



DAFTAR KEPUSTAKAAN

- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). 1995. *AICPA Professional Standards and Code of Professional Conduct*. New York. NY: AICPA.
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA). 2008. AICPA Professional Standards. New York, NY: AICPA.
- Andre, S. M. 2008. Confirmatory behaviors in tax: exploring how advisors search for and evaluate information. Diakses dari ssrn.com dengan tautan <http://ssrn.com/abstract=1093370>
- Ashton, R. H. 1990. Pressure and performance in accounting decision settings: paradoxical effects of incentives, feedback, and justification. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 28: 148-180.
- Ashton, R. H. 1992. Effects of justification and a mechanical aid on judgment performance. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 52: 292-306.
- Ayers, F. L., B. R. Jackson dan P. A. Hite. 1989. The economic benefits of regulation: Evidence from professional tax preparers. *The Accounting Review* 64: 300-312
- Barrick, J. A., C. B. Cloyd, dan B. C. Spilker. 2004. The influence of biased tax research memoranda on supervisors' initial judgments in the review process. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 26(1): 1-19.
- Bhattacharjee, S. dan K. Moreno. 2002. The Impact of Affective Information on the Professional Judgment of More Experienced and Less Experienced Auditors. *Journal of Behavioral Judgment and Decision Making* 15: 361-377.
- Beck, P. J dan W. Jung. 1989. An economic model of taxpayers compliance under uncertainty. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy* 8(1): 1-27
- Bergner, J. M., S. A. Pfeffer dan R. J. Ramsay. 2016. Concession,Contention and Accountability in Auditor-Client Negotiations. *Behavioral Research in Accounting* 28(1): 15-25
- Big4. 2015. An Analysis Of The 2014 Financial Performance Of The World's Largest Accounting Firms. Tersedia di: <http://www.big4.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/01/The-2014-Big-Four-Firms-Performance-Analysis-Big4.com-Jan-2015.pdf>.



- Biel, A dan J. Thogersen. 2007. Activation of social norms in social dilemmas: A review of the evidence and reflections on the implications for environmental behaviour. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 28: 93-112
- Biel, A., Eek, D., dan Gärling, T. 1999. The importance of fairness for cooperation in public-goods dilemmas. Dalam P. Juslin & H. Montgomery (Eds.), *Judgment and decision making* (pp. 245–259). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Bicchieri, C. 2006. *The Grammar of Society: The Nature and Dynamics of Social Norms*. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Bobek, D. D., Hageman, A. M. dan Hatfield, R. C. 2010. The role of client advocacy in the development of tax professionals' advice. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 32(1): 25-51.
- Boiney, L., J. Kennedy, and P. Nye. 1997. Instrumental bias in motivated reasoning: More when more is needed. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 72(1): 1–24.
- Brazel, J. F., C. P. Agoglia, dan R. C. Hatfield. 2004. Electronic versus face-to-face review: The effects of alternative forms of review on auditors' performance. *The Accounting Review* 79(4): 949-966.
- Carver, C. 1979. A cybernetic model of self-attention processes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37(8): 1251-1281.
- Chaiken S., dan Y. Trope. 1999. *Dual-process theories in social psychology*. New York: Guilford Press.
- Chang, C., Ho, J., dan W. Liao. 1997. The effects of justification, task complexity and experience/training on problem-solving performance. *Behavioral Research in Accounting* 9: 98-116.
- Chen, S., D. Shechter, dan S. Chaiken. 1996. Getting at the truth or getting along: accuracy versus impression-motivated heuristic and systematic processing. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 71(2): 262-275
- Cialdini, R. B., dan Trost, M. R. 1998. Social influence: Social norms, conformity, and compliance. Dalam D. T. Gilbert, S. T. Fiske, dan G. Lindzey (Eds.), *The handbook of social psychology* (Vol. 2, 4th ed., pp. 151–192). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Cloyd, C. B. 1995. Prior knowledge, information search behaviors, and performance in tax research tasks. *Journal of the American Taxation Association* 17(Supplement): 82-107.



