

MD	: Mood Derivable
EP	: Explicit Performatives
HP	: Hedge Performatives
LD	: Locution Derivable
SS	: Scope Stating
SF	: Language Specific Suggestory Formulae
PC	: Reference to Preparatory Conditions
SH	: Strong Hints
MH	: Mild Hints