

KEPUSTAKAAN

- Abrams, Z. I. (2003). The effect of synchronous and asynchronous CMC on oral performance in German. *Modern Language Journal*, 87(2), 157–167.
- Adelskold, G., Alklett, K., Axelsson, R., & Blomgren, G. (1999). Problem-based distance learning of energy issues via computer network. *Distance Education*, 20(1), 129-143.
- Adler, R. B., Rosenfeld, L. B., & Proctor, R. F. (2010). *Interplay: The process of interpersonal communication*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Adrianson,L & Hjelmquist,E.(1991). Group processes in face-to-face and computer-mediated communication. *Behaviour & Information Technology* 10(4). 281-296.
- Adrianson,L & Hjelmquist,E. (1999). Group processes in solving two problems: face to face and computer-mediated communication. *Behaviour & Information Technology* 18(3). 179 -198.
- Ajzen, I., & Fishbein, M. (1980) . *Understanding Attitudes and Predicting Social Behavior*. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs,
- Alkan, C. (2005). *Educational Technology*. Ankara: AnÖ Press
- Allmendinger, K. (2010). Social Presence in Synchronous Virtual Learning Situation: The Role of Nonverbal Signals Displayed by Avatars. *Educational Psychology Review* 22(1) Computer-supported instructional communication. A multidisciplinary account of relevant factors. 41-56.
- Akyuz, H I, & Kurt,M,. (2010). Effect of teacher's coaching in online discussion forum on student's perceived self-efficacy for the educational software development. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 9(2010). 633-637.
- American Psychological Association. (6th ed.). (2010). *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association*. Washington DC : Author.
- Anderson, J. R. (1992). *Automaticity and the ACT* Theory*. *Amer. J. Psych.* 105 165-180.
- Archer, J. (1992). Childhood gender roles: Social context and organization. In: H. McGurk, (Ed.), *Childhood social development:Contemporary perspectives* (pp. 31–61). Hove, England: Erlbaum
- Azwar, S. (2010). *Metode Penelitian*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Balliet, D. (2010). Communication and Cooperation in Social Dilemmas : A Meta-Analytic Review. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution* 54 (1). 39-57.
- Basow, S. A., & Rubenfeld, K. (2003). “*Troubles talk*”: *Effects of gender and gendertyping*.
- Berge, Z., & Collins, M. (1995). Computer-mediated communication and the online classroom: *Overview and perspectives (Vol. 1)*. Cresskill, NJ: Hampton

- Berger, J., Rosenholtz, S.J., &Zelditch, M., Jr. (1980). Statusorganizing processes. In A. Inkeles, N. J. Smelser, &R. H. Turner (Eds.), *Annual review of sociology* (Vol. 6, pp. 479-508). Palo Alto, CA: Annual Reviews
- Bruckman, A. S. (1993). Gender swapping on the Internet. *Proceedings of INET*, Reston, VA. Retrieved from pub/MediaMOO/papers.gender-swapping.
- Carli,L.L. (1989). Gender Differences in Interaction Style and Influence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 56 (4). 565-576.
- Carr, T., Cox, L., Eden, N., Hanslo, M., 2004. From peripheral to full participation in a blended trade bargaining simulation. *Br. J. Educational. Technology*. 352, 197–211
- Chodorow, N. (1989). *Feminism and psychoanalytic theory*. New Haven, CT; Yale University Press.
- Clark, H. H., & Brennan, S. E. (1991). Grounding in communication. In L. B.Resnick, J. M. Levine, & S. D. Teasley (Eds.), *Perspectives on socially shared cognition*. Washington, DC: APA.
- Colley, A. M., Gale, M. T., & Harris, T. A. (1994). Effects of gender role identity and experience on computer attitude components. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 10(2), 129-137.
- Collins, C, & Collins, S. (1996). *The internet as a tool*. Retrieved Nov 22, 2016, from ERIC database (ED398 883).
- Connolly, T.; Jessup, L.M.; and Valacich, J.S.(1990). Effects of anonymity and evaluativon idea generation in computer-mediated groups. *Management Science* 36 (6). 689 – 703.
- Cooper, J. (2006). The digital divide: The special case of gender. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*,22(5), 320-334.
- Corno, L., & Mandinach, E. (1983). The role of cognitive engagement in classroom learning and motivation. *Educational Psychologist*,18, 88-100.
- Creswell, J W. (4th ed). (2012). *Educational research : planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. Boston: Pearson Education.
- Creswell, J W. (4th ed). (2014). *Research Design : Qualitative, and Mixed methods approaches*. California : SAGE Publication.
- Cubukcu, H & Kutlu,O. (2012). Computer-mediated communication : An observation on gender in chat rooms. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral*
- Daft, R.L. & Lengel, R.H. (1984). Information richness: a new approach to managerial behavior and organizational design. In: Cummings, L.L. & Staw, B.M. (Eds.), *Research in organizational behavior* 6. Homewood, IL: JAI Press.
- Denis, A R., Fuller, R M., & Valacich, J S. (2008). Media, Tasks, and Communication Processes: A Theory of Media Synchronicity. *MIS Quarterly* 32 (3). 575-600.