- Cloyd, C. B., dan B. C. Spilker. 1999. The influence of client preferences on tax professionals' search for judicial precedents, subsequent judgments and recommendations. *The Accounting Review* 74(3): 299-322.
- Cloyd, C. 1997. Performance in tax research tasks: the joint effects of knowledge and accountability. *The Accounting Review*, 72: 111-132.
- Cloyd, C. B., B. C Spilker dan D.A Wood. 2012. The effect of supervisory advise on tax professionals' information search behavior. *Advances in Taxation* 22: 135-158.
- Cloyd, C. B., dan Spilker, B. C. 2000. Confirmation bias in tax information search: A comparison of law students and accounting students. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 22(2): 60-71.
- Coleman, J. 1990. *Foundations of social theory*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Cruz, C.A., W. E.Shafer dan J. R. Strawser. 2000. A multi-dimensional analysis of tax practitioners' ethical judgment. *Journal of Business Ethics* 24: 223-244.
- Christensen, A. L. 1992. Evaluation of tax services: A client and preparer perspective. *Journal of the American Taxation Association* 14(2): 60-87.
- Cuccia, A. D. 1994. The effects of increased sanctions on paid tax preparers: Integrating economic and psychological factors. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 16(1): 41-66.
- Cuccia, A. D., K. Hackenbrack, dan M. W. Nelson. 1995. The ability of professional standards to mitigate aggressive reporting. *The Accounting Review* 70(2): 227-248.
- Cuccia, A.D.,dan G. McGill. 2000. The Role of decision strategies in understanding professionals' susceptibility to judgment biases. *Journal of Accounting Research* 38(2) : 419-435.
- Davidson, B. I dan D. E. Stevens. 2013. Can a code of ethics improve manager behavior and investor confidence? An experimental study. *The Accounting Review* 88(1): 51-74.
- Deborah., dan B.B. Kristiaji. 2013. Menyoal Praktik Konsultan Pajak di Indonesia. *Inside Tax* 17: 8-17.
- Devos, K. 2012. The impact of tax professional upon the compliance behavior of australian individual taxpayer. *Revenue Law Journal* 22(1): 1-26.



DeZoort, T., dan Lord, A. 1997. A review and synthesis of pressure effects research in accounting. *Journal of Accounting Literature* 16: 28-85.

DeZoort, T., P. Harrison dan M. Taylor. 2006. Accountability and auditors' materiality judgments: The effects of differential pressure strength on conservatism, variability, and effort. *Accounting, Organization and Society* 31: 373-390

Ditto, P.H. dan D. F Lopez. 1992. Motivated Scepticism: use of differential decision criteria for preferred and nonpreferred conclusion. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 63: 568-584

Ditto, P. H., G. D. Munro., A. M. Apanovitch., J. A. Scipansky dan L. K. Lockhart. 2003. Spontaneous skepticism: the interplay of motivation and expectation in responses to favorable and unfavorable medical diagnoses. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 29: 1120-1132.

Eli, I. 1996. Reducing confirmation bias in clinical decision making. *Journal of Dentist Education* 60(10): 831-835

Erard, B. 1993. Taxation with representation: An analysis of the role of tax practitioners in tax compliance. *Journal of Public Economics* 52: 163-197.

Farmer, T. A., L. E. Rittenberg, dan G. M. Trompeter. 1987. An investigation of the impact of economic and organizational factors on auditor independence. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* 7(1): 1-14.

Finch, H. 2005. Comparison of the Performance of Nonparametric and Parametric MANOVA Test Statistics when Assumptions Are Violated. *Methodology European Journal of Research Methods for the Behavioral and Social Sciences* 1 (1): 27-38.

Fleischman, G. M., dan Teresa Stephenson. 2012. Client Variables Associated with Four Key Determinants of Demand for Tax Preparer Services: An Exploratory Study. *Accounting Horizons* 26(3): 417-437

Government Accounting Office (GAO). 2008. *Fiscal year 2009 budget request and interim performance results of IRS's 2008 tax filing season*. Washington, DC: Government Accounting Office.

