



**JURIDICAL ANALYSIS OF BID OFFERING CONSPIRACY BASED ON
ACT NO 5 1999 ABOUT PROHIBITION OF MONOPOLY PRACTICE
AND UNFAIRLY COMPETITION
(STUDY ABOUT SOME OF KPPU DECISIONS)**

**By :
Muh. Fandhi Fanani¹, Nindyo Promono², Hariyanto³**

ABSTRACT

This research aimed to know implementation of law competition supported by Commission for the supervision of Business Competition in Bid Rigging cased, and also to know at Dinas Pendaftaran Penduduk and Catatan Sipil Semarang City is neither proved for The Bid Rigging cased, and how to know for finishing that cased, if one others person felt an Objection to decision of KPPU.

This juridical normative research was conducted by making study and analysis to secondary data based on the normative law according to the law theory analysis, supported by empirical facts of field research (primary data) by interviewing some KPPU staffs. The data were analyzed descriptively and explained qualitatively.

The result of this research pointed that Business Competition for the supervision Commission in conducting the investigation to the businessmen who suspected were made infraction or violation, based on self-initiation (passage 40 verse 1) Acts No 5. 1999, or based on report (Passage 39 verse 1 and 2) Acts No. 5 1999, and the Commission Assembly might by wrong in their judgment of the case to other who feel an objection to the decision of KPPU, based on Passage 44 verse 2 Act No. 5 1999 could make law process by proposing an objection to Court of First Instance 14 (fourteen days) lastly after received the judgment.

Key Words: Juridical Analysis of Bid Offering Conspiracy

¹ Nanggulan Street, No. 22A, Rt 009/Rw 017, Maguwoharjo, Depok, Sleman-Yogyakarta

² The Faculty of Law of Gadjah Mada University of Yogyakarta.

³ The Faculty of Law of Gadjah Mada University of Yogyakarta.