- Dennis, A.R.; Valacich, J.S; Connolly, T.; and Wynne, B.E. (1996). Process structuring in electronic brainstorming. *Information Systems Research*, 7, 2. 268-277.
- Dina, G & Dina, L. (2014). Direct Communication in Physical Education Classes. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences* 117. 136 – 142.
- Di Blasio,P & Milani, L. (2008). Computer-mediated communication and persuasion: Peripheral vs.central route to opinion shift. *Computers in Human Behavior* 24(2008). 798-815.
- Di Leo, J. R. (2008). Anonymity,Dialogue, and the academy. *Symploke* 16 ½. 61-73.
- Ding, N., Bosker, R.J., Harskamp, E.G., 2011. Exploring gender and gender pairing in the knowledge elaboration processes of students using computer-supported collaborative learning. *Computer Education*. 562, 325–336.
- Dobra, A. (2014). Technical Education : Digital And Analogical Aspects of Communication. *Procedia- Social and Behavioral Sciences* 131. 426 -431.
- Eagly, A. H. (1987). *Sex differences in social behavior: A social-role interpretation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Fahy, P. J., Crawford, G., & Aely, M. (2001). Patterns of interaction in a computer conference transcript. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*.
- Fischer, A.H., 2011. Gendered social interactions in face-to-face and computer mediated communication. *Face-to-face communication over the Internet*, 53–78.
- Francis, B., Read, B., & Melling, L. (2003). University lecturers' perceptions of gender and undergraduate writing. *British Journal of Sociology of Education*, 24(3). 357- 373.
- George, G. & Sleeth,R. G. (2000). Leadership in Computer-mediated communication : Implication and Research Directions. *Journal of Bussiness and Psychology* 15 (2).287-310.
- . George, J.F; Easton, G.K.; Nunamaker Jr, J.F.; and Northcraft, G.B. (1990). A study of collaborative group work with and without computer-based support. *Information Systems Research*, 1,4 .394-415.
- Gilligan, C. (1982). *In a different voice: Psychological theory and womens development*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Goertler, S. (2009). Using computer-mediated communication (CMC) in language teaching. *Die Unterrichtspraxis/Teaching German*, 42(1), 74–84.
- Gorritz, C. M., & Medina, C. (2002). Engaging girls with computers through software games. *Journal of the Association for Computing Machinery*, 43, 1, 42–49.
- Graham, S., & Harris, K.R. (2005). Improving the writing performance of young struggling writers: Theoretical and programmatic research from the center on accelerating student learning. *Journal of Special Education*, 39 (1), 19-33.