Gibbins, M., dan J.D. Newton. 1994. An empirical exploration of complex accountability in public accounting. *Journal of Accounting Research* 32: 165-186.

Glover, Steven M. 1997. "The Influence of Time Pressure and Accountability on Auditors' Processing of Nondiagnostic Information." *Journal of Accounting Research* 35 (2):213-226. doi: 10.2307/2491361.



Gupta, R. 2015. Understanding clients' ties to a tax practitioner: the mediating influence of trust and service satisfaction. *Australian Tax Forum* 30: 357-391

Hackenbrack, K., dan M. W. Nelson. 1996. Auditors' incentives and their application of financial accounting standards. *The Accounting Review* 71(1): 43-59.

Hales, Jeffrey. 2007. Directional preferences, information processing, and investors' forecast of earnings. *Journal of Accounting Research* 45(3): 607-628.

Hansen, V. J dan R. A. White. 2012. An investigation of the impact of tax preparer penalty provision on taxpayers aggressiveness. *The Journal of American Taxation Association* 34(1): 137-165.

Hatfield, R. C. 2000. The effect of accountability on the evaluation of evidence: A tax setting. *Advances in Taxation*: 105-125.

Hatfield, R. 2001. The effects of staff accountant objectivity in the review and decision process: A tax setting. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 23(1): 61-74.

Helleloid, R. 1989. Ambiguity and the evaluation of client documentation by tax professionals. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 11: 22-36.

Hoffman, V. B. dan J. M. Patton. 1997. Accountability, the Dilution Effect, and Conservatism in Auditors' Fraud Judgments . *Journal of Accounting Research* 35(2): 227-237

Indonesia Corruption Watch (ICW). 2008. Aturan baru, saatnya konsultan pajak panen. Dapat diakses pada: <http://www.antikorupsi.org/id/content/aturan-baru-saatnya-konsultan-pajak-panen>. Diakses tanggal 14 April 2016.

Johnson, L. M. 1993. An empirical investigation of the effects of advocacy on preparers' evaluations of judicial evidence. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 15(1): 1-22.

Johnson, V. E., dan S. E. Kaplan. 1991. Experimental evidence on the effects of accountability on auditor judgments. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory* (Supplement): 96-107.

Jonas, E., S. Schulz-Hardt, D. Frey, dan N. Thelen. 2001. Confirmation bias in sequential information search after preliminary decisions: An expansion of



- dissonance theoretical research on selective exposure to information. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 80(4): 557-571.
- Jonas, M. dan R. Sugden. 2001. Positive confirmation bias in the acquisition of information. *Theory and Decision* 50(1): 59-99.
- Kadous, K., dan A. M. Magro. 2001. The effects of exposure to practice risk on tax professionals' judgments and recommendations. *Contemporary Accounting Research* 18(3): 451-475.
- Kadous, K., S. J. Kennedy, dan M. E. Peecher. 2003. The effect of quality assessment and directional goal commitment on auditors' acceptance of client-preferred accounting methods. *The Accounting Review* 78(3): 759-778.
- Kadous, K., A. Magro dan B. Spilker. 2008. Do effects of client preference on accounting professionals' information search persist with high practice risk? *The Accounting Review* 83(1): 133-156.
- Kahle, J. B., dan R. A. White. 2004. Tax professional decision biases: The effects of initial beliefs and client preference. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 26 (Supplement): 1-29.
- Kassin, S. M., Dror, I. E., dan Kukucka, J. 2013. The forensic confirmation bias: Problems, perspectives, and proposed solutions. *Journal of Applied Research in Memory and Cognition* 2: 42-52.
- Kennedy, J. 1993. Debiasing audit judgment with accountability: A framework and experimental results. *Journal of Accounting Research* 31(Autumn): 231-245.
- Kerr, N. L. 1995. Norms in social dilemmas. Dalam D. Schroeder (Ed.), *Social dilemmas: Social psychological perspectives* (hal. 31-47). New York: Pergamon Press.
- Kirchler, E., Fehr, E., dan Evans, R. 1996. Social exchange in the labor market: Reciprocity and trust versus egoistic money maximization. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 17: 313-334.
- Klayman, J., dan Y. Ha. 1987. Confirmation, disconfirmation, and information in hypothesis testing. *Psychological Review* 94(2): 211-228.
- Klepper, S., dan D. Nagin. 1989. The Role of Tax Preparers in Tax Compliance. *Policy Sciences* 22(2):167-194



