



LIST OF CONTENTS

TITLE	ii
ENDORSEMENT	iii
DECLARATION OF AUTHENTICITY	iv
FOREWORD	v
for:	vi
LIST OF CONTENTS	vii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
Abstract	xi
Abstrak	xii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Background	1
1.2. Research objectives	3
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1. Merapi Volcano and Gunung Merapi National Park	4
2.2. <i>Acacia decurrens</i>	5
2.3. Pest and Diseases in <i>Acacia decurrens</i>	5
2.4. Pathogen Accumulation	6
2.5. Forest Health Monitoring	6
2.6. Trees Response to Damages	7
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODS	9
3.1 Time and Location	9
3.2 Tools and Materials	10
3.3 Methods.....	10
3.4 Data Analysis	14
CHAPTER IV RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	15
4.1 Results.....	15
4.2. Discussion.....	23
CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	28
5.1. Conclusion	28
5.2. Recommendation.....	28
REFERENCES	29



LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. <i>The Damage Intensity score category of every damage types on Acacia decurrens trees part</i>	13
Table 2. <i>The score category of Crown Damage Intensity status on Acacia decurrens trees based on its percentage of damaged crown</i>	14



LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1. The Research location in Gunung Merapi National Park
.....
9
- Figure 2. Detail of FHM Plot Design
.....
11
- Figure 3. The trees main parts of observation
.....
12
- Figure 4. Tree damages that found in the *Acacia decurrens*; a and b. other damage caused by borer insect, c. canker; and d. gummosis
.....
15
- Figure 5. Fruiting bodies in the root of *Acacia decurrens* (a); crown damage in the *Acacia decurrens* (b)
.....
16
- Figure 6. The damage incidence of *Acacia decurrens* in: a. roots; b. stem; c. crown-stem; and d. branches & twigs (solid line shows a similar once-every-two-months observations, dashed line shows a different interval of the last observation)
.....
18
- Figure 7. The comparison *Acacia decurrens* damage incidence in; a. roots; b. stem; c. crown-stem; and d. branches & twigs; between Kalikuning and Klangon during October 2018's observation. (“*” means significant in two sample t-test for unequal variance at $p < 0.05$; bar indicate the standar deviation)
.....
19



Figure 8. The damage severity of *Acacia decurrens* in; a. roots; b. stem; c. crown-stem; and d. branches & twigs (solid line shows a similar once-every-two-months observations, dashed line shows a different interval of the last observation)

.....
20

Figure 9. The comparison *Acacia decurrens* damage severity in; a. roots; b. stem; c. crown-stem; and d. branches & twigs; between Kalikuning and Klangon during October 2018's observation (“*” means significant in two sample t-test for unequal variance at $p < 0.05$; bar indicate the standar deviation)

.....
21

Figure 10. a. Crown damage severity development in Kalikuning sites; b. Comparison of crown damage severity between Kalikuning and Klangon during October 2018's observation (solid line shows a similar once-every-two-months observations, dashed line shows a different interval of the last observation).

.....
22

Figure 11. *Acacia decurrens* mortality rate in Kalikuning site, GMNP (solid line shows a similar once-every-two-months observations, dashed line shows a different interval of the last observation)

.....
23

Figure 12. The relationship between the canker damage severity and the tree mortality percentages of *Acacia decurrens* in Kalikuning sites.....23