- Gray, J. (1992). *Men are from Mars, Women are from Venus: a Practical Guide for Improving Communication and Getting What You Want in a Relationship*. HarperCollins, New York.
- Gritsenko, V. (2015). Interaction on online forums and group communication : a case study of an IT support community. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 236(2016). 14-24.
- Grusec, J E. (1992). Social Learning Theory and Developmental Psychology: The Legacies of Robert Sears and Albert Bandura. *Developmental Psychology*, 28(5),776-786.
- Gunawardena, C. N., & Zittle, F. J. (1997). Social presence as a predictor of satisfaction within a computer-mediated conferencing environment. *American Journal of Distance Education*, 11 (3). 8-26.
- Halpern, D.F., Benbow, C.P., Geary, D.C., Gur, R.C., Hyde, J.S., Gernsbacher, M.A.,2007. The science of sex differences in science and mathematics. *Psychol. Sci.Public Interest* 81, 1–5.
- Harris, K. R., Graham, S., Mason, L. H., & Sadler, B. (2002). Developing self-regulated writers. *Theory into Practice*, 41, 110-115.
- Hartmann, E. (1991). *Boundaries in the mind: A new psychology of personality*. New York; Basic Books
- Hiltz,S. R & Johnson, K. (1990). User satisfaction with Computer-mediated Communication Systems. *Management Science* 36 (6). 739-764.
- Ho,S S. (2008). Social-Psychological Influences on Opinion Expression in Face-to-Face and Computer-Mediated Communication. *Communication Research* 35(2). 190-207.
- Hogg, M A. & Vaughan, G M. (6th ed). (2011). *Social Psychology*. Harlow: Pearson Education Limited.
- Jeong, A. & Davidson-Shivers, G. (2006). The Effects of Gender Interaction Patterns on Student Participation in Computer-Supported Collaborative Argumentation. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 54 (6). 543-568.
- Kahai, S. S & Cooper, R. B. (1999). The effect of Computer-Mediated Communication on Agreement and Acceptance. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 16 (1). 165-188.
- Kanaris, A. (1999). Gendered journeys: Children's writing and the construction of gender. *Language and Education*, 13(4), 254-268.
- Karayan, S.& Crowe,J. (1997). Student perspectives of electronic discussion groups. *THE Journal: Technological Horizons in Education* 5. 319-332.
- Kolouri, T., Lauria,S., & Macredie, R. D. (2016). The influence of visual feedback and gender dynamics on performance,perception and communication strategies in CSCW. *International Journal Human-Computer Studies* 97(2017). 162-181.
- Krauss,R M. (2002). The Psychology of Verbal Communication. *International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences* 4th.

- Krauss, R. M., & Fussell, S. R. (1996). Social psychological models of interpersonal communication. In E. T. Higgins, & A. Kruglanski (Eds.), *Social psychology: A handbook of basic principles* (pp. 655-701). New York: Guilford.
- Lakoff, R. T. (1975). *Language and womans place*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Lamerichs,J,&Te Molder,Hedwig F.M. (2003). Computer-mediated communication : from a cognitive to a discursive model. *New Media & Society*, 5(4), 451-473.
- Leaper. C. (1991). Influence and involvement in children's discourse: Age, gender, and partner effects. *Child Development*, 62,797-811.
- Littlejohn, S.W. & Foss, K A. (2008). *Theories of Human Communication 9th*. United States: Thompson Wadsworth.
- Liu, E Z-F., Cheng,S S., Chen, S Y., & Chen, B-I. (2012). The Impact of Q&A Forums' Level of Elaboration on Students' Learning. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 64(2012). 604-608.
- Maccoby, E. E. (1998). *The two sexes: Growing up apart, coming together*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press
- Mahmud, M M. (2014). Communication aptitude and academic succes. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 134(2014). 125-133.
- Maltz, D. N., & Borker, R. (1982). A cultural approach to male-female miscommunication. In J. J. Gumpertz (Ed.), *Language and social identity*. Cambridge; Cambridge University Press.
- Mason, E. S. (1994). Gender differences in job satisfaction. *The Journal of Social Psychology*,135, 143-151.
- Merchant, Karima. (2012). "How Men and Women Differ : Gender Differences in Communication Style,Influence Tactics and Leadership Styles". *CMC Senior Theses*. Paper 513.
- Miyazoe,T & Anderson, T. (2011). Anonymity in Blended Learning: Who Would You Like to Be?. *Journal of Educational Technology & Society* 14 (2). 175-187.
- Myers, D. G. (2010). *Psychology.Ninth Edition*. New York: Worth Publisher.
- Myhill, D. A. (2001). Writing: Creating and crafting. *English in Education*, 35(3), 13-20.
- Myhill, D. (2001). *Better writers*. Westley, Suffolk UK: Courseware Publications.
- Myhill, D. A., & Jones, S. (2005). *Patterns and processes*. Technical Report for the Economic and Social Research Council [ESRC] RES-000-23-0208 www.esrc.ac.uk/ESRCInfoCentre).
- Naidu, S. (1997). Collaborative reflective practice: And instructional design architecture for the Internet .*Distance Education*, 18, 259-271.
- Nunamaker, J.F.; Dennis, A.R.; Valacich, J.S.; Vogel, D.R.; and George, J.F. (1991). Electronic meeting systems to support group work. *Communications of the ACM*, 34 (7). 40-61.

