

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Journals

- Ahn, T. S., Hwang, I., & Kim, M. I. (2010). The impact of performance measure discriminability on ratee incentives. *The Accounting Review*, 85(2), 389-417.
- Bol, J. C. (2011). The determinants and performance effects of managers' performance evaluation biases. *The Accounting Review*, 86(5), 1549-1575.
- Bol, J. C., & Smith, S. D. (2011). Spillover effects in subjective performance evaluation: Bias and the asymmetric influence of controllability. *The Accounting Review*, 86(4), 1213-1230.
- Burney, L. L., Henle, C. A., & Widener, S. K. (2009). A path model examining the relations among strategic performance measurement system characteristics, organizational justice, and extra-and in-role performance. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 34(3), 305-321.
- Cardinaels, E., & van Veen-Dirks, P. M. (2010). Financial versus non-financial information: The impact of information organization and presentation in a Balanced Scorecard. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 35(6), 565-578.
- Ding, S., & Beaulieu, P. (2011). The Role of Financial Incentives in Balanced Scorecard-Based Performance Evaluations: Correcting Mood Congruency Biases. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 49(5), 1223-1247.
- Du, F., Tang, G., & Young, S. M. (2012). Influence activities and favoritism in subjective performance evaluation: evidence from Chinese state-owned enterprises. *The Accounting Review*, 87(5), 1555-1588.
- Ghosh, D., & Lusch, R. F. (2000). Outcome effect, controllability and performance evaluation of managers: some field evidence from multi-outlet businesses. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 25(4), 411-425.
- Gibbs, M., K. A. Merchant, W. A. Van der Stede and M. E. Vargus (2004) 'Determinants and Effects of Subjectivity in Incentives', *The Accounting Review*, 79(2): 409-436.
- Giraud, F., Langevin, P., & Mendoza, C. (2008). Justice as a rationale for the controllability principle: A study of managers' opinions. *Management Accounting Research*, 19(1), 32-44.
- Govindarajan, V. (1984). Appropriateness of accounting data in performance evaluation: an empirical examination of environmental uncertainty as an intervening variable. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 9(2), 125-135.
- Hartmann, F., & Slapničar, S. (2009). How formal performance evaluation affects trust between superior and subordinate managers. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 34(6), 722-737.

- Hartmann, F., & Slapničar, S. (2012). The perceived fairness of performance evaluation: The role of uncertainty. *Management Accounting Research*, 23(1), 17-33.
- Hartmann, F., Naranjo-Gil, D., & Perego, P. (2010). The effects of leadership styles and use of performance measures on managerial work-related attitudes. *European Accounting Review*, 19(2), 275-310.
- Höppe, F., & Moers, F. (2011). The choice of different types of subjectivity in CEO annual bonus contracts. *The Accounting Review*, 86(6), 2023-2046.
- Indjejikian, R. J., & Matějka, M. (2011). Accounting decentralization and performance evaluation of business unit managers. *The Accounting Review*, 87(1), 261-290.
- Ittner, C. D., Larcker, D. F., & Meyer, M. W. (2003). Subjectivity and the weighting of performance measures: Evidence from a balanced scorecard. *The Accounting Review*, 78(3), 725-758.
- Ke, B., Petroni, K., & Safieddine, A. (1999). Ownership concentration and sensitivity of executive pay to accounting performance measures: Evidence from publicly and privately-held insurance companies. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 28(2), 185-209.
- Krishnan, R., Luft, J. L., & Shields, M. D. (2005). Effects of accounting-method choices on subjective performance-measure weighting decisions: Experimental evidence on precision and error covariance. *The Accounting Review*, 80(4), 1163-1192.
- Maas, V. S., van Rinsum, M., & Towry, K. L. (2012). In Search of Informed Discretion: An Experimental Investigation of Fairness and Trust Reciprocity. *The Accounting Review*, 87(2), 617-644.
- Moers, F. (2005). Discretion and bias in performance evaluation: the impact of diversity and subjectivity. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 30(1), 67-80.
- Tan, H. T., & Jamal, K. (2001). Do auditors objectively evaluate their subordinates' work?. *The Accounting Review*, 76(1), 99-110.
- Woods, A. (2012). Subjective adjustments to objective performance measures: The influence of prior performance. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 37(6), 403-425.

Books

- Anthony, Robert N., Vijay Govindarajan, Frank. G.H. Hartmann, Kalle Kraus, Goran Nilsson, (2014). *Management Control Systems*. European Edition, New York (NY): McGraw-Hill.

Ford, Clay. (2015). Understanding Q-Q plots. Research Data Services and Science, University of Virginia.

Hornigren, C. T., Datar, S. M., & Rajan, M. V. (2015). Cost Accounting: A Managerial Emphasis. Fifteenth Edition. Pearson education.