Klepper, S.. M. Mazur. dan D. S. Nagin. 1991. Expert intermediaries and legal compliance: The case of tax preparers. *Journal of Law and Economics* 34: 205-229.

Koch, C dan S. E. Salterio. 2015. *Effects of Client Pressure and Audit Firm Management Control Systems on Auditor Judgments*. Working Paper.

Koch, C dan S. E. Salterio. 2017. The effect of auditor affinity for client and perceived client pressure on auditor proposed adjustment. *Accounting Review* 92(5): 117-142. DOI: 10.2308/accr-51703.

Kponce, L. 1992. Explanation and counterexplanation during audit analytical review. *The Accounting Review* 67(1): 59-76.

Kponce, L., Anderson, U., dan Marchant, G. 1995. Justification of decisions in auditing. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 33: 369-384.

Kunda, Z. 1990. The case for motivated reasoning. *Psychological Bulletin* 108(3): 480-498.

Lee, S.Y. 2015. Factors affecting audit revenues. *Advanced Science and Technology Letters* 102: 6-9

Lerner, J. S., dan P. E. Tetlock. 1999. Accounting for the effects of accountability. *Psychological Bulletin* 125(2), 255-275.

Libby, R., R. Bloomfield, dan M. W. Nelson. 2002. Experimental research in financial accounting. *Accounting, Organizations and Society* 27: 775-811.

Lord, A. T. 1992. Pressure: A methodological consideration for behavioral research in auditing. *Auditing: A Journal of practice & theory*(fall): 89-108.

Lord, C., L. Ross dan M. Lepper. 1979. Biased assimilation and attitude polarization:the effect of prior theories on subsequently considered evidence. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* (November): 2098-2109

Lundgren, S. R., dan R. Prislin. 1998. Motivated cognitive processing and attitude change. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin* 24(7): 715-726.

Magro, A. M. 2005. Knowledge, adaptivity, and performance in tax research. *The Accounting Review* 80(2): 703-722.

Marshall, D., K. Misiewicz, dan J. Parker. 1992. *Programmed Guide to Tax Research*. Fifth edition. Cincinnati, OH: South-Western Publishing Co.



McMillan, J.J., dan R. A. White. Auditors' Belief Revisions and Evidence Search: The Effect of Hypothesis Frame, Confirmation Bias, and Professional Skepticism. *The Accounting Review* 68(3): 443-465

Milliron, V. 1988. A conceptual model of factors influencing tax preparers' aggressiveness. In *Contemporary Tax Research*, edited by S. Moriarity, and J. Collins. Norman, OK: The Center for Economic and Management Research, the University of Oklahoma.

Nahartyo, E. 2013. *Desain dan implementasi riset eksperimen*, edisi kedua. Yogyakarta: UPP STIM YKPN.

National Society of Accountant (NSA). *NSA Tax Practitioner Bill of Rights: Proposed \$838 million cut in IRS funding drives new initiative*. Tersedia di: <http://www.nsacct.org/tax/bill-of-rights>

Nickerson, R. S. 1998. Confirmation bias: A ubiquitous phenomenon in many guises. *Review of General Psychology* 2(2): 175-220

Ng, T. B. P., dan P. G. Shankar. 2010. Effects of technical department's advice, quality assessment standards, and client justifications on auditors' propensity to accept client-preferred accounting methods. *The Accounting Review* 85(5): 1743-1761.

Nisbett, R., dan L. Ross. 1980. *Human Inference: Strategies and Shortcomings of Social Judgment*. Prentice Hall.