- Olaniran, B.A. (1994). Group performance in Computer-mediated and face-to-face communication media. *Management Communication Quarterly* 7 (3). 256-281.
- Pallof, R M., & Pratt, K. (2007). *Building Online Learning Communities: Effective Strategies for The Virtual Classroom*. San Francisco : Jossey-Bass.
- Paris, S G., & Paris, A H. (2001). Classroom Applications of Research on Self-Regulated Learning. *Educational Psychologist* 36(2). 89-101.
- Payne, J. S., & Whitney, P. J. (2002). Developing L2 oral proficiency through synchronous CMC: Output, working memory and interlanguage development. *CALICO Journal*, 20(1), 7–32.
- Persico, D, & Pozzi, F. (2010). The three T's of the structure of online collaborative activities. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 2 (2010). 2610-2615.
- Pinnsonneault, A & Heppel, N. (1997). Anonymity in Group Support Systems Research: A New Conceptualization, Measure and Contingency Framework. *Journal of Management Information Systems* 14 (3). 89-108.
- Pohl, M. & Michaelson, G., (1997). "I don't think that's an interesting dialogue": Computer-Mediated Communication and Gender in Women, Work and Computerization : Spinning a Web from Past to Future, Grundy, A.F., Kohler, D. Oechtering, V. & Peterson, U. (Eds). *Proceedings of the 6 th International IFIP Conference*. Bonn, Germany : Springer.
- Prinsen, F.R., Volman, M.L.L., Terwel, J., Van den Eeden, P., 2009. Effects on participation of an experimental CSCL-programme to support elaboration: do all students benefit? *Computer Education*. 521, 113–125.
- Rahman, S., Yasin, R M., Yasin, S.F.M., & Nordin, N M. (2011). Examining psychological aspects in online discussion. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 15(2011). 316-312.
- Ramdhani, N & Afati, N. (2009). *The Digital Generation and Quality of Communication: Does Media and the Topic Discussion Determine The Quality of Communication upon The Digital Generation?*. Yogyakarta: Faculty of Psychology GMU.
- Rains, S. A. (2007). The impact of anonymity on perceptions of source credibility and influence in computer-mediated group communication: A test of two competing hypotheses. *Communication Research*, 34(1), 100-125.
- Rosengreen, K. S. (1985). *An observational study of preschool children's computing activity*. Paper presented at Perspective on Young Child and the Computer, Austin, TX.
- Secreta, P.V. (2013). Gender equality in online learning : The case of UP Open University. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences* 103(2013) 434-441.
- Schraw, G., & Moshman, D. (1995). Metacognitive theories. *Educational Psychology Review*, 7, 351-371.
- Schneider, D., (2005). *The Psychology of Stereotyping*, New York: Guilford Press.