Merchant, K. A., & Van der Stede, W. A. (2007). Management control systems: performance measurement, evaluation and incentives. Pearson Education

Murphy, K. R., & Cleveland, J. N. (1991). Performance appraisal: An organizational perspective. Allyn & Bacon.

Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). Multivariate data analysis (Vol. 6).

Snedecor, G. W., & Cochran, W. G. (1989). Statistical methods, 8th Edition. Ames: Iowa State Univ. Press Iowa.

Articles

Arvey, R. D., & Murphy, K. R. (1998). Performance evaluation in work settings. Annual review of psychology, 49(1), 141-168.

Baker G.P., Jensen M.C., Murphy K.J. (1988), 'Compensation and Incentives: Practice vs. Theory', The Journal of Finance, 43, 3: pp. 593-616.

Bailey, W. J., Hecht, G., & Towry, K. L. (2011). Dividing the pie: The influence of managerial discretion extent on bonus pool allocation. Contemporary Accounting Research, 28(5), 1562-1584

Bonner, S. E. (1999). Judgment and decision-making research in accounting. Accounting Horizons, 13(4), 385-398.

Budde, J. (2007). Performance measure congruity and the balanced scorecard. Journal of Accounting Research, 45(3), 515-539.

Eccles, R. (1991). The performance measurement manifesto. Harvard Business Review, 69(1), 131-137. Ferreira, A., & Otley, D. (2009). The design and use of performance management systems: An extended framework for analysis. Management Accounting Research, 20(4), 263-282.

Fisher, J. G., Maines, L. A., Peffer, S. A., & Sprinkle, G. B. (2005). An experimental investigation of employer discretion in employee performance evaluation and compensation. The Accounting Review, 80(2), 563-583.

Hall, M. (2011). Do comprehensive performance measurement systems help or hinder managers' mental model development?. *Management Accounting Research*, 22(2), 68-83.

Holmstrom, B., & Milgrom, P. (1991). Multitask principal-agent analyses: Incentive contracts, asset ownership, and job design. *Journal of Economics and Organization*, 7 (24).

Ilgen, D. R., Peterson, R. B., Martin, B. A., & Boesch, D. A. (1981). Supervisor and subordinate reactions to performance appraisal sessions. *Organizational Behaviour and Human Performance*, 28(3), 311-330.

Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39(1), 31-36.

Lowe, A., & Locke, J. (2006). Constructing an 'efficient frontier' of accounting journal quality. *The British Accounting Review*, 38(3), 321-341.

Sprinkle, G. B. (2003). Perspectives on experimental research in managerial accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(2-3), 287-318.

Sprinkle G.B. & Williamson M.G. (2007). Experimental research in managerial accounting. *Handbook of Management Accounting Research*, Chapman, C.S., Hopwood, A.G. & Shields, M.D (Eds.) (pp. 415-444). Oxford, UK: Elsevier.

Online Sources

Ford, Clay. (2015). Understanding Q-Q plots. Research Data Services and Science, University of Virginia Library. Retrieved June 30, 2018, from <https://data.library.virginia.edu/understanding-q-q-plots/>

Grant, L. G. (2016, October 12). Let's Not Kill Performance Reviews Yet. Harvard Business Review. Retrieved May 12, 2018, from <https://hbr.org/2016/11/lets-not-kill-performance-evaluations-yet>

Hermans, Tim (2018). The use and consequences of subjective performance evaluation in supervisor-employee relationships. Retrieved July 1 2018 from <https://lirias2repo.kuleuven.be/bitstream/id/502801/:jsessionid=E6BD86459CB4E9255A441FB2A33%2051B7A>

IBM Knowledge Center (2018). Communalities. Retrieved May June 26, 2018, https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/en/SSLVMB_24.0.0/spss/tutorials/fac_cars_comm%20unalities_01.html

McGregor, J. (2013, February 14). The corporate kabuki of performance reviews. The Washington Post. WP Company. Retrieved May 27, 2018, from https://www.washingtonpost.com/national/on-leadership/the-corporate-kabuki-of-performance-rev%20iews/2013/02/14/59b60e86-7624-11e2-aa12-e6cf1d31106b_story.html?noredirect=on&utm_term=.8c15f8827b5d



UNIVERSITAS
GADJAH MADA

The Benefits, Consequences, and the Fairness Concerns of Subjective Performance Evaluation in a Supervisor-Employee Relationship

DEENA KAZIA, Dr. Agapi-Thaleia Fytraki

Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2019 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

Tavis, P. C., Buckingham, M., Goodall, A., McCord, P., & Harvard Business Review. (2018, March 06). The Future of Performance Reviews. Retrieved May 27, 2018, from <https://hbr.org/2016/10/the-performance-management-revolution>

Waterman (1999). Leverage, residuals, and influence. Statistics Department, The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania. Retrieved June 27, 2018, from <https://statistics.wharton.upenn.edu/>