Olvera, R. M. 2012. *Auditors' information search and decommentation: Does knowledge of the client preference or PCAOB accountability pressure matter?* University of North Texas: Disertasi.

O'Donnell, E., B. Koch dan J. Boone. 2005. The influence of domain knowledge and task complexity on tax professionals' compliance recommendation. *Accounting, Organization and Society* 30: 145-165

Pei, B. K. W., P. M. J. Reckers, dan R. W. Wyndelts. 1990. The influence of information presentation order on professional tax judgment. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 11: 119-146

Peecher, M. 1996. The influence of auditors' justification processes on their decisions: A cognitive model and experimental evidence. *Journal of Accounting Research* 34(1): 125-140.

Philip, J dan R. Sansing. 1998. Continges fees and tax compliance. *The Accounting Review* 73: 1-18.



Pines, J. M. 2006. Profiles in patient safety: Confirmation bias in emergency medicine. *Academic Emergency Medicine Journal* 13(1): 90-94.

Raush, A., dan A. Brauneis. 2015. It's about how the task is set: the inclusion exclusion effect and accountability in preprocessing management information. *Central European Journal of Operations Research* 23: 313-344

Reckers, P. M. J., D. L. Sanders, and R. W. Wyndelts. 1991. An empirical investigation of factors influencing tax practitioner compliance. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 13(2): 30-46.

Reips, Ulf-Dietrich. 2002. Standards for Internet-Based Experimenting. *Experimental Psychology* 49 (4): 243-256

Republik Indonesia. 2014. PMK No. 111/PMK.03/2014 tentang Konsultan Pajak

Republik Indonesia. 2015. Peraturan Direktorat Jenderal Pajak No. 13/PJ/2015 tentang Petunjuk Pelaksanaan Ketentuan Konsultan Pajak.

Risinger, D. M., Saks, M. J., Thompson, W. C., dan Rosenthal, R. 2002. The Daubert/Kumho implications of observer effects in forensic science: Hidden problems of expectation and suggestion. *California Law Review* 90: 1-56.

Roberts, M. 1998. Tax accountants' judgment/decision-making research: A review and synthesis. *The Journal of the American Taxation Association* 20: 78-121.

Rose, J. M., dan C. J. Wolfe. 2000. The effects of system design alternatives on the acquisition of tax knowledge from a computerized tax decision aid. *Accounting, Organization and Society* 25: 285-306.

Rufus, R. J., dan Sennetti, J. T. 2007. *Jurors' evaluations of decision-aid use in a tax malpractice setting*. Paper read at 2007 ABO Research Conference, Philadelphia.

Schafer, B.A. 2007. *Accountability and Affect in Auditor Judgment*. University of South Florida: Working Paper.

Schafer, B.A dan J. K. Schafer. 2009. Justification and self-review: mitigating irrelevant affect in fraud judgments, dalam Vicky Arnold (ed.) *Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research (Advances in Accounting Behavioral Research, Volume 12)* Emerald Group Publishing Limited: 41-59