- Shadish, W R., Cook, T D., & Campbell, Donald T. (2002). *Experimental and Quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference*. Boston : Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Shang, H. F. (2007). An exploratory study of e-mail application on FL writing performance. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 20(1), 79–96.
- Sia, C. L., Tan, B C. Y. & Wei, K. K. (2002). Group polarization and Computer-Mediated Communication : Effects of Communication Cues, Social Presence, and Anonymity. *Information Systems Research* 13 (1). 70-90.
- Sierpe, E. (2001). Gender and Participation in Computer-Mediated LIS Education Topical Discussion : An Examination of JESSE, the Library/Information Science Education Forum. *Journal of Education for Library and Information Science* 42(4).339 -347.
- Spears, R. and Lea, M. (1992). Social influence and the influence of the 'social' in computer mediated communication. In M. Lea (Ed.), *Contexts of computer-mediated communication*. London : Harvester-Wheatsheaf.
- Spitzberg, B. H. (2006). Preliminary development of a model and measure of computer mediated communication (CMC) competence. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 11(2). 629-666.
- Statham, A. (1987). The gender model revisited; Differences in the management styles of men and women. *Sex Roles*, 16, 409-429.
- Stowers, G.N. (1995). Getting Left behind? Gender Differences in Computer Conferencing. *Public Productivity & Management Review* vol 19 (2). 143-159.
- Sun, X., 2008. *Why gender matters in CMC: gender differences in remote trust and performance with initial social activities* Ph.D. Thesis, Drexel University, Philadelphia, PA, Retrieved from <http://idea.library.drexel.edu/handle/1860/2930>
- Surrey, J. L. (1983). The relational self in women; Clinical implications. In J. V Jordan, J. L. Surrey, & A. G. Kaplan (Speakers), *Women and empathy: Implications for psychological development and psychotherapy*. Wellesley, MA; Stone Center for Developmental Services and Studies.
- Sutton, R. (1991). Equity and computers in the schools : A decade of research. *Review of Educational Research* 61(4). 475-503.
- Tannen, D. (1990). *You Just Don't Understand: Women and Men in Conversation*. Ballantine Books, New York
- Thorne, B, & Henley, N. (1975). Difference and dominance: An overview of language, gender, and society. In B. Thorne & N. Henley (Eds.), *Language and sex: Difference and dominance*, Rowley, MA: Newbury House Publishers, 5-42.
- Underhill, C & Olmsted, M G. (2003). An Experimental Comparison of Computer-Mediated Communication and Face-to-Face Focus Groups. *Social Science Computer Review*, 21(4). 506-512.

- Uzun,L. (2012). The Internet and computer-mediated artefacts for foreign language learning and practice, and intercultural communication: MOODLE, Second Life, and others. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences* 46 (2012). 3296-3300.
- Vrasidas, C, & McIsaac, M. S. (1999). Factors influencing interaction in an online course. *The American Journal of Distance Education*, 13(3), 22-36.
- Wei, Chun-W., Chen, Nian-S & Kinshuk. (2012). A model for social presence in online classrooms. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 60 (3). 529-545.
- Weisband,S., P. ,Schneider,S. K., & Connoly, T . (1995). Computer-Mediated Communication and Social Information : Status Salience and status differences. *The Academy of Management Journal* vol 38 no 4. 1124 -1151.
- Wilson, V E. & Lu, Y. (2008). Communication goals and online persuasion: An empirical examination. *Computers in Human Behavior* 24(2008). 2554-2577.
- Wojahn, P.G. (1994). Computer-mediated communications: The great equalizer between men and women. *Technical Communications*, 41,747-752.
- Wood, J. T (1996). *Gendered lives: Communication, gender and culture (2nd ed)*. Belmont, CA;Wadsworth.
- Yeh, Y.-C. (2010). Analyzing Online Behaviors, Roles, and Learning Communities via Online Discussions. *Educational Technology & Society*, 13 (1), 140–151.
- Yu et al,. (2012). *Teachable Agents in Virtual Learning Environments: a Case Study*.Singapore : Nanyang Technological University.
- Zhou,M.,& Brown,D. (2015). *Educational Learning Theories*. Retrieve from <http://oer.galileo.usg.edu/education-textbooks>.
- Zivko,M. (2011). *Psychological Aspects of Cyberspace: A review*. Zagreb: Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, University of Zagreb.