

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>COVER PAGE .....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>ENDORSEMENT .....</b>	<b>II</b>
<b>APPROVAL .....</b>	<b>III</b>
<b>STATEMENT OF AUTHENTICITY .....</b>	<b>IV</b>
<b>PREFACE .....</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>XI</b>
<b>INTISARI .....</b>	<b>XII</b>
<b>CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>A. BACKGROUND .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>B. RESEARCH PROBLEMS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>C. ORIGINALITY OF RESEARCH .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>D. RESEARCH PURPOSES .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>E. RESEARCH BENEFITS .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>CHAPTER II: THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK .....</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>A. PRESUMPTION OF INNOCENCE .....</b>	<b>9</b>
1. General Theoretical Overview .....	9
2. Presumption of Innocence in Indonesia .....	15
3. Presumption of Innocence in the Netherlands .....	19
<b>B. FREEDOM OF THE PRESS .....</b>	<b>23</b>
1. General Overview .....	23
2. Freedom of the Press in Indonesia .....	27
3. Freedom of the Press in the Netherlands .....	33
<b>C. RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HUMAN RIGHTS AND CRIMINAL LAW .....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>A. RESEARCH CHARACTERISTICS .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>B. METHODOLOGY AND DATA .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>C. MATERIAL ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>D. RESEARCH LIMITATIONS AND INDICATORS .....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>CHAPTER IV: ANALYSIS .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>A. ANALYSIS ON INDONESIA .....</b>	<b>45</b>
1. Relevant Cases in Indonesia .....	45

i.	<i>The Case against Jessica Kumala Wongso</i> .....	45
ii.	<i>The Case against Basuki Tjahja Purnama</i> .....	48
iii.	<i>The Case against Meiliana</i> .....	49
<b>2.</b>	<b>Identified Common Problems</b> .....	<b>50</b>
i.	<i>High intensity of coverage from online media</i> .....	50
ii.	<i>The lack of quality standard in online media</i> .....	51
iii.	<i>Indonesians' tendency to be drawn to sensationalism</i> .....	55
<b>B.</b>	<b>ANALYSIS ON THE NETHERLANDS</b> .....	<b>58</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Relevant Cases in the Netherlands</b> .....	<b>58</b>
i.	<i>The Case against Willem Holleeder</i> .....	58
ii.	<i>The Case against Benno Larue</i> .....	61
iii.	<i>The Case against Geert Wilders</i> .....	62
<b>2.</b>	<b>Identified Common Problem</b> .....	<b>64</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>ANALYSIS ON THE APPLICABILITY OF THE DUTCH APPROACH IN INDONESIA</b> .....	<b>66</b>
<b>1.</b>	<b>Overview of the Dutch Approach in Balancing the Freedom of the Press and the Presumption of Innocence</b> .....	<b>66</b>
<b>2.</b>	<b>Evaluation of the Dutch Approach's Applicability in Indonesia</b> ....	<b>68</b>
i.	<i>General View</i> .....	68
ii.	<i>Human Resources Concerns</i> .....	70
iii.	<i>Unaddressed Concerns</i> .....	71
<b>CHAPTER V: CLOSING</b> .....		<b>73</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b> .....	<b>73</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b> .....	<b>74</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b> .....		<b>76</b>
<b>ANNEX: NUMBER OF FIRST INSTANCE AND APPEAL COURTS IN INDONESIA</b> .....		<b>87</b>