- Schafer, A. 1999. A wink and a nod: a conceptual map of responsibility and accountability in bureaucratic organizations. *Canadian Public Administration* 42 (1): 5-25.
- Schlenker, B. 1997. Personal responsibility: applications of the triangle model. *Research in Organizational Behavior*, 19: 241-301.
- Schlenker, B. dan M. Leary. 1982. Social anxiety and self-presentation: a conceptualization and model. *Psychological Bulletin*, 92(3), 641-669.
- Scholten L., D. Van Knippenberg, B. A Nijstad, dan C. K. W De Dreu. 2007. Motivated information processing and group decision making: effect of process accountability on information processing and decision quality. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 43(4): 539-552.
- Schulz-Hardt, S., D. Frey, C. Luethgens, and S. Moscovici. 2000. Biased information search in group decision making. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 78(4): 655-669.
- Shadish, William R., Thomas D. Cook, dan Donald T. Campbell. 2002. *Experimental and Quasi-Experimental Designs for Generalized Causal Inference*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Shields, M., I. Solomon, dan D. Jackson. 1995. Experimental research on tax professionals judgment and decision making. Dalam J. Davis (Ed.), Behavioral tax research: Prospects and judgment calls (hal. 77-126). Sarasota, FL: American Taxation Association.
- Siegel-Jacobs, K., dan J. F. Yates. 1996. Effect of process and outcome accountability on judgment quality. *Organization Behavior and Human Decision Processing* 65(1): 1-17.
- Simone, L.D., R. C. Sansing dan J. K. Seidman. 2008. When are enhanced relationship tax compliance program mutually beneficial? *The Accounting Review* 88(6): 1971-1991.
- Simonson, I dan B. M. Staw. 1992. Deesclation strategy: a comparasion of techniques for reducing commitment to losing course of action. *Journal of Applied Psychology* 77: 419-426
- Snyder, M., and W. B. Swann, Jr. 1978. Hypothesis-testing processes in social interaction. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 36 (11): 1202-1212.
- Sommerfield, R. dan G. Streuling. 1989. *Tax Research Techniques*. New York, NY: AICPA



- Stephenson, T. 2010. Measuring taxpayers's motivation to hire tax preparers: the development of a for-construct scale. *Advances in Taxation* 19: 95-121
- Suddaby, R., Y. Gendron, dan H. Lam. 2009. The Organizational Context of Professionalism in Accounting. *Accounting, Organizations & Society* 34(3/4): 409-427.
- Tan, H., dan Kao, A. 1999. Accountability effects on auditor performance: the influence of knowledge, problem-solving ability, and task complexity. *Journal of Accounting Research* 37: 209-224.
- Tan, H. 1995. Effects of expectations, prior involvement, and review awareness on memory for audit evidence and judgment. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 33, 113-135.
- Tetlock, P. E. 1985. Accountability: The neglected social context of judgment and choice. *Research in Organization Behavior* 7: 297-332.
- Tetlock, P.E, L. Skitka, dan R. Boettger. 1989. Social and cognitive strategies for coping with accountability: Conformity, complexity, and bolstering. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 57(4): 632-640.
- Tetlock, PE., dan J. I. Kim. 1987. Accountability and judgment processes in a personality prediction task. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 52(4): 700-709.
- Tetlock, P. E., dan R. Boettgerr. 1994. Accountability amplifies the status quo effect when change create victims. *Journal of Behavior and Decision Making* 7: 1-23.
- Tetlock, P. 1992. The impact of accountability on judgment and choice: toward a social contingency model. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, 25, 331-376.
- Turner, C. W. 2001. Accountability demands and the auditors' evidence search strategy: The influence of reviewer preferences and the nature of the response (belief vs. action). *Journal of Accounting Research* 39(3): 683-706.
- Wason, P. C. 1960. On the failure to eliminate hypotheses in a conceptual task. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology* 12: 129-140.
- Wenzel, M. 2004. An analysis of norm processes in tax compliance. *Journal of Economic Psychology* 25: 213-228.



**PERILAKU PENCARIAN INFORMASI DAN REKOMENDASI KONSULTAN PAJAK: ANALISIS
PREFERENSI KLIEN DAN TEKANAN
AKUNTABILITAS**

FAUZAN MISRA, Prof. Dr. Slamet Sugiri, M.B.A; Eko Suwardi, M.Sc., Ph.D; Ertambang Nahartyo, M.Sc., Ph.D
Universitas Gadjah Mada, 2018 | Diunduh dari <http://etd.repository.ugm.ac.id/>

Wheeler, P. R., & Arunachalam, V. (2008). The effects of decision aid design on the information search strategies and confirmation bias of tax professionals. *Behavioral Research in Accounting*, 20(1): 131-145.

White, R.. P. Harrison, dan A. Harrell. 1993. The impact of income tax withholding on taxpayer compliance: Further empirical evidence. *Journal of the American Taxation Association* 15(2): 